Documentation in Support of the Testimony of Christopher Loreti for the Arlington Redevelopment Board Hearing on Special Permit Docket #3602 (1207-1211 Massachusetts Avenue)

January 27, 2020

The attached pages are excerpts from the certified transcript of Article 6 of the April 25, 2016 Annual Town Meeting, which amended Arlington's Zoning Bylaw to allow mixed-use developments. These excerpts demonstrate that at least three times members of the Arlington Redevelopment Board (Chair Andrew Bunnell and then member Michael Cayer) testified that only uses individually allowed in a zoning district could be permitted as part of a mixed-use development in the same zoning district. Thus a hotel use, which is not allowed in the B2 zoning district, cannot be permitted as part of a mixed-use development in the B2 zoning district as proposed in Docket 3602. See statements in brackets followed by an asterisk on pages 48, 50, and 67.

I respectfully request that this documentation be entered into the public record for this docket as part of this public hearing.

Chuster Jorti

TOWN OF ARLINGTON ANNUAL TOWN MEETING

MONDAY, APRIL 25, 2016

Session 1

Robbins Memorial Town Hall Auditorium
730 Massachusetts Avenue
Arlington, Massachusetts 02476



CAMBRIDGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

675 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02139 (617) 547 -- 5690 www.ctran.com

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I live on Lombard Terrace, close to three blocks, two long blocks from Mass. Ave. I'll be voting against this, I believe. But I'd like to say a few things. I think it's dreadful that we're presented with all these changes as one article. Some I would vote for, some I would vote against. I attended at least one of the meetings about this, approximately a week and a half or two weeks ago. I find all this difficult to absorb, and it's too multifaceted for me to swallow one vote. And that's part of the reason why I would vote no. I would recommend that ARB postpone the vote to give people another vote, at least to give us time to want to vote yes. But as it is, tonight I would vote no.

What is the neighborhood business district?

There's a paragraph in this thing about a neighborhood business district, and I'm wondering -- I read it but --

MR. JOHN LEONE: Ms. Weiner? Or Mr. Bunnell (Indiscernible)

MR. ANDREW BUNNELL: The feature of the neighborhood district, business district --

MR. JOHN LEONE: Introduce yourself.

MR. ANDREW BUNNELL: Andrew Bunnell, Chair of the Redevelopment Board. If you could bring out my slides again, I could point out where that is on the map.

(Indiscernible). It's a little unclear on the map, but the

19²

second line on our key here is B2, neighborhood business district. And these are interspersed throughout town. They are traditionally small businesses, districts with smaller businesses.

You won't see major developments going in in this kind of a district. It usually comes into a neighborhood
it has to comply with what's already permitted in that district. And it also has to be within the character of the neighborhood. And part of the reason that the ARB has decided to keep special permit review over this is so that we can be assured that we're protecting neighborhoods from being overrun and seeing that "Palo Alto effect" that the other speaker talked about. It is important to us that there is some review over these projects from the beginning, so that we're not seeing monstrosities coming to town, and seeing the kinds of things that people don't want.

It is an open process, the special permit is a collaborative, open process where people do have the opportunity to come in and speak their case, and advise the ARB on how we should be voting and what projects we should be looking at, what projects we should say, maybe time to go back to the drawing board and come back with something a little more appropriate for the neighborhood and for the use that you're requesting.

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$ ANDREW FISCHER: -- and the answer was yes, so I def --

MR. ANDREW BUNNELL: Well, that's actually not true. Mixed use is any use that would be more than one use. It can't be sold as residential. Again, it has to fit with a permitted use; a parking garage won't be permitted in there, because a parking garage isn't permitted. A residential on top of a gas station won't be permitted if that use is not already permitted. It has to fit what's already allowed under zoning, and it has to fit within the character of the neighborhood being considered.

MR. JOHN LEONARD: At any rate, I would support Mr. Loreti's amendment, for the reason I just said. And the other reason I'm going to vote no is that I can't find anybody that wants higher density in the town, not in my precinct, anyway, when I talk with people. And the theory that we're obligated to go higher and higher density because of the world and greenness, I don't buy it. I happen to think we're at optimal density right now. I think we've already done more than our job. There are equally valid reasons to say high density is not healthy. So, that's my feeling then. I would repeat everything that the previous speaker also said. Thank you.

MR. JOHN LEONE: Thank you very much. Mr. Worden.

it that said "5,000." There wasn't any intent to change that. So, instead of the dash, the scrivener's error that we've corrected now with the Town Clerk and provided to the Clerk and the Moderator, is to change that dash to a "5,000." So, hopefully, that's clear.

MR. JOHN LEONE: If you'll all make that change administratively to your report, we'll just go with it as we proceed. Go ahead, Mr. Cayer.

MR. MIKE CAYER: Thank you. So, I want to start by saying, zoning is hard. It's hard and we do it first, which, frankly, I think is a disservice to both zoning and for helping the town move some of these things forward.

But, be that as it may, that's what we're doing. We're here tonight to talk about Articles 6 and 7, hopefully, eventually.

So, the first thing I want to talk about is correct a couple of things that were talked about earlier. There was a statement made that said that any commercial use can be snuck in to the mix -- the definition that's been put forth before you, in a mixed use development. So, you know, you can put a meat-processing plant on the first floor if you so choose, and if those rascals on the Redevelopment Board approve it, then you're going to have a meat-packing plant on the first floor.

That's not correct. We've worked with both the

Inspectional Services, the head of Inspectional Services, as well as Town Counsel on the wording that's before you. And only the uses that are permitted in a particular district are the ones that can happen in a mixed use in that district. So, just to clarify on that point.

The second point I want to bring up is, with respect to height, I think we've clarified a few things with respect to height. But I want to clarify two others.

Number one is, is, you've heard some people talk about a four-story buffer, okay? What that is, is what we're really talking about there is if a proposed mixed use is next to resident, then, instead of being five stories, you can only build four. That's a buffer zone, okay? You cannot go all the way up, and what's already in there stays in there, okay? It's only in the more commercial spine, where you've got other big buildings around you, that you'll be able to go to the maximum height.

Now, the important thing on this, though, is that what this does is it actually, from the streetscape, limits the height of the buildings even further down, because what you've also heard is about stepbacks. And a stepback means that as you go up to that fifth floor, or as you go above three, you have to move those next floors back seven and a half feet. So that from the streetscape now, you're only going to see three stories.

CERTIFICATE

I, Buchanan Ewing, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the aforementioned matter prepared to the best of our knowledge, skill, and ability.

Notary Public No. 17610 DNP

My commission expires June 15, 2018

CAMBRIDGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

Approved Court Transcriber