

From: <frankieboy@aol.com>
acacia.matheson@gmail.com, achapdelaine@town.arlington.ma.us, carrieonmyway@gmail.com, cbongiorno@town.arlington.ma.us, dcd.alist@gmail.com, edwstarr@comcast.net, gaildoster@gmail.com,
To: gjmckinnon@aol.com, jcurro@alumni.tufts.edu, jmiller@ligo.mit.edu, lhfvpf@mac.com, lisa.wei@gmail.com, lynn.wolfsfeld@gmail.com, mkrepelka@town.arlington.ma.us, myronkassaraba@gmail.com, peterjones1973@gmail.com, sean.garballey@mahouse.gov, stephanie.k.ford@gmail.com, yjoonlee@msn.com
Cc: frankieboy@aol.com
Date: 02/11/2019 02:39 PM
Subject: Air Plane Noise

Honorable Town Manager and Select Board Member Curro et al,

I have been attending MCAC meetings and on 1/29/2019, I attended a committee meeting in Boston. I refer you to the massportcac.org site for details.

As I have reported MIT was hired by Massport to study solutions to the airplane noise issue resulting from the RNAV implementation in 2013.

I had previously informed you of the summary of one of the MIT suggestions which continues to be considered by the FAA as part of Block 1 that was approved by the MCAC. This consisted of planes flying slower at take-off along with other considerations. The speed was suggested by MIT as a possible noise abatement.

The 2nd set of options from MIT continues to be worked on is ways to introduce greater dispersion of 33L departures. This is called Block 2 and a summary would amount to changing routes so that no one community is impacted more than others. Some of the options considered would have planes turning sooner based on controller direction or altitude. These options would be ideally moving some planes off of the concentrated RNAV routes and spread them out more like before 2013. This consideration will not be easy as it moves planes over some neighborhoods in some communities that have fewer flights. Arlington had a significantly lower and less concentrated impact from airplane noise prior to RNAV so we would hope to have something that would be fairer to all. There is still additional analysis that has been requested from MIT comparing the dispersion alternatives to pre-RNAV routes. Once the analysis has been received it is expected that the 33L Municipal Working Group will meet to review.

In other business, the Massport CAC budget was increased from \$250,000 to a maximum of \$500,000 with increases by \$50,000 per year to the maximum. The additional funding allowed the Massport CAC to hire an Executive Director, Matt Romero formerly of MWRA. As you know the funding per statute comes from Massport.

Please feel free to contact me
thank you

Frank Ciano