

Mary,

I was doing a final review of the documents noted in the draft decision, and I had a few questions where the documents had possible conflicts or where the documents appeared to conflict with some terms in the draft decision.

- There are a couple of references to a future subdivision. Is that a part of the comprehensive permit application?
- In the tabulation of spaces, what is "unusable open space"?
- In the Nitsch Trip Generation Assignment Plan (Figure 8), what do negative numbers mean?
- Sheet L102 references sheet L103 which does not appear to be included in the set.
- There is snow storage indicated near the headwall for Ryder Brook. That appears to be proximate to a wetland resource, which is prohibited in the decision. Do you know if this was discussed with the Conservation Commission?
- Is there any long or short term bike parking at Building #2?
- Sheet C-201 indicates the pole in the Mass Ave ROW is to be removed. It is indicated as existing elsewhere in the set.
- Is the water from the Building #2 roof and roadway leading to the garage restrained and treated on site?
- The tree protection detail on sheet C-602 differs from the requirements in the draft decision.
- Are there proposed locations for "Stabilized Construction Exits"? There is a detail, but I don't see call-outs on the plans.
- Is there any proposed lighting along the Mass Ave ROW? There is none currently.

Sorry for bringing these up so late. Most of this is just clarification.

Best,

Christian Klein  
Chair, Arlington ZBA  
cklein@town.arlington.ma.us