



Select Board Meeting Minutes

Date: February 24, 2020

Time: 7:15 PM

Location: Select Board Chambers, 2nd Floor, Town Hall

Present: Mrs. Mahon, Chair, Mr. Dunn, Vice Chair, Mr. Curro, Mr. Hurd, Mr. DeCoursey

Also Present: Mr. Chapdelaine, Mr. Heim, Ms. Maher

1. Community Preservation Act Committee Presentation

Eric Helmuth, Chair

Mr. Helmuth, Chair of the CPAC accompanied by Clarissa Rowe, Vice Chair appeared before the Board to present the Community Preservation Act Committee's upcoming projects that they are considering in FY2021. He stated that the CPAC has been a source of dedicated funding for three important areas to the community's vibrancy and well-being. The three areas include historic preservation, open space and recreation and community housing. CPAC provides a dedicated funding stream through a small local tax surcharge and a state matching fund that allows the CPAC to take the funds and do even more with them. Mr. Helmuth stated that the three areas that are funded under CPAC have minimum reservations being that 10% of the annual income that they are expecting from the local tax surcharge or from the state fund need to go to each of the areas as a minimum. The rest of the money is flexible, where they can spend on CPAC projects or save in the budgeted reserve. They are allowed to keep or ask Town Meeting for up to 5% of those revenues for administrative expenses, where they are planning to ask for just 2.75%. The projects that the CPAC are actively considering are the following:

- Arlington Historical Society is requesting \$78,023 for the continuation of the restoration of the Jason Russell House;
- Mystic Valley Watershed Association is requesting \$325,000 for the revitalization – Phase 3 of the Wellington Park / Mill Brook;
- Arlington Recreation Department is requesting \$587,000 for Phase 2 of the Arlington Reservoir Improvements;
- Town of Arlington is requesting \$193,773 for Phase 2 of the restoration of the Old Burying Ground;
- Arlington Historical Commission and the Friends of the Robbins Town Gardens is requesting for the restoration of the original plantings to the Olmstead Brothers Design.

The Town of Arlington is proposing a slate of small planning projects that include the following:

- Open Space and Recreation Plan Update - \$35,000;

- Communitywide Archeological Survey - \$60,000;
- Documentation of Historic Municipal Recourses - \$35,200;
- Minuteman Bikeway Planning - \$65,000.

Mr. Helmuth explained that these are the projects that they are considering and the CPAC will take their votes on these projects in March before Town Meeting. Mr. Helmuth wanted to note that they did not receive any affordable housing applications. He stated that if all these appropriations were to be approved they would still have \$724,171 to put in the bank which would be available for Town Meeting to reserve for future CPAC Projects. Mr. Dunn asked what applicants the CPAC have funded in the past. Mr. Helmuth stated that they have funded the Housing Corporation of Arlington and the Arlington Housing Authority. Mr. Dunn stated that similarly in the CDBG funding cycle they have found that they didn't get a ton of affordable housing applications either. Ms. Rowe stated that she spoke with Pam Hallett of the Housing Corporation of Arlington about the low numbers of affordable housing project. She stated that they are in the process of the Westminster project and the Broadway initiative so they felt that they had too much on their plate to move forward with an application. Mr. Helmuth stated that as they start to revise and finalize the CPAC Plan, this is one key area that they need the input from stakeholders, the public and the leadership because there is not that much CPAC money every year. The CPAC are conscious that it is the public's money and would like to invest it in congruence with the public's priorities. Mr. DeCoursey asked if there was a requirement on the 10% reserve of how long you can have that amount be carried over before you have to identify a project. Mr. Helmuth stated that there was no limit on time but once the money is reserved in a designated area it must be used in that category. In years past they have established a paper trail for those projects so if there are any turn backs or a project doesn't happen they are retro actively in compliance with the requirement to spend 10% every year. Mr. Helmuth stated that the state 14.1% match is higher than last year which was only 11%. Mr. Hurd asked if there was an ongoing plan that has been identified on renovations or repairs that are necessary for our historic structures or if the individual entities have to come in and request it. Ms. Rowe stated that it is individually requested because it is a private entity. She stated that the Town is keeping track of the publicly owned buildings and landscapes.

Mr. Curro moved receipt.

SO VOTED (5-0)

CONSENT AGENDA

2. Minutes of Meetings: February 10, 2020

Mr. DeCoursey moved approval.

SO VOTED (4-0)

Mr. Dunn abstained.

3. Reappointments:

Commission for Arts and Culture

Stephen Poltorzycki (term to expire 1/31/2023)

Library Board of Trustees

Joyce Radochia (term to expire 6/30/2023)

4. Request: Common Victualler License DBA Name Change
Is: Villa House of Pizza

Will be: Boston Pizza & Curry
Sumendra Shrestha

5. Request: Special (One Day) Beer & Wine License, 3/14/20 @ Robbins Memorial Town Hall for a Private Event
Karen Schwartz

6. Appointment of New Election Workers: (1) Virginia A. Shannon, 35 Sherborn Street #1, D, Pct. 9; (2) Geraldine N. Pedrini, 6 Granton Park, D, Pct. 21; (3) Benjamin J. Wall, 36 Udine Street, U, Pct. 13; (4) Susan E. Born, 18 School Street, U, Pct. 6

Mr. DeCoursey moved approval.

SO VOTED (5-0)

APPOINTMENTS

7. Commission for Arts and Culture
Tom Formicola (term to expire 6/30/2021)

Mr. Formicola stated that he was the new Executive Director of the Arlington Center for the Arts. He told the Board that the Commission actually reached out to him. Mr. Formicola has lived in the Town of Arlington for the last 15 years and served on the Arlington Cultural Council for 6 years. Mr. Formicola has been involved in the community and in the art scene for many years and is looking forward to his new role on this committee. He stated that he is ready to immerse himself deeper into the cultural scene of the community.

Mr. Curro moved approval.

SO VOTED (5-0)

TRAFFIC RULES & ORDERS / OTHER BUSINESS

8. For Approval: Removal of One Yellowwood Tree and Two Norway Maple Trees @ Whittemore Park
Adam W. Chapdelaine, Town Manager

Ali Carter, Economic Development Coordinator, explained that the Department of Planning and Community Development embarked on the project to make improvements to Whittemore Park in 2016 as part of the Mass Ave Phase 2 conceptual plan process. The Department applied and received a CPA grant in 2017 to create a plan for the park. The community visioning project for the park was launched in 2018. Public outreach for this project was extensive. Ms. Carter explained that there were many community forums, a design day event for public input, a survey and the work of a project committee. The overall project goals for the plan based on the feedback from the public were to improve circulation in the park, to increase visibility and prominence of the park, to cultivate opportunities for passive recreation programs and events and to enhance the historic, cultural and natural landscape in Arlington Center. In 2019 the Department applied for and received another CPA grant to implement phase one of the plan.

Naomi Cottrill, Landscape Architect with Crowley Cottrill Landscape Architecture explained the existing conditions of the park, she stated that there are a lot of great assets to Whittemore Park that they are trying to balance with the goals going forward. They are looking to bring more cultural and public gatherings to the community. They

are trying to balance not only improving the space but also to preserve the natural elements of the trees and the cultural elements of the railroad tracks and some existing landscape features. Ms. Cottrill described the existing park to have a fragmented lawn and the current paths that are there lead to a singular focus of the front door of the Cutter House, causing the number one use for the park as a cut through. The crossing paths within the park now currently cut the remnant of the rail road tracks into two fragmented pieces. Currently the places to stop and rest in the park focus more on Mass Ave, the benches are turned towards the street rather than an interior space. The proposed diagram is such that they are creating a circuit path around a centralized lawn, which would all be made of an accessible material and slope. They are looking at providing benches that would be interior focused that would be more universally accessed. During the conceptual process they hired an independent arborist to evaluate the existing trees within the park. The lower half of the site towards the pedestrian corridor has the healthier sets of trees. The trees that are located on the corner of Mass Ave and Mystic Street are over mature and beginning to show signs of stress. Trying to balance the public input that was given with the new plan they are trying to do the least amount of damage to the good trees and not to put those into any sort of stress. There are three trees that are identified for removal. There is a 15 inch caliper Norway Maple located along Mystic Street wedged between the sidewalk and the existing fence. The Canopy is predominantly over the sidewalk with an uneven crown making this tree in poor condition. The 15 inch caliper Yellowwood is very narrow because it has limited access to light making this tree not as healthy as the trees around it. This tree is new to the removal list when they took the design from the conceptual phase through development. In order to make the portion of the path accessible this tree would need to be removed. The last tree is a 22 inch caliper Norway Maple that is located next to the sidewalk on Mass Ave. This tree has an uneven crown predominantly over the sidewalk as well as multiple dead branches. The proposal for new trees consists of 7 all native trees where they are proposing Redbud, Yellowwood and Hawthorn trees as well as the Thornless Honeylocus, Tulip Tree and a Swamp White Oak which are all canopy trees. Ms. Cottrill acknowledged that the tree removal and the tree planting will not be an equal carbon sequestration from the beginning. Based on their calculations they estimate that within 9 years the new trees would be sequestering as much as the current trees are today.

The following people spoke in opposition of removing the 3 trees:

Ellen Leigh, 8 Summer Street #105

Rachael Stark, 65 Randolph Street

Joanne Preston, 42 Mystic Lake Drive

Maura Vatz, 77 Warren Street

Susan Stamps, 39 Grafton Street, felt that the two Norway maples reflected previous understandings. Her main concern is with the Yellowwood.

Patricia Worden, 27 Jason Street

Lynette Culverhouse, 24 Draper Avenue

Beth Melofchik, 2 Russell Street

Elizabeth Dray, 130 Jason Street

Clarissa Rowe, 137 Herbert Road spoke in favor of removing the 3 trees.

Mrs. Mahon listed the following people that submitted letters in opposition:

Bill Berkowitz, 12 Pelham Terrace

Nancy Bloom, 169 Sylvia Street
Anthony Jones, 31 Joyce Road
Tamara Chenoweth-Jones, 31 Joyce Road
Laura Kiesel, 2620 Massachusetts Avenue #9
Claire Odom, River Street
Robert P. Murray, 45 Jason Street
June Rutkowski, 128 Alpine Terrace
Geoff and Eleanor Freed, 128 Alpine Terrace
Joan Smeltzer, 15 Freeman Street
Jane Whitmore, 65 Magnolia Street
Montserrat Zuckerman, 4 Gay Street

Mr. Dunn stated that the process for removing trees in Arlington is different than it used to be. He explained that in years past they had a part time tree warden where they did not have tree hearings, trees would just come down. He stated that they have just started applying the bylaws that were already in place. Mr. Dunn stated that it was important to note that Arlington changed its attitude about replanting trees. Our tree budget for replanting has gone up in the past few years. Over the past several years Arlington has been putting more trees into the ground than removing them. Mr. Dunn explained that we have instituted a lot of process around trees and how they are handled. We have saved many trees by simply having this process, and a few by denying the appeals. Mr. Dunn has a logical approach about the removal of these trees and stated that Whittemore Park will be a better place with this project. After much discussion the Board is in agreement that this will make the park a better place and are in support of the proposed plan.

Mr. Dunn moved approval of the three trees to be removed. SO VOTED (5-0)

9. Update: Water/Sewer Rate Changes to Mitigate MW RA Debt Shift

Adam W. Chapdelaine, Town Manager

Mr. Chapdelaine stated that several months ago there was a discussion with the Board about rolling back the MWRA debt shift. The Board needed to consider requisite increases in the water sewer rates and potentially tying that roll back in the debt shift to the amount of debt that would be rolling on from the high school project. Mr. Chapdelaine stated that they now know what that debt will be that will affect the tax rate this January from the high school. Mr. Chapdelaine explained the memo that the Board has received. He explained the current FY20 rates, the average household bill on an annual basis, a quarterly basis and what the rate increase was where the Board had already voted the 7.5% rate increase for FY20. The next column is what the Director of Public Works anticipates that he would need to be asking for FY21. We are looking at a 5% water rate increase and 4% sewer rate increase. Moving to the next column we start looking at rolling back the MWRA debt shift 20% at a time which could then be rolled off over a 5 year period. The next 25% reduction could be rolled off over a 4 year period or a 33% reduction which could be rolled off over a 3 year period. The final column shows if we were to take the full amount of debt that is going to hit the tax rate this December and roll that over the debt shift, making that amount \$2,865,980 that equates to about a 50% reduction in the MWRA debt shift. Mr. Chapdelaine explained that all of the numbers in these scenarios are all comprehensive. He stated that all of these figures assume that the usage levels are at 1,210,000 CCF's per year which is based off the

current trends. More recently the Director of Public Works has noticed the trend is down but they are not sure if this is a new trend or not. Mr. Dunn noted that the amount of money that is going to be paid by the taxpayers and water users of Arlington under this is the same, it is just a matter of which bill they are paying on. This is not about if we are spending more money, this is about how we are paying for the things we have already decided that we want to buy. Mr. Dunn stated that he is leaning more towards doing things at a faster pace and would like this done well in advance of the next override conversation. Mr. Hurd stated that one of the options that they had talked about before was doing over two years which is not shown on the scenarios. He stated that the general consensus was that we did not want to hit everyone at once with the debt shift but thinks that a two-year reduction is also an option. Mr. Chapdelaine explained that the challenge will be that they don't think they are going to borrow the same amount of money next year as we did this year for the high school project. Mr. Hurd stated that he also would lean towards the 33% as the target figure. Mr. Curro also leans towards the 33% he believes that it is their responsibility to complete this by the end of the four year plan. Mr. Curro would like to remind them that when the time comes for rate setting, he would like to establish a water/sewer discount program for seniors. Mr. DeCoursey as well as Mrs. Mahon are both comfortable with the 33% as well and would like to get it done in the framework that they have committed to the voters. Mr. Chapdelaine stated that they will be back at the next meeting with a figure.

10. For Approval: Solicitation of Additional Host Community Agreement, Timeline, & Revised Process and Application
Douglas W. Heim, Town Counsel

Mr. Heim explained that the Board had a solicitation process for Host Community Agreements where we received 4 applications for 3 potential special permits. Special permits are awarded through the Redevelopment Board based on zoning and Environmental Design review criteria. He stated that an initial step for every adult marijuana retailer must obtain a host community agreement first. We were awarded two based on the Boards selection criteria and they have room for a third potential licensee. He is requesting the board to affirm the HCA process and application which has been updated to reflect that there is likely to only be room for one more HCA given the criteria. Mr. Heim is also asking the Board for a deadline to receive applications and a date that the Board would expect to receive presentations and hold a hearing to make a decision on those applications. Mr. Curro asked if there were any other changes in which Mr. Heim clarified that the only substantive changes are essentially stating that there are two HCA's that have already been awarded and therefore it is unlikely that there could be one in East Arlington or in the Heights and applicants should consult with Inspectional Services and Planning to determine if their location is viable. Mr. Curro stated they should try and follow the same timeline as before. Mr. Chapdelaine stated that they would like to give the Marijuana Study Group time to review the applications and give recommendations and comment. Mr. Hurd would like to add one thing to the application for this particular community host agreement in the form of a certification from the applicant to the Board that the proposed location is not within 2000ft of the other current HCA's that are in process so that we don't run into the problems we did before. Mr. Heim stated that they can accommodate that, he states that there is a version of that in the criteria. One of the things that cannot be waived is the distance

between retail establishments. Mrs. Mahon suggested a six week time period would be advisable. Mrs. Mahon suggested that applications be due no later than 12p.m. on Friday, May 15th and having the presentations on Monday, June 22nd. Mr. Heim stated that they have received a steady stream of interest and the Board can expect more than one application. The Board noted that they would like a light agenda on the night of the presentations as they can be lengthy.

Mr. Curro moved approval of the two dates Friday, May 15th and Monday, June 22nd as well as HCA process revised by the Board. SO VOTED (5-0)

WARRANT ARTICLE HEARINGS

11. Articles for Review:

Article 66 Vote/Community Preservation Plan

Ms. Rowe stated that most Community Preservation Committees have a plan and Arlington has a draft plan which will then be made final. They will be going public with the plan at the end of March or April where they will compile people's ideas about Community Housing, Historic Preservation and Open Space.

Mr. Curro moved favorable action. SO VOTED (5-0)

Article 9 Bylaw Amendment/Canine Control Fees and Fines

Mr. Heim explained that they are looking to reduce the late fee for the annual Dog License Renewals from \$50.00 to \$25.00. He stated that having such a high late fee discourages people from licensing their dog.

Mr. Curro moved favorable action. SO VOTED (5-0)

Article 10 Bylaw Amendment/Display of Notice Fines

Mr. Heim explained that this bylaw amendment is for administrative clean-up of the wording. He stated that when we migrated the sign bylaw from the Town Bylaws over to the Zoning Bylaws the one remaining piece left were notices. Mr. Heim stated that notices, which are essentially missing pet signs and other temporary notices that come before the Select Board are missing in the language. Mr. Heim stated that when the bylaw used to be tethered to the state law about outdoor advertising we were allowed to assess a penalty of \$500. Since we removed that language the maximum bylaw penalty is now \$300. Mr. Heim stated they are just looking to clean up the bylaws because they cannot assess more than a \$300 fine for any violation of the bylaws.

Mr. Hurd moved favorable action. SO VOTED (5-0)

Article 11 Bylaw Amendment/Street Performance Definitions

Thomas Davidson, Commission on Arts and Culture, stated that they started a program called Live Arts Arlington where primarily the performers were musicians, dancers, puppeteers, and visual artists. He explained that one of the purposes of this amendment is to amend the definition of a performer to include visual artists. He stated that the second part of the amendment is to allow for street performances in public parks which are currently prohibited. Mr. Davidson stated that they have shared this amendment with the Park and Recreation Commission. Mr. Hurd stated that he is weary with allowing vendors in public parks. He asked Mr. Heim if there were any restrictions as to what types of vendors would be allowed but he doesn't want people with ice cream

carts or carriages with toys to be in every public park in Arlington. Mr. Heim clarified that the Parks and Recreation Commission has a fairly long-standing policy with what they allow and what they don't allow from a vendor perspective. He stated that the Parks and Recreation Commission would retain the majority of the control over street performers, which he feels would be a better place than the Town Bylaws so they can have stricter criteria of who is allowed in the park. Mr. Heim stated that this criteria is pretty narrow as it is essentially people that are engaged in the creative enterprises so it would introduce new amenities to the park without having food trucks and other things like that. Mr. Heim stated that the Parks and Recreation Commission will still have to approve any type of commercial activity in the parks and this bylaw will not change that.

Mr. Curro moved favorable action with the recommendation that street performers be changed to outdoor performances. SO VOTED (5-0)

Article 12 Bylaw Amendment/Stormwater Management

Emily Sullivan, Environmental Planner and Conservation Agent and Bill Copithorne, Assistant Town Engineer appeared before the Board to explain the bylaw amendment as a result of the Town's current permitting with the EPA. The Town's drainage system is permitted by the MS4 Permit which is overseen by the EPA. The most recent revision of the permit went into place in 2016, which lies out a road map for 20 years of actions that the Town needs to take in order to remain in compliance with the permit. One of the requirements is to make sure that the Town has a number of bylaws in place related to stormwater. Another is the bylaw they are speaking now about and the third is a construction bylaw that will have to be put in place in the coming years as well as a soil and erosion bylaw. Mr. Copithorne described this amendment just a rebranding of the existing Stormwater Mitigation Bylaw. The biggest change is the addition of a lot of wording; a lot of those details are just to remain in compliance with the permits and don't change the overall bylaw greatly. The existing bylaw essentially requires that if developments are happening on a property and they increase the pervious service on the property by 350 square feet or greater, there is some exceptions to allow for higher allowances than that right now. Any change you're required to mitigate to make sure there's no more runoff or volume coming off your site as was under the preexisting condition, under the amendment that would remain the same. Ms. Sullivan stated that they are working internally to develop the rules and regulations that they are referring too. They are looking to have a draft of those rules and regulations in the coming weeks that will be on Town Meeting floor. Ms. Sullivan also stated that fees will be addressed in the rules and regulations.

Mr. Dunn moved favorable action.

SO VOTED (5-0)

Article 15 Vote/Establishment of Town Committee on Residential Development

Paul Parise stated that the primary concerns include: creating the ability for residents to have input for the development changes occurring in their neighborhoods; preserving the quality of life and character of the neighborhood; and to minimizing impacts both temporary and permanent during construction and redevelopment. The purpose is to allow Arlington to develop and change as it naturally should while balancing the interests of current residents and the development and real estate communities. Mr. Parise stated that in Town Meeting of 2016 they developed an informal committee called the Residential Study Group. Many of the primary issues that prompted the

formation of the RSG remained uncompleted when the RSG was unilaterally dissolved by the Planning Department last spring. He stated that there is no one body that comprehensively represents residents opinions and concerns on residential development and claims there should be one. The Board is in agreement that they feel this is a shadow committee of the Arlington Redevelopment Board. Mrs. Mahon stated that all of the voting members will not be town employees and will ban them from voting, but will be members that are appointed through Town Meeting and the Town Moderator. Mr. Chapdelaine stated that there are governance concerns about the way this proposal is currently laid out; it appears to mimic the authorities and responsibilities of the Redevelopment Board. Mr. Chapdelaine noted that all of the Arlington Redevelopment Board members are all town residents. Mr. Hurd stated that they had a joint meeting with the ARB where they laid out a detailed plan to engage the public every step of the way and this seems to be copying what they are looking to do. Don Seltzer spoke in favor of forming this committee as he was a member on the RSG. Steve Revilak stated that when he first reviewed this article it sounded a lot like the Residential Study Group and has many questions as to how this would fit into the overall structure of things. After much discussion from the Board they recognize the concerns and understand that they are difficult problems. They stated that they would like to see how their joint meetings with the ARB play out before creating another committee
Mr. Dunn moved no action. SO VOTED (5-0)

Article 18 Vote/Bylaw Amendment/Envision Arlington Updated Language

Juli Brazile, Chair of the Envision Arlington Standing Committee stated that there are still a couple of places in the bylaw that mention Vision 2020 and would like to tidy it up with their new name Envision Arlington. Ms. Brazile stated that they are looking to update some of the specific wording to the nine Town goals and then start the process of relabeling the goals so people get in the habit of calling them value statements. The Open Space and Recreation Plan from 2015 is also being updated now where they hope to be done in a couple years. The Open Space and Recreation Plan uses its introduction to talk about the town goals which are now values and then talk about their mission. She stated that they are looking to bring it all in line with the way things are done in Arlington.

Mr. Curro moved favorable action.

SO VOTED (5-0)

Article 22 Home Rule Legislation/Justin Brown

Mr. Heim wanted to remind the Board of the general posture Civil Service. Civil Service law in Massachusetts as applied to Arlington essentially states that in order to sit for an exam for police or fire you have to be under the age of 32 at the time you take the exam with the last date the exam is available. Justin Brown appeared before the Board in hopes that they would consider Home Rule Legislation that would allow him to become eligible for appointment to the Arlington Fire Department even though he is 38 years old. Mr. Brown stated that he is passionate about serving and protecting our community at a first responder capacity. Mr. Brown has taken the civil service exam a number of times since 2014 which would be the last time he would have been eligible in the Town of Arlington. Mr. Brown has completed an EMT program and is currently a nationally registered EMT and is working through Mass OMS to get his Massachusetts State Certification. Mr. DeCoursey stated that there is another Civil Service exam coming up

in March and asked if it were his intent to take the exam. Mr. Brown does intend to take the exam as he has already registered. Mr. DeCoursey stated that the exam is eligible to be used for 2 years and suggests that there be a sunset provision to this article so if the waiver is granted it would be for as long as the next list is in effect. Mr. Dunn stated that he has supported these in years past as he believes the Civil Service Law needs a reform and he doesn't believe that it is serving the Town of Arlington well. Mr. Dunn moved favorable action with a sunset date to be included. SO VOTED (5-0)

FINAL VOTES & COMMENTS

Articles for Review:

Article 7 Bylaw Amendment/Regulation of Outdoor Lighting - Uplighting

Article 8 Bylaw Amendment/Minuteman Bikeway Hours

Mr. Dunn moved to approve with the condition to amend the existing votes to reflect a 5-0 vote. SO VOTED (5-0)

CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED

12. Response to Crosswalk Request - Wachusett and Oakland
Daniel Amstutz, Senior Transportation Planner

Mr. Curro moved receipt.

SO VOTED (5-0)

NEW BUSINESS

Ms. Maher asked the Board if they would be okay for the Office to post the Draft Warrant on the Town's website mid-week.

Mr. Heim would like to thank his office staff while they are short staffed, they have really stepped up wonderfully to fill in the gaps while trying to find a replacement for the irreplaceable Mr. Marlenga.

Mr. Chapdelaine stated that the Town has recently lost our Director of Recreation, Stacey Mulroy to Needham but was able to recruit on an interim basis the recently retired Fire Chief Bob Jefferson. He has already in a weeks' time dove right in and tackled some of the challenges in the rink, the recreation program and the parks and playgrounds and we are lucky to have him and his management experience.

Mr. DeCoursey would like to wish the High School teams that have made tournament. The girls' basketball team plays tonight at Andover, the girls hockey team opens their tournament Wednesday night at the Ed Burns Arena. The girl's hockey team has a young member of their team who is a grandchild of Marie Krepelka and would like to wish Maddie Krepelka and the girls all the success in the world. On Thursday night the Boys Hockey team starts their quest for another state championship, where they are the second public high school to be the number one seed in the super 8.

Mr. Dunn wanted to remind everyone that early voting is open if you would like to come and vote the Town Hall is open Monday – Wednesday 8:00a.m. – 4:00 p.m. Thursday 8:00a.m. – 7:00p.m. and Friday 8:00a.m. – 5:00p.m. If you are unable to early vote come in on March 3rd.

Mr. Curro attended a farewell event for Mel Goldsipe and her husband Arthur. Mel was a Co-Chair of the Human Rights Commission where she pushed a number of important efforts including our safe communities, the trust act, and the expansion of our bylaw provisions for protected classes including gender expression identity. She was also a mover and then a leader in our Rainbow Commission where she did so much in such a short time. He wishes Mel and her husband Arthur good luck in their move!

Mrs. Mahon also attended Mel and Arthur’s going away party this past weekend. She would also like to note how responsive and efficient Christine Bongiorno, Director of Health and Human Services is especially on the weekends. Mrs. Mahon stated that she met with the Redevelopment Board Chair, Town Manager, Town Counsel, and Jenny Raitt, Director of Planning. They discussed the warrant articles that both Boards should report on. Mrs. Mahon stated that they attended a Mugar Coalition Meeting at Ms. Rowe’s house over the weekend with Mr. DeCoursey.

Mr. Curro moved to adjourn at 10:35p.m.

SO VOTED (5-0)

Next Scheduled Meeting of Select Board March 9, 2020

A true record attest

Ashley Maher
 Administrative Assistant
 2/24/2020

Agenda Item	Documents Used
1	FY21 CPA Final Application Summary Sheet
2	Draft 2.10.2020 minutes
3	S. Poltorzucki Reference J. Radochia Reference
4	Boston Pizza & Curry / DBA Name Change Reference
5	Schwartz Special Beer & Wine Application
6	Election Worker Master Records
7	T. Formicola Reference
8	Memo from A. Carter 2024 Whittemore Park Select Board Meeting Reference P. Worden Correspondence, 1.24.2020 P. Worden Correspondence, 2.10.2020
9	FY21 Rate Scenarios Final
10	Draft 2020 Select Board Host Community Agreement Process Criteria 2020 HCA Application
11	Warrant Article Text

	Town Counsel Comments and Reference W.A. 12 E. Sullivan Reference W.A. 12 Wording for Stormwater Bylaw Amendment W.A. 18 J. Brazile Reference W.A. 22 J. Brown Reference
Final Votes & Comments	2.24.2020 Final Votes and Comments
12	Memorandum to Board Board Reference Original Request