Wildlife Habitat and Vegetation Evaluation

Thorndike Place Dorothy Road Arlington, MA

November 2020



Prepared for: Arlington Land Realty, LLC

Matt Burne, PWS Senior Ecologist BSC Project No. 23407.00

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTROE	DUCTION	1									
2.0	METHO	DS	1									
2.1 2.2		PESKTOP REVIEW AND FIELD PREPARATION										
3.0	RESULT	S	2									
3.1		IEW										
3.2	DESKTO 3.2.1 3.2.2	DP REVIEW AND FIELD PREPARATION	.3									
3.3	0.2.2	Wetlands URVEY AURA Survey Locations	.4									
	3.3.2 3.3.3	Floodplain Survey Locations Possible Compensatory Storage Locations	.6									
	3.3.4 3.3.5	Isolated Area Wildlife Observations	.7									
4.0	SUMM	ARY OF FINDINGS	7									
	4.1.1 4.1.2 4.1.3 4.1.4	Site Context Important Wildlife Habitat Features Invasive Species Human Encampment	.7 .8									
5.0	CONCLU	JSION	9									

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Survey Site Locations

Attachment B: Thorndike Place Wildlife Habitat and Vegetation Analysis Images

Attachment C: Field Data Collection Forms



1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Thorndike Place Comprehensive Permit Civil/Site peer review conducted by BETA, dated August 5, 2020, identifies several concerns pertaining to wildlife habitat and vegetation on the project site, making several recommendations for thorough wildlife habitat and vegetation evaluation.

Recommendations include providing a field evaluation of functions and values of the Isolated Vegetated Wetland (IVW) and Arlington Bylaw Adjacent Upland Resource Areas (AURAs) to determine the area's significance to interests identified in the [Arlington] Bylaw and to conduct a wildlife habitat evaluation of the 17.7-acre site focusing on resource areas and potential loss of habitat within isolated wetlands and AURA zones.

The Arlington Regulations for Wetlands Protection (June 4, 2015) define wildlife as any non-domesticated mammal, bird, reptile, amphibian, fish, mollusk, arthropod or other invertebrate [that is not a pest], and wildlife habitat as an area being used by or necessary to provide breeding or nesting habitat, shelter, food and water for any animal species.

The Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act (WPA) defines wildlife somewhat more restrictively as all mammals, birds, reptiles and amphibians, and additionally any state-listed species (which includes invertebrates). The WPA regulations identify the important wildlife habitat functions that wetlands provide as food, shelter, migratory or overwintering areas, or breeding areas for wildlife. The regulations further recognize that it is the topography, soil structure, plant community composition and structure, and hydrologic regime that provide important wildlife habitat functions.

This report presents the findings and analysis of a field investigation of the wildlife habitat and vegetation of the Thorndike Place project site conducted on October 27, 2020 by BSC Senior Ecologist Matt Burne, PWS. Matt holds a Master of Science degree from the University of Massachusetts Amherst in Fisheries & Wildlife Conservation and was previously employed by the Massachusetts Natural Heritage & Endangered Species Program as a Vernal Pool Ecologist and Rare Species Environmental Review Biologist for almost ten years.

2.0 METHODS

2.1 DESKTOP REVIEW AND FIELD PREPARATION

Prior to conducting field data collection, a desktop assessment of the site was conducted to identify existing known resources of potential interest including:

- Rare species habitat, Massachusetts Natural Heritage an Endangered Species Program (NHESP)
- BioMap2 Core Habitat, NHESP
- Critical Natural Communities, NHESP
- Prime Agricultural Soils, Natural Resources Conservation Service
- Current and historic aerial photography, Google Earth
- Wetlands, as mapped by BSC Group
- Flood zones, Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)
- Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC), Department of Conservation and Recreation
- Important Bird Areas (IBA), National Audubon Society



Field survey points were identified in advance of field work with attention to the proposed project footprint where impacts to AURA are proposed or are immediately adjacent, to flood plain areas within the proposed project footprint, and to potentially suitable locations for compensatory storage (Attachment A).

2.2 FIELD SURVEY

A site visit was conducted on October 27, 2020 to collect data on the vegetation characteristics and important wildlife habitat features of the project site. At each field-located survey point, a 25-foot radius plot was established and vegetation was characterized within the survey plot (field forms attached as Attachment C). Field Forms developed by the Massachusetts Natural Heritage & Endangered Species Program for Quantitative Community Characterization were used to collect standardized data within each survey plot.

In addition to vegetative characterization, each survey plot was searched for signs of wildlife and for any additional features that provide important wildlife habitat values.

Survey plot center points were recorded using the ArcGIS Field Data Collector application, with GPS accuracy of approximately 15 feet under the forest cover. Photographs were collected at each survey point to create a visual record of conditions.

3.0 **RESULTS**

3.1 OVERVIEW

Much of the site is characterized by a diverse, mature forest canopy with dense understory vegetation. There are many very large specimens of Silver Maple (*Acer saccharinum*) and Cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*) throughout the property, especially near the series C wetland and on the eastern portion of the project site, near Parker Street. Several invasive exotic plant species are found throughout the site, with Garlic Mustard (*Alliaria petiolata*) especially common in the understory.

In many ways, the site is generally typical of urban forest fragments. In total, the forested area of the subject site and surrounding parcels that remain under forest canopy is approximately 18.5 acres. The setting of the forest patch that remains on this site is urban, though there is a tenable green-way connection to the bike path that leads north to Spy Pond, a Natural Heritage & Endangered Species Program BioMap2 Core Habitat and Priority Habitat polygon (PH 1421) and to the Alewife Brook Reservation, which connects to the Mystic River to the north. These connections have tree cover and are generally considered green space, though there is a heavy human presence in both corridors, and they are notably narrow.

This forest fragment is therefore not entirely isolated, despite the dense development surrounding it and the presence of the Route 2 corridor to its south, which isolates it from open space connected to Little Pond and Alewife Brook to the south. There is no direct connection to the Important Bird Area at Fresh Pond to the south in Cambridge.

Evidence was detected of several common bird species and a small number of mammals typical of urban woodland patches. There were no amphibians or reptiles encountered during the site visit, but it is recognized that late October is late in the year for encountering these groups of organisms.



It is important to acknowledge the extensive encampment of homeless persons on the subject parcel, as this has a direct and significant impact on the wildlife habitat values of the property overall. In general, wildlife species will not cohabitate with humans, and the presence of the large encampment and extensive areas of trash and waste spread throughout site depress any wildlife habitat values that may exist in this fragmented and isolated forest patch.

3.2 DESKTOP REVIEW AND FIELD PREPARATION

The status of the resources that are mapped or described by the reference material reviewed for the desktop assessment are summarized below in Table 1.

Resource	Source*	Present/Type	Comments
Rare Species Habitat	NHESP	Not present	Project site is not within mapped Priority Habitat or Estimated Habitat for rare species, as mapped in the current NHESP Rare Species Habitat Atlas (2017).
BioMap2 Core Habitat	NHESP	Not present	Project site is not within mapped BioMap2 Core Habitat, as mapped by NHESP and available through OLIVER, the MassGIS data viewer.
Critical Natural Communities	NHESP	Not present	Project site is not located within a mapped Critical Natural Community, as mapped by NHESP and available through OLIVER, the MassGIS data viewer.
Prime Agricultural Soils	NRCS	Present	Portions of the project site are mapped as Swansea Muck, identified as a Farmland of Unique Importance.
Current and historic aerial photography	Google Earth, historicalaerials	1938, 1955, 1995 - 2018	See discussion of aerial imagery below
Wetlands	MA DEP, Parcel Specific Delineation	Present	BSC has delineated wetlands on the project site.
Flood zones	FEMA	Present	Portions of the project site lie within FEMA Zone AE
Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC)	MA DCR	Not present	Project parcel does not lie within mapped ACEC, as indicated by the current data available through OLIVER.
Important Bird Areas (IBA),	NAS	Not present	The project parcel does not lie within an IBA, and the nearest mapped IBA is Fresh Pond, approximately 1200 meters away. An additional IBA, the Mystic Valley Watershed, is mapped within 1800 meters.

Table 1: Results of Desktop Resource Review

*Full Organizational names:

NHESP - Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program

NRCS - Natural resources Conservation Service

MA DEP – Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection

NAS - National Audubon Society

3.2.1 Historical Site Context

Aerial photography available on Google Earth was reviewed to evaluate changes in land use and cover type. The earliest imagery provided on the Google Earth platform was from 1995, and this image shows no change in the landscape context or use of the property over the twenty-five year period available for review.

Using historicalaerials.com, we were able to review aerial photography from 1938 and 1955, and subsequent years leading into the modern era. In the late 1930s, the property was in active farming with a



number of distinct fields defined. Route 2 had been established several years prior (1935 or so) cutting off everything to the south of the property, and housing development was beginning to hem in the property from the north, though there was still a partial connection to the Spy Pond area with the exception of housing along Lake Street which fragmented the property from Spy Pond.

By 1955, farming had clearly been abandoned on the property, and more intensive housing development had occurred in the neighborhood of Dorothy Road and Littlejohn Street. In fact, by 1955, all of the housing in the neighborhood directly north of the property was in existence.

This parcel continued to revert to forest on the abandoned agricultural fields following the 1930s, and has been physically isolated from other natural areas for nearly 100 years.

3.2.2 Wetlands

Wetland delineations for this project site have been conducted and contested several times over nearly 20 years. We carefully reviewed current delineations and FEMA floodplain designations to plan survey plot locations to provide useful characterization of the parcel with respect to the current, significantly reduced Revised Site Plan (September 28, 2020).

The revised plan proposes no impact to Isolated Wetland (local), Bordering Vegetated Wetland, 25' No Disturb Zones for Isolated or Bordering Vegetated Wetlands, and significantly reduces proposed impacts to 100' Buffer and AURA associated with Bordering Vegetated Wetland and to Floodplain resources on the site.

Field data collection was planned for four (4) locations in AURA-BVW, three (3) locations in FEMA Floodplain, two (2) locations in possible Compensatory Flood Storage sites, and one (1) location in a very small Isolated Area on the northeast of the site that has been delineated as a wetland previously and which has had some question raised about possible function as a vernal pool. Two of the ten survey points were situated within the encampment and were therefore not included in the survey (see below).

3.3 FIELD SURVEY

3.3.1 AURA Survey Locations

AU-B9 Terrestrial deciduous forest with dense shrub layer Tree canopy 35% cover composed of Ash (20%), Norway maple (10%), Black Locust (5%) Shrub layer 20% cover composed of rose (15%), Chokeberry (Tr) Vines present include Oriental Bittersweet (20%) Herbaceous layer 70% composed of Garlic Mustard

> Topography is gently sloping, dry loamy soil with thin litter and duff layer There is a large amount of downed woody debris (30% cover) with a high fuel load One snag > 4" DBH; few cavities observed Few small mammal burrows observed

Evidence of dumping including concrete and macadam Extensive invasive exotic plants



AU-C10 Terrestrial deciduous forest with well-developed shrub layer Tree canopy 75% composed of Silver Maple (50%), Poplar (10%), Ash (10%) and Cherry (Tr) Shrub layer 20% cover composed of Box Elder (10%), Elm (10%) Vines including Oriental Bittersweet and grape present (20%) Herbaceous layer composed of Garlic Mustard (70%) and Japanese Knotweed (20%)

> Topography is gently sloping toward C-series wetland There is a large amount of downed woody debris (40% cover) with moderate fuel loads Three snags > 4" DBH, few cavities observed No small mammal burrows observed

Evidence of human disturbance including refuse Extensive exotic invasive plants

AU-C16 Terrestrial deciduous forest

Tree canopy 65% cover with Tree of Heaven (30%), American Elm (10%), and Cherry (5%) Tree sub-canopy layer composed of very old fruit trees (25%) Tangled shrub layer of Amur Honeysuckle (5%), vines (20%) including Bittersweet Herbaceous layer 75% cover composed of Garlic Mustard

Topography is gently sloping toward C-series wetland There is a small amount of downed woody debris (15%) with moderate fuel loads No snags >4" DBH; no cavities observed No small mammal burrows observed

Significant amount of trash and waste materials in this location Extensive exotic invasive plants

AU-D18 Terrestrial deciduous forest with relatively open understory
 Tree canopy 75% composed of Black Cherry (70%) and Silver Maple (5%)
 Tree sub-canopy and shrub layer 30% with Black Cherry, Poison Sumac, and Tree-of-Heaven
 Shrub and herbaceous layer 60% composed of American Pokeweed, Goldenrod, Buckthorn

Topography is essentially flat There is only a trace amount of downed woody debris with a moderate fuel load There are several snags >4" DBH (Tree-of-Heaven) and Cherry; few cavities No small mammal burrows observed

Immediately adjacent to the largest encampment on the property. Survey Plot has the least Garlic Mustard on the site Evidence of dumping including concrete and macadam Impact of highway evident



3.3.2 Floodplain Survey Locations

FP-1 Terrestrial deciduous forest with moderate understory
 Tree canopy 80% composed of Cherry (60%), Box Elder (20%) and Black Oak (5%)
 Understory composed of brambles, Chokecherry (10%), American Pokeweed (10%)
 Herbaceous layer 80% composed of Garlic Mustard (70%), Goldenrod (5%)

Topography is generally flat There is a moderate amount of downed woody debris (25%) and moderate fuel load One snag 4" DBH present

Invasive exotic shrubs/vines are present but sparse, including Bittersweet, Knotweed There are abundant plants that produce food for wildlife Some evidence of the homeless encampment, including trash within survey plot Evidence of dumping including concrete and macadam

FP-2 Terrestrial deciduous forest with fairly open understory
 Tree canopy 80% with Ash (20%), Norway Maple (40%), Red Maple (10%), Elm (5%)
 Tree sub-canopy and shrub layer composed of Cherry (5%), Norway Maple (5%)
 Herbaceous layer 90% composed of Garlic Mustard, Sensitive Fern, ivy

Topography is generally flat Small amount of downed woody debris, including 18" DBH trunk, moderate fuel load

Survey plot includes some very large trees, including specimens of 24" and 30" DBH Site is close to Dorothy Road and there is evidence of yard waste dumping Evidence of dumping concrete macadam

FP-3 Located within encampment and therefore not surveyed

3.3.3 Possible Compensatory Storage Locations

CS-1 Terrestrial deciduous forest with open understory Tree canopy 100% composed of Norway Maple. Elm and Cherry present (Tr) Understory has trace amount of Linden and Bittersweet

> Topography gently sloping to the west Small amount of downed wood debris (5%) with moderate fuel load No snags observed; no cavities observed No small mammal burrows observed

Some residential encroachment of lawn area, but no other evidence of impacts Garlic mustard is present outside of plot at fence line

CS-2 Located within encampment and therefore not surveyed



3.3.4 Isolated Area

IA-1 Distinct topographic depression Cottonwood trees on edge of basin Knotweed and ferns in basin

This was evaluated for vernal pool habitat potential and does not meet such criteria

3.3.5 Wildlife Observations

Few animals were observed during the field survey on October 27, 2020. A dead Eastern gray squirrel (*Sciurus carolinensis*) was observed at the forest edge, opposite 65 Dorothy Road. An Eastern Cottontail rabbit (*Sylvilagus floridanus*) was observed near Plot IA-1. Fresh canid scat was found at Plot AU-B9. It is believed to be that of Eastern Coyote (*Canis latrans*), given apparent contents of the droppings (Photo AU-B9 #867).

Several birds were heard or observed within the forested parcel. Species included Northern Cardinal (*Cardinalis cardinalis*), Black-capped Chickadee (*Poecile atricapillus*), Blue Jay (*Cyanocitta cristata*), Downy Woodpecker (*Picoides pubescens*) and American Robin (*Turdus migratorius*).

Residents of the abutting neighborhood have stated that they have observed increased pest species activity, including rats. No evidence of rats or other pest species was observed during the field survey.

4.0 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

4.1.1 Site Context

Fragmentation and isolation of forest patches have long-term adverse impacts on forests and wildlife habitat values associated with isolated patches. Fragmentation reduces overall forest health and leads to a loss of biodiversity, and increases invasive plants, pests, and pathogens. Isolation at the landscape scale inhibits the movement of plants and animals over the long-term.

As discussed above, the subject parcel has been isolated for nearly a century, since the construction of Route 2 on its south and the development of dense housing to its north. There is a greenway connection to Spy Pond and the Mystic River through existing bike paths, which mitigates the effects of isolation to a certain degree, but this remains a significantly isolated and therefore compromised patch of forest.

4.1.2 Important Wildlife Habitat Features

Survey plots were established in locations where direct impact to Arlington Bylaw Adjacent Upland Resource Areas (AURAs) is proposed or immediately adjacent, and to Floodplain sites that would be directly affected by proposed work, as well as to two locations where Compensatory Storage may be proposed for the project.

Using the Wetlands Protection Act Wildlife Habitat Protection Guidance, Appendix B: Detailed Wildlife Habitat Evaluation as a basis for site evaluation, BSC Group evaluated the project site for features that provide important wildlife habitat.



- Wetland/Aquatic Food Plants were not detected in survey plots. This is a result of locating plots primarily in AURA and floodplain locations. No plots were established within the flagged wetlands. Upland Food Plants are present on the project site, found in several of the survey plots. The project will not adversely affect availability of wetland plants that are important for wildlife food, but may marginally diminish available upland wildlife food plants. Mitigation of this impact could be accomplished with careful landscape planning.
- The property is characterized by numerous large trees, many of which are near or in excess of 30" DBH. We did not conduct an inventory of such trees as part of this evaluation, but they were present at five (5) of the eight (8) survey plots. Large trees were mostly living, and there were few dead standing trees across the site, and relatively few snags or cavities, considering the extensive amount of downed woody debris.
- The most significant feature found throughout the site is the extensive amount of downed woody debris. Each survey plot was characterized by a large amount of woody debris, from very small, typically abundant fuel wood to a number of quite large downed tree trunks. This feature can be particularly valuable to small mammals, reptiles and amphibians. The project may reduce available downed woody debris within the small amount of jurisdictional resource area proposed for alteration. However, we believe that the proportion of available woody debris on the site will not be adversely affected due to its abundance at all survey plots. Mitigation of this impact could be accomplished by placing coarse woody debris in compensatory storage areas or in AURA zones and with careful consideration in landscape design and implementation.
- Rocks, rock piles, and debris were also abundant on the project site, which can all provide valuable cover objects for small mammals, reptiles and amphibians.
- There was no suitable turtle nesting habitat, nor wetlands likely to support rare species. The large wetland on site (Series C) is dominated by Phragmites, and as such not expected to provide important waterfowl habitat.
- There are no depressions that appear to provide likely vernal pool habitat on the site.

4.1.3 Invasive Species

The site is characterized by the presence of invasive exotic plant species throughout most survey plots. Garlic Mustard is especially abundant throughout the site, dominating the herbaceous layer of the forest. Garlic Mustard forms dense stands and crowds out native plants. It is also allelopathic, affecting suitability of soil to native plants. Alteration of a native flora by invasive plants is known to alter the value of forest and wetland habitats for wildlife. The abundance of Garlic Mustard, and presence of Japanese Knotweed and Oriental Bittersweet at most survey sites has a significant adverse effect on wildlife.

4.1.4 Human Encampment

Two survey plots, FP-3 and CS-2, were located directly within the human encampment located on the property and therefore not surveyed. There is no suitable habitat value to an area with extensive, on-going habitation.

It is important to note the adverse effects on wildlife habitat values in the forest and wetlands on the project site resulting from the extensive human encampment. The extensive amount of trash that is spread throughout the site has a direct effect of eliminating important wildlife habitat functions. Trash may be construed to provide shelter for some species, and may attract prey organisms, but it eliminates natural



cover, may introduce toxins to soil and water resources, and expands the footprint of human habitation which most wildlife make an effort to avoid.

The encampment on the site of the proposed project has a direct negative impact on the wildlife habitat values of the woods and wetlands.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The BSC Group investigation of the Wildlife Habitat and Vegetation on the site of the proposed Thorndike Place project identified suitable resources for common wildlife species that would normally be expected in an urban/suburban forest fragment of this size. Rabbit, squirrel, and (presumed) coyote were seen, along with a variety of passerine birds. Raccoon, skunk, fox, and possibly deer, and other human-adapted or human-tolerant species are likely to occur in this patch of woods over time. Wetlands on site could also support some species of frog, and the surrounding woods might provide non-breeding habitat for these.

The site is largely isolated from surrounding natural areas which significantly reduces its wildlife habitat value. The forest's potential habitat value is further diminished by extensive invasive exotic plants throughout the site, and by the large human presence on the property.

The current revised proposed project has eliminated a significant amount of direct wetland, buffer zone, and Adjacent Upland Resource Area impacts. The project's effects on wildlife habitat values of the jurisdictional resource areas on the project site have been reduced dramatically from earlier proposals. Through careful design and implementation of flood storage mitigation areas and thoughtful, wildlife-focused landscape planning, the project should have a net beneficial outcome on the wildlife habitat values of the project site.









AU-B9 #866: Survey plot has a dense tangle of bittersweet, rose, and downed woody debris. A large Ash tree dominates the canopy.



AU-B9 #867: Canid scat observed in Survey Plot

Thorndike Place Wildlife Habitat and Vegetation Analysis Arlington, MA Site Photographs Page 1
BSC GROUP



AU-C10 #871: Large Silver Maple tree amid generally sparse understory and moderate course woody debris



AU-C10 #873: Open understory with course woody debris and small stand of Japanese Knotweed





AU-C16 #878: Old apple/fruit trees and refuse associated with encampment.



AU-C16 #880: Garlic mustard understory

Thorndike Place Wildlife Habitat and Vegetation Analysis Arlington, MA Site Photographs Page 3
BSC GROUP



AU-D18 #881: Cherry and maple make up the canopy trees, and the understory is fairly diverse, with American Pokeweed and Goldenrod dominant.



AU-D18 #882: Homeless encampment has a significant effect on wildlife habitat values of forest and wetlands on the site.





FP-1 #876: Relatively open understory with coarse woody debris and mature overstory trees.



FP-1 #877: Oak and chokecherry occur over garlic mustard





FP-2 #874: Large mature trees in overstory, with a sparse understory and a lot of coarse woody debris.



FP-1 #875: Area has sensitive fern and poison ivy and other indicators of moist floodplain conditions.





CS-1 #869: Very open understory under complete canopy of a large Norway Maple.



CS-1 #870: Survey Plot was very sparse in the understory and ground cover, with some coarse woody debris.





IA-1 #885: Distinct depression with stand of Japanese Knotweed. No vernal pool characteristics.



FP-1 #877: Very large Cottonwood trees in close proximity to IA-1 depression

Thorndike Place Wildlife Habitat and Vegetation Analysis Arlington, MA Site Photographs Page 8

A. Ic	lentifiers (general EOR information)					
1.	Community type (observed):	-	2. GPS Point:	42	46/33@	71 151239
3.	Assigned type (NHESP use):	10 W	4. Lat:	N	Long	W
5.	Site name: Thornality place	n	6. Quad name(s):			
7	Ecoregion (DFW):		8. County name(s):			
9.	Town: Arbington	_10.Directions:				
11.	Survey date 10/27/20	12. Previous observati	ions at this site:			
13.	Surveyors: MRSUME					

B. Environmental Description

D. Environmental Description	r	
14. PLOT # AU B9	15. Photos taken (V) N; 0866, 0867 Identifier MB thorn 2	16. Elevation (from topo): m or ft
17. Topographic position:	 18. Topographic sketch: 19. Slope aspect:	20. Slope Class (Percent): Flat (<2%) Steep (48-95%) Gentle (2-9%) Very Steep (>95%) Moderate (10-25%) Abrupt (cliff or ledge) Rather Steep (26-47%) 21. Slope Shape: Vertically: Concave Convex Linear Horizontally: Concave Convex Linear
22. Downed Wood (within or partially within plot) Max. diameter/length/decay class: 3 4	 25. Un-vegetated surface (check the single, most dominant feature): Bedrock Large rocks (boulders > 24 in.) Small rocks (stones 10-24 in.) Cobbles (2-9 in.) Gravel (<2 in.) Sand Litter Bare soil Water Other: 26. Combined litter & duff depth: Image: Amount of the single 27. Parent material:	28. Moisture regime: Very dry Dry Wet Moist Saturated Periodically inundated Permanently inundated
30. Sphagnum hummocks overhanging water: (only if >25 m² and visible from plot) GPS point (location): Size of habitat: 3 water depths inches) Circle: Moving channels or Pools of Water Comments: 33. Environmental Comments: vegetation homo Robins Calling Fresh Scal - coull be conjote Toowned Wood	. Tangled unde	

Constru (?)					12	2		Carla mustu			B. Hersweek	Chokeberry	Rose	Black locu (t	Sucanor	Harwan mash	Ash	41. Plant Species & abundance: list	39. Photo Cover Type:	Perennial	- Semi-Evergreen	Deciduous	C. VEGETATION 34. System:
?) scart downed werd	-						~	St. S	-40		20	Tr	- 15	5	T	10	20	Plant Species & abundance: list each species and the corresponding cover class for each stratum.	39a. Field-Observed Cover Type:	Sparse dwarf shrubland		Sparse woodland	ine
											-							er class for each stratum.	wer Type: forest w dense		Sparse shrubland Dwarf scrub thicket	Woodland Scrub thicket	Estuarine 35. PLOT NUMBER: 40. S
																			H Herbaceous N Non-vascular V Vine / liana	S1 Tall shrub S2 Short shrub	ру	tree	trata/life forms
		•			c													-	15 15	8 20	80 35 26 10	K	36. Plot Dimensions: 29 / 0 M height (m or ft) % cover Co
́ х				>						-									0,5//<	3 =26-50% 4 =51-75%	1 =1-5% 2 =6-25%	+ <1%	M. Cover Classes

Form 3: Quantitative Community Characterization

MA Nati	ural Heritage & Endangered Species Pro	gram
A. Identifiers (general EOR information)		
1. Community type (observed):	2. GI	PS Point:
3. Assigned type (NHESP use):	4. La	t:N LongW
	6. Quad name	
7. Ecoregion (DFW):	8. County nat	me(s):
9. Town: Aclington	8. County name 10.Directions: 60 0 1	from INF C-10
11. Survey date 10/27/20	12. Previous observations at this site:	
13. Surveyors: MR Burne		
B. Environmental Description	2 ⁵⁷⁵ 15	
14. PLOT # AU CIO	15. Photos taken (Y) N; Identifier <u>871</u> , 872, 873	16. Elevation (from topo): m or ft
17. Topographic position: Summit/Crest High slope Step in slope Mid slope Toe of slope Low slope Channel wall Basin floor Channel bed Other Other	18. Topographic sketch: 19. Slope aspect: 27. Slight to E	 20. Slope Class (Percent): Flat (<2%) Steep (48-95%) Gentle (2-9%) Very Steep (>95%) Moderate (10-25%) Abrupt (cliff or ledge) Rather Steep (26-47%) 21. Slope Shape: Vertically: Concave Convex Linear Horizontally: Concave Convex Linear
22. Downed Wood (within or partially within plot) Max. diameter/length/decay class: $////30$ Average diameter for all downed wood ≥ 4 in. (estimate) Abundance of downed wood ≥ 4 in. diameter (using cover classes) 40^{7} . 23. Fuel load (< ¼ inch in diameter): Low = 1 Moderate = 2) High = 3 24. Snags $\geq 4^{\circ}$ DBH: Species DBH ht. $\frac{6}{15}$ $\frac{15}{15}$ $\frac{15}{15}$ $\frac{15}{15}$ $\frac{15}{15}$ $\frac{15}{15}$	 25. Un-vegetated surface (check the single, most dominant feature): Bedrock Large rocks (boulders > 24 in.) Small rocks (stones 10-24 in.) Cobbles (2-9 in.) Gravel (<2 in.) Sand Litter Bare soil Water Other: 26. Combined litter & duff depth: inches 27. Parent material:	 28. Moisture regime: Very dry Dry Wet Saturated Periodically inundated Permanently inundated 29. Soil type (if observed) sand clay peat muck
30. Sphagnum hummocks overhanging water: (only if >25 m ² and visible from plot) GPS point (location):	31. Evidence of Land Use History: stone walls, barbed wire, wolf	32. Evidence of Disturbance: <u>Fires</u> : fire scars, charcoal, standing snags

Size of habitat: 3 water depths (max. inches) Circle: Moving channels or Pools of Water

Comments:

33. Environmental Comments: vegetation homogeneity, erosion / sedimentation, invasive species presence/distribution, etc:

foundations, wells

cut stumps, multi-trunk trees,

Other Invanives

Blowdowns: aligned downed trees

Disease: adelgid, gypsy moth, beech bark

Ice damage: broken tree tops

Other:

trees

Knot used, barlic Anstard Divere the Aperics

			Corolad	Br Henrewick			Carta busitin	Enstweed		S/m	Box Elder	5	Cherry	2K	Poplar	Solver mayely	41. Plant Species & abundance: lis	39. Photo Cover Type:		Perennial	Semi-Evergreen	Deciduous Semi-deciduous	8.	C VEGETATION 34. System:
			0/	10			00 X	20		10	10		T	14	10 .	20	Plant Species & abundance: list each species and the corresponding cover class for each stratum.	39a. Field-Observed Cover Type:		Sparse dwarf shrubland Herbaceous	Shrubland Dwarf shrubland	Forest Sparse woodland	nom	N Terrestrial Palustrine
					G.											+ Chumpion file in	g cover class for each stratum.	d Cover Type:	1		Sparse shrubland Dwarf scrub thicket	Woodland Scrub thicket		Estuarine 35. PLOT N
	-															plot 28" d						tree	strata/life forms	PLOT NUMBER: AU CIO 36. 1
а З																n pack of 5 years		15	100	20 10	80 BB	í	height (m or ft) % cover	36. Plot Dimensions: 76
													1	I N	1.22	A A A			5 >75%	3 =26-50% 4 =51-75%	1 =1-5% 2 =6-25%	+ <1%	Cover Classes	1-AM

A. Ie	lentifiers (general EOR information)			k Nac	
1,	Community type (observed):		2. GPS Point:	42 40109	71 150064
3.	Assigned type (NHESP use):		4. Lat:	N Long	W
5.	Site name:		6. Quad name(s):		
7.	Ecoregion (DFW):		8. County name(s):		
9.	Town:	10.Directions:			
	Survey date 10/27/20 Surveyors: Magune	12. Previous observ	vations at this site:	1. (a)	

B. Environmental Description

b. Environmental Description		
14. PLOT # Ay 016	15. Photos taken (V) N; Identifier <u>0878</u> , 0879,0880	16. Elevation (from topo): m or ft
17. Topographic position: Summit/Crest High slope Step in slope Mid slope Toe of slope ✓ Low slope Rolling Terrain Level Level Channel wall Basin floor Channel bed Other Step in slope	18. Topographic sketch:	20. Slope Class (Percent): Flat (<2%) Steep (48-95%) Gentle (2-9%) Very Steep (>95%) Moderate (10-25%) Abrupt (cliff or ledge) Rather Steep (26-47%) 21. Slope Shape: Vertically: Concave Convex Linear Horizontally: Concave Convex Linear
22. Downed Wood (within or partially within plot) Max. diameter/length/decay class: $////////////////////////////////////$	 25. Un-vegetated surface (check the single, most dominant feature): Bedrock Large rocks (boulders > 24 in.) Small rocks (stones 10-24 in.) Cobbles (2-9 in.) Gravel (<2 in.) Sand Litter Bare soil Water Other: 26. Combined litter & duff depth: inches 27. Parent material: 	 28. Moisture regime: Very dryWetWetMoistSaturated Periodically inundatedPermanently inundated 29. Soil type (if observed)loamloamloamloamloamnuck other
30. Sphagnum hummocks overhanging water: (only if >25 m² and visible from plot) GPS point (location): Size of habitat: 3 water depths inches) Circle: Moving channels or Pools of Water Comments:	31. Evidence of Land Use History: stone walls, barbed wire, wolf trees cut stumps, multi-trunk trees, foundations, wells Other <u>Old fruit trees</u>	32. Evidence of Disturbance: <u>Fires</u> : fire scars, charcoal, standing snags <u>Blowdowns</u> : aligned downed trees <u>Ice damage</u> : broken tree tops <u>Disease</u> : adelgid, gypsy moth, beech bark Other:
33. Environmental Comments: vegetation homo lacation 57 encompto Tancles under ste	ent. Coptous trash	с <u>а</u>

0

Cardinal obser		and Del was	Land's boos	111100 200	Robert Charles	Tracked white	have l'arrive						My Lowend	Ε.	0.000	There	Annalian	Allian this +	41. Plant Species & abundance: list	39. Photo Cover Type:	Annual	Semi-Evergreen Evergreen	¹ Semi-deciduous	W	C. VEGETATION 34. System:
observed new, chickadee		C N 20 C	iter of the		2 Ling	N (/ GOIN 70	where 6 x	-				11	about a 24		(1)	R.	Stime 10	30	Plant Species & abundance: list each species and the corresponding cover class for each stratum	39a. Field-Observed Cover Type:	Sparse dwart shrubland Herbaceous	Dwarf shrubland	Sparse woodland	gnomi	J Terrestrial Palustrine
	54				-		put t					*			2	3			cover class for each stratum.	Cover Type: funct	Sparsely vegetated	Dwarf scrub thicket	Scrub thicket	Winna	Estuarine 35. PLO
						-								~					-		S1 Tall shrub S2 Short shrub	T2 Tree canopy T3 Tree sub-canopy	T1 Emergent tree_	40. Strata/life forms	PLOT NUMBER: AN COL 6 36.
	-								,		1.									25	20 60	y 50 26		<u>height (m or ft)</u> % cover	Plot Dimensions: 25'
										2.01										5 >75%	3 =26-50% 4 =51-75%	1 =1-5% 2 =6-25%	+ <1%	Cover Classes	Raz.

A. Io	lentifiers (general EOR information)	
1.	Community type (observed):	2. GPS Point: 42 401132 71 149/18
3.	Assigned type (NHESP use):	4. Lat:N LongW
5.	Site name:	6. Quad name(s):
7.	Ecoregion (DFW):	8. County name(s):
9.	Town: Arthyfer	10.Directions:
11.	Survey date 16/27/20	12. Previous observations at this site:
13.	Surveyors: Mrc Showna	

B. Environmental Description

14. PLOT # AU D18	15. Photos taken Y N;	16. Elevation (from topo): m or ft						
17. Topographic position: Summit/Crest High slope Step in slope	Identifier Ogg 18. Topographic sketch: IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	20. Slope Class (Percent): Flat (<2%) Steep (48-95%) Gentle (2-9%) Very Steep (>95%)						
Mid slope Toe of slope Low slope Rolling Terrain Level Channel wall	2 En Component	Moderate (10-25%) Abrupt (cliff or ledge) Rather Steep (26-47%) 21. Slope Shape:						
Basin floorChannel bed	R40. 2 19. Slope aspect:	Vertically: Concave Convex Linear Horizontally: Concave Convex Linear						
22. Downed Wood (within or partially within plot)	25. Un-vegetated surface (check the single, most dominant feature):	28. Moisture regime:						
Max. diameter/length/decay class: 	Bedrock Large rocks (boulders > 24 in.) Small rocks (stones 10-24 in.) Cobbles (2-9 in.)	Wery dry Dry Wet Moist Saturated						
Abundance of downed wood ≥4 in. diameter (using cover classes) <u><i>Pr</i></u> <i>Here isoburne</i> (<i>wted</i> , <i>buf most</i> (4 23. Fuel load (< ¼ inch in diameter):	Gravel (<2 in.) Sand Litter Bare soil Water	Periodically inundated Permanently inundated						
Low = 1 Moderate = 2 High = 3	Other:	29. Soil type (if observed)						
24. Snags \geq 4" DBH: Species DBH ht. $\frac{Cherry}{Alliantlus}$ (5) $\frac{3}{4}$ $\frac{3}{10}$	26. Combined litter & duff depth:	sandloam claypeat muck						
	27. Parent material:	other						
30. Sphagnum hummocks overhanging water: (only if $>25 \text{ m}^2$ and visible from plot)	31. Evidence of Land Use History:	32. Evidence of Disturbance:						
GPS point (location):	stone walls, barbed wire, wolf trees	Fires: fire scars, charcoal, standing snags						
Size of habitat:	cut stumps, multi-trunk trees,	Blowdowns: aligned downed trees						
3 water depths (max. inches)	foundations, wells	Ice damage: broken tree tops						
Circle: Moving channels or Pools of Water	Other Chunks of pavement	Disease: adelgid, gypsy moth, beech bark						
Comments:		Other:						
33. Environmental Comments: vegetation homog	Mat we some topogram	phie Undulation						
Chicadee Flyin, Blu- Significant homele	e Say carring	1.0						
Supression rumeles	n - m camp man ne							

Downey by			Buck thank	Coltanto d	1. 000	Nove Little ///N	Wash Cherry	Poson Summer		Colored Da. 15	S.	41. Plant Species & abundance: list e	39. Photo Cover Type:	Semi-Evergreen Evergreen Perennial Annual	37. Leaf phenology: Deciduous Semi-deciduous	C. VEGETATION 34. System:
1000/200×			76		110-1 20		1 lo to	K TI		2	8 2t	Plant Species & abundance: list each species and the corresponding cover class for each stratum.	39a. Field-Observed Cover Type:	Snrubland Dwarf shrubland Sparse dwarf shrubland Herbaceous	38. Physiognomic type: Forest Sparse woodland	ine
-							"In Alexander S N 2011				te 12" 1284	ver class for each stratum.	over Type:	Dwarf scrub thicket Non-vascular Sparsely vegetated	Scrub thicket	Estuarine 35. PLOT NUMBER:
							Show where .						H Herbaceous N Non-vascular V Vine / liana	12 Itec canopy 60 T3 Tree sub-canopy 60 S1 Tall shrub 25 S2 Short shrub 12	tree	MBER: AUD'12 36. Plot Dimensions:
	-	a			-								5 >/2%	30	26	orft) % cover Cover Classes

least carrie mustered of white sie

A. Ic	lentifiers (general EOR information)			101372	
$\mathbf{l}_{i\ast}$	Community type (observed):		2. GPS Point:	41 40 2005	71 149881
3.	Assigned type (NHESP use):		4. Lat:	N Long	W
5.	Site name:		6. Quad name(s):		
7	Ecoregion (DFW):		8. County name(s):		
9.	Town: Arlington	10.Directions:	8. Si		
	Survey date 10/27/20 1/30	A 12. Previous observa	tions at this site:		
13.	Surveyors:				

B. Environmental Description

14. PLOT # FP 1	15. Photos taken Y N; Identifier 0876 0877	16. Elevation (from topo): m or ft
17. Topographic position: Summit/Crest High slope Step in slope Mid slope Toe of slope Low slope Rolling Terrain Level Channel wall Basin floor Channel bed Other Meed Marm	 18. Topographic sketch: 38. Topographic sketch: 39. Slope aspect: 	 20. Slope Class (Percent): Flat (<2%) Steep (48-95%) Gentle (2-9%) Very Steep (>95%) Moderate (10-25%) Abrupt (cliff or ledge) Rather Steep (26-47%) 21. Slope Shape: Vertically: Concave Convex Linear Horizontally: Concave Convex Linear
22. Downed Wood (within or partially within plot) Max. diameter/length/decay class: 10^{-1} 40^{-1}	25. Un-vegetated surface (check the single, most dominant feature):	28. Moisture regime: Very dry Dry
30. Sphagnum hummocks/overhanging water: (only if >25 m² and visible from plot) GPS point (location): Size of habitat: 3 water depths inches) Circle: Moving channels or Pools of Water Comments: 33. Environmental Comments: vegetation homo Some Some	31. Evidence of Land Use History: stone walls, barbed wire, wolf trees cut stumps, multi-trunk trees, foundations, wells Other <u>Anneks</u> pavement <u>stancts</u> <u>stance</u> geneity, erosion / sedimentation, invasive speci <i>home bars en component</i>	32. Evidence of Disturbance: Fires: fire scars, charcoal, standing snags Blowdowns: aligned downed trees Ice damage: broken tree tops Disease: adelgid, gypsy moth, beech bark Other: es presence/distribution, etc:

Woodland Served Cover Type: <u>Farware</u> nding cover class for each stratum. <u>Sparsely vegetated</u> <u>Sparsely vegetated</u>	40. Strata/life forms TI Emergent tree_ T2 Tree canopy_ T3 Tree sub-canopy_ S1 Tall shrub_ H Herbaceous_ N Non-vascular_ V Vine / liana I I Herbaceous_ N Non-vascular_ V Une / liana	taistad	e	price somet	Krotwerd	forthe mustar	American proces	Grander Clistercherry	Charles Carl	d'aller	Cherry	lant Species & abundance: list each s	Photo Cover Type:	uous deciduous Evergreen rcen nial al	37. Leaf phenology: 38.
		(Secr	present		11 e A /0	10		26	C)	species and the corresponding cover class for	39a. Field-Observed Cover Type:	rubland	
	rms height (m or ft) % cover anopy 80 ub-canopy 50 thub 25 shrub 25 coous 25 liana 15 liana 1	ts of CWB, x			3-2- 2-2-		3					1 1		st 13 22 22 23	

	A Natural Heritage & Endangered Species Program MB Thorn 5
A. Identifiers (general EOR informatio	
1. Community type (observed):	2. GPS Point: 47 401643 71109573
3. Assigned type (NHESP use):	
5. Site name:	6. Quad name(s):
7. Ecoregion (DFW):	8. County name(s):
9. Town: Arlington	10.Directions:
11. Survey date/2/20	
13. Surveyors:M2_3	a
B. Environmental Description	

14. PLOT #	15. Photos taken (V) N; Y74,875 Identifier MB Tharn 5	16. Elevation (from topo): m or ft										
17. Topographic position: Summit/Crest	18. Topographic sketch: 19. Slope aspect:	 20. Slope Class (Percent): Flat (<2%) Steep (48-95%) Gentle (2-9%) Very Steep (>95%) Moderate (10-25%) Abrupt (cliff or ledge) Rather Steep (26-47%) 21. Slope Shape: <u>Vertically</u>: Concave Convex Linear <u>Horizontally</u>: Concave Convex Linear 										
22. Downed Wood (within or partially within plot) Max. diameter/length/decay class: Average diameter for all downed wood ≥ 4 in.	 25. Un-vegetated surface (check the single, most dominant feature): Bedrock Large rocks (boulders > 24 in.) Small rocks (stones 10-24 in.) Cobbles (2-9 in.) Gravel (<2 in.) Sand Litter Bare soil Water Other: 26. Combined litter & duff depth: inches 27. Parent material: <u>MinCital and</u> 	28. Moisture regime: Very dry Dry Wet Moist Saturated Periodically inundated Permanently inundated 29. Soil type (if observed) <lash< li=""> </lash<>										
30. Sphagnum hummocks overhanging water: (only if >25 m² and visible from plot) 31. Evidence of Land Use History: 32. Evidence of Disturbance: GPS point (location):												

Same VEN				Courtie Multan	Sentet of form	in the		(°	Conner bulkthe	Abarras maral	Chesty	<	Flow	led maple !	Nervin Inner	Anh	41. Plant Species & abundance: list each species and the corresponding cover class for each stratum.	39. Photo Cover Type:	Semi-Evergreen Evergreen Perennial Annual	uous deciduous	C. VEGETATION 34. System: 194
ward pros				CJ 90	5	10		3	R. M	R	S		N	10 30	40 24	20 24	secies and the corresponding co	39a. Field-Observed Cover Type:	Shrubland Dwarf shrubland Sparse dwarf shrubland Herbaceous	Forest Sparse woodland	38. Physiognomic type:
har, good I			н. П											" chandlest	" aliametrar	the distinguistics	ver class for each stratum.	over Type: for the for		Woodland	
wusty of the	~	•																	12 Tree canopy T3 Tree sub-canopy S1 Tall shrub S2 Short shrub	T1 Emergent tree	40. Strata/life forms he
a led a			121	2								245			-				10 25	62	<u>height (m or ft)</u> % cover
														-				5 >75%	2 =6-25% 3 =26-50% 4 =51-75%	+ < 1%	Cover Classes

A. Io	dentifiers (general EOR information)			
1.	Community type (observed):	2. GPS Point: 42. 441566 71. 1520/0	1	10 10
3.	Assigned type (NHESP use):	4. Lat:N Long	W	
5.	Site name:	6. Quad name(s):		
7.	Ecoregion (DFW):	8. County name(s):		
9.	Town: Artington	10. Directions: Jaser measured 23 m from buk wall	1	house
11.	Survey date	12. Previous observations at this site:	e" 	
13.	Surveyors: MRB			

Ŧ

B. Environmental Description		
14. PLOT # C 5 - /	15. Photos taken (Y) N; 0869, 870 Identifier //B Harn 3	16. Elevation (from topo): m or ft
17. Topographic position: Summit/Crest High slope Step in slope Mid slope Toe of slope Low slope Toe of slope Rolling Terrain Level Level Channel wall Basin floor Channel bed Other Step to the slope away from	18. Topographic sketch:	20. Slope Class (Percent): Flat (<2%) Steep (48-95%) Gentle (2-9%) Very Steep (>95%) Moderate (10-25%) Abrupt (cliff or ledge) Rather Steep (26-47%) 21. Slope Shape: <u>Vertically</u> : Concave Convex Linear <u>Horizontally</u> : Concave Convex Linear
22. Downed Wood	25. Un-vegetated surface (check the	28. Moisture regime:
(within or partially within plot) Max. diameter/length/decay class: $\underline{9'' 12}$ Fresk net dec Average diameter for all downed wood ≥ 4 in. $\underline{-5''}$ (estimate) Abundance of downed wood ≥ 4 in. diameter (using cover classes) $\underline{-5''}$	<pre>single, most dominant feature): Bedrock Large rocks (boulders > 24 in.) Small rocks (stones 10-24 in.) Cobbles (2-9 in.) Gravel/(<2 in.) Sand Litter</pre>	Very dry Dry Wet Moist Saturated Periodically inundated Permanently inundated
23. Fuel load (< ½ inch in diameter): Low = 1 Moderate = 2 High = 3 24. Snags \geq 4" DBH: Species DBH ht.	Bare soil Water Other: 26. Combined litter & duff depth: inches	29. Soil type (if observed) sandloam claypeat muck
	27. Parent material: <u>Minchal s</u>	other
30. Sphagnum hummocks overhanging water: (only if >25 m² and visible from plot) GPS point (location): Size of habitat: 3 water depths inches) Circle: Moving channels or Pools of Water Comments:	31. Evidence of Land Use History: stone walls, barbed wire, wolf trees cut stumps, multi-trunk trees, foundations, wells Other <u>Some aneccondement</u>	 32. Evidence of Disturbance: <u>Fires</u>: fire scars, charcoal, standing snags <u>Blowdowns</u>: aligned downed trees <u>Ice damage</u>: broken tree tops <u>Disease</u>: adelgid, gypsy moth, beech bark Other:
33. Environmental Comments: vegetation homo	geneity, erosion / sedimentation, invasive specie	
	Smiplete WM Story	s prosence distribution, etc.
you a viders to y, c	amplete and so for	

Gentic m								 Britinsweet	C	1 inders	1	Cherry	American Sim	Nervan march	41. Plant Species & abundance: lis	39. Photo Cover Type:		Perennial	Semi-Evergreen	37. Leaf phenology:	C. VEGETATION 34. System:
Surtic mustard it fince line were Not present is plat.								TT		X		To	77	100	41. Plant Species & abundance: list each species and the corresponding cover class for each stratum.	39a. Field-Observed Cover Type:		Sparse dwarf shrubland Herbaceous	Shrubland	38. Physiognomic type:	Terrestrial Palustrine
the wer second															over class for each stratum.	Cover Type: fraces t	2	Non-vascular Sparsely vegetated	Sparse shrubland	Woodland	Estuarine 35. PLOT NUMBER:
tout.																	H Herbaceous	Tall shrub		lite torms	
				~												15° IC	0	10	<u>60 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1</u>	neight (m or it) <u>% cover</u> Cove	S
												2					5 >75%	3 = 26 - 50%	1 =1-5% 2 =6-25%	+ <1%	

A. Identifiers (general EOR information) 1. Community type (observed): 2. GPS Point: 3. Assigned type (NHESP use): 4. Lat: N Long 3. Assigned type (NHESP use): 6. Quad name(s): W 5. Site name: 6. Quad name(s): W 7. Ecoregion (DFW): 8. County name(s): W 9. Town: 10.Directions: W 11. Survey date 10.27/20 12. Previous observations at this site: W 13. Surveyors: MRBmme 12. Previous observations at this site: W

B. Environmental Description

14. PLOT # / A - /	15. Photos taken (Y) N; Identifier 0885, 0884	16. Elevation (from topo): m or ft
17. Topographic position: Summit/Crest High slope Step in slope Mid slope Toe of slope Low slope Rolling Terrain Level Channel wall Basin floor Channel bed Other Loff basin floor h	18. Topographic sketch: 19. Slope aspect:	 20. Slope Class (Percent): Flat (<2%) Steep (48-95%) Gentle (2-9%) Very Steep (>95%) Moderate (10-25%) Abrupt (cliff or ledge) Rather Steep (26-47%) 21. Slope Shape: <u>Vertically</u>: Concave Convex Linear <u>Horizontally</u>: Concave Convex Linear
22. Downed Wood (within or partially within plot) Max. diameter/length/decay class:	25. Un-vegetated surface (check the single, most dominant feature):	28. Moisture regime: Very dry Dry Wet Moist Saturated Periodically inundated Permanently inundated Permanently inundated Sand loam nuck other
30. Sphagnum hummocks overhanging water: (only if >25 m² and visible from plot) GPS point (location): Size of habitat: 3 water depths inches) Circle: Moving channels or Pools of Water Comments: 33. Environmental Comments: vegetation homographic Mare an a Markad with Marka and Markad with Marka and Markad with	31. Evidence of Land Use History: stone walls, barbed wire, wolf trees cut stumps, multi-trunk trees, foundations, wells Other <u>Path</u> geneity, erosion / sedimentation, invasive specie to page of the to the part Knowled, damage	32. Evidence of Disturbance: <u>Fires</u> : fire scars, charcoal, standing snags <u>Blowdowns</u> : aligned downed trees <u>Ice damage</u> : broken tree tops <u>Disease</u> : adelgid, gypsy moth, beech bark Other: es presence/distribution, etc: <u>Mon</u> <u>Marge</u> Cuffurward hof a Vernal past

									monrefell	1 - 1	RENANA	Entwice	41. Plant Species & abundance: lis	39. Photo Cover Type:	Annual	Evergreen	Semi-deciduous	37. Leaf phenology:	C. VEGETATION 34. System:
											7		Plant Species & abundance: list each species and the corresponding cover class for each stratum.	39a. Field-Observed Cover Type:	Herbaceous	Dwarf shrubland	Sparse woodland	38. Physiognomic type: Forest	Terrestrial Palustrine
		-											ng cover class for each stratum.	ed Cover Type: falled	Sparsely vegetated	Ĩ	Scrub thicket	Woodland	Estuarine 35. PLOT NUMBER:
													_	H Herbaceous N Non-vascular V Vine / liana	S1 Tall shrub S2 Short shrub		T1 Emergent tree	40. Strata/life forms h	
a																	Ð	<u>height (m or ft) </u>	S:
ā.			i. Di											%C/< C	4 = 51 - 75%	2 = 6 - 25%	+ <1% 1 =1-5%	Cov	