

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Arlington, Massachusetts; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Tyler Fitman, Boston (1) 617-530-8021; tyler.fitman@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Kaiti Vartholomaivos, New York + 1(212) 438 0866; kaiti.vartholomaivos@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Credit Highlights

Outlook

Related Research

Summary:

Arlington, Massachusetts; General Obligation

Credit Profile		
US\$18.09 mil GO muni purp loan ser 2023 due 12/01/2053		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	New
Arlington GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed

Credit Highlights

- S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AAA' rating to Arlington, Mass.' roughly \$18.09 million series 2023 general obligation (GO) municipal-purpose loan bonds.
- At the same time, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AAA' rating on the town's existing GO debt.
- The outlook is stable.

Security

The town's full-faith-and-credit pledge, subject to Proposition 2 1/2 limitations, secures the series 2023 bonds and GO debt outstanding. We rate the limited-tax GO debt on par with our view of Arlington's general creditworthiness because the ad valorem tax is not derived from a measurably narrower property tax base and there are no resource-fungibility limitations supporting our view of the town's overall ability and willingness to pay debt service.

Officials plan to use series 2023 bond proceeds to fund various capital projects, including the construction of the new high school and department of public works) garage, and finance takeout bond anticipation notes for the department of public works facility.

Credit overview

The rating reflects our opinion of Arlington's extremely strong tax base, which continues to see residential and mixed-use development and could benefit from the town's adoption of expanded multifamily zoning. The diverse and stable tax base is about 94% residential and 4% commercial properties. While the town intentionally used a portion of override levy reserves during the past several fiscal years, we expect Arlington will likely maintain very strong reserves and remain, at least, structurally balanced. As part of its long-term financial plan, the town recently approved another override, which will support budgetary needs and provide further growth of override reserves during the next few fiscal years.

Arlington reports surplus results again in fiscal 2023 with about \$3.7 million of expenditure savings due to conservative budgeting and some position vacancies and \$6.3 million of excess revenue, which is due mostly to continued property and other tax collection strength. The adopted, balanced fiscal 2024, \$201 million budget is a 4.4% increase over fiscal 2023, including a \$588,575 appropriation of override-stabilization funds, a standard practice for the town. Management reports the budget is performing as expected to date. It, however, expects budget pressure from benefit and retirement

liability costs. We expect the town's history of revenue-raising flexibility will likely support consistent budgetary performance.

Arlington funds other postemployment benefits (OPEB) on a pay-as-you-go basis, which, due to claims-volatility and medical-cost and demographic trends, is likely to lead to escalating costs. Management, however, also contributes to an OPEB trust fund, which has a \$19 million balance as of fiscal 2022. The town's policy is to contribute about \$805,000 annually toward the OPEB trust. While Arlington is currently managing elevated costs with a structurally balanced budget, we think it has a limited ability to control pension-liability growth.

As of the June 30, 2022, audit, Arlington participates in:

- Arlington Contributory Retirement System, which is 70.4% funded, with a proportionate share of the town's net pension liability at \$91.3 million; and
- Arlington's defined-benefit, postretirement, health-care plan, which is 9% funded, with an OPEB liability of about \$191.3 million.

Additional rating factors include our opinion of Arlington's:

- Steady tax base growth, coupled with very strong wealth and income and participation in the Boston metropolitan statistical area, which we consider broad and diverse;
- Very strong reserves despite a planned and recent use of override stabilization funds, which we expect will likely continue;
- Strong management with good and well-embedded financial-management policies, practices under our Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology--highlighted by its formal, five-year, long-term financial and capital-improvement plans and formal reserve policy--and strong Institutional Framework score; and
- Weak debt profile with about \$312 million of debt outstanding, which we think remains manageable with plans for some additional borrowing to complete the high-school project and support the town's capital plan and pension and OPEB liabilities with, what we view as, weak assumptions and methodologies, which could lead to contribution-escalation risk.

Environmental, social, and governance

We have analyzed environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors relative to Arlington's economy, budgetary outcomes, management, and debt-and-liability profile; we view them all as neutral in our credit analysis. The town's most-prevalent physical risk is flooding because it borders or crosses three waterways: the upper Mystic River, Mill Brook, and Alewife Brook. Arlington approved its updated five-year hazard-mitigation plan in 2020, including identified risk, targeted projects with funding sources, and time-frame targets to address them. In addition, the town has drafted a 2050 net-zero greenhouse-gas-emission plan.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects S&P Global Ratings' expectation that Arlington will likely maintain very strong reserves through, at least, balanced operations and that conservative budgeting, levy flexibility, and tax base support will likely

allow Arlington to manage fixed costs and liabilities.

Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if fixed costs were to increase to levels such that budgetary performance or flexibility were to weaken materially.

Ratings above the sovereign

Under our criteria, "Ratings Above The Sovereign: Corporate And Government Ratings—Methodology And Assumptions," published Nov. 19, 2013, on RatingsDirect, we rate Arlington higher than the sovereign because we think the town can maintain better credit characteristics than the nation in a stress scenario due to its predominantly locally derived revenue base and our view that pledged revenue supporting bond debt service is at limited risk of negative sovereign intervention. In 2021, local property taxes generated 71% of general fund revenue, demonstrating a lack of dependence on central government revenue.

Arlington, Massachusetts--key credit metrics				
	Most recent	--Historical information--		
		2022	2021	2020
Very strong economy				
Projected per capita EBI % of U.S.	205.3			
Market value per capita (\$)	288,602			
Population		46,108	45,277	45,557
County unemployment rate(%)		3.1		
Market value (\$000)	13,306,855	12,517,358		
Ten largest taxpayers % of taxable value	3.8			
Strong budgetary performance				
Operating fund result % of expenditures		(0.7)	(1.5)	3.1
Total governmental fund result % of expenditures		11.3	1.4	41.3
Very strong budgetary flexibility				
Available reserves % of operating expenditures		26.0	26.3	30.1
Total available reserves (\$000)		50,832	51,686	54,548
Very strong liquidity				
Total government cash % of governmental fund expenditures		44.0	33.0	39.0
Total government cash % of governmental fund debt service		589.0	568.0	549.0
Strong management				
Financial Management Assessment	Good			
Very weak debt and long-term liabilities				
Debt service % of governmental fund expenditures		7.2	5.9	7.5
Net direct debt % of governmental fund revenue	124.7			
Overall net debt % of market value	2.6			
Direct debt 10-year amortization (%)	38.6			
Required pension contribution % of governmental fund expenditures		6.2		
OPEB actual contribution % of governmental fund expenditures		3.6		

Arlington, Massachusetts--key credit metrics (cont.)

	Most recent	--Historical information--		
		2022	2021	2020

Strong Institutional Framework

Data points and ratios may reflect analytical adjustments.

Related Research

- S&P Public Finance Local GO Criteria: How We Adjust Data For Analytic Consistency, Sept. 12, 2013
- Incorporating GASB 67 And 68: Evaluating Pension/OPEB Obligations Under Standard & Poor's U.S. Local Government GO Criteria, Sept. 2, 2015
- Criteria Guidance: Assessing U.S. Public Finance Pension And Other Postemployment Obligations For GO Debt, Local Government GO Ratings, And State Ratings, Oct. 7, 2019
- 2022 Update Of Institutional Framework For U.S. Local Governments
- Through The ESG Lens 3.0: The Intersection Of ESG Credit Factors And U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, March 2, 2022

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.spglobal.com/ratings for further information. Complete ratings information is available to RatingsDirect subscribers at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.spglobal.com/ratings.

Copyright © 2023 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.