

Town of Arlington, MA Redevelopment Board

Agenda & Meeting Notice November 15, 2021

This meeting is being held remotely in accordance with the Governor's March 12, 2020 Order Suspending Certain Provisions of the Open Meeting Law G.L. c. 30A, Section 20. Public comments will be accepted during the public comment periods designated in the agenda. Per Board Rules and Regulations, public comments will be accepted during the public comment periods designated on the agenda. Written comments may be provided by email to jraitt@town.arlington.ma.us by November 15, 2021 at 4:00 p.m. The Board requests that correspondence that includes visual information should be provided by November 12, 2021 at 12:00 p.m.

The Arlington Redevelopment Board will meet Monday, November 15, 2021 at 7:30 PM in the Join via Zoom at https://town-arlington-ma-us.zoom.us/j/82950759210, Meeting ID: 829 5075 9210. To call in, dial 1-646-876-9923, 829 5075 9210 then #.

1. Public Hearings

7:30 p.m. - Continued Public Hearing

8:30 p.m. Docket #3665, 645 Massachusetts Avenue

*Please be advised that the Applicant for Docket #3665 has requested that their application be withdrawn without prejudice.

Board will continue hearing Special Permit Docket #3665 in accordance with the provisions of MGL Chapter 40A § 11, and the Town of Arlington Zoning Bylaw Section 3.4, Environmental Design Review. The applicant proposes to establish a Chase Bank location on the premises at 645 Massachusetts Avenue, Arlington, MA in the B5 Business District. The continued hearing provides for additional Board review and public comment on the project under Section 3.4, Environmental Design Review and Section 6.2, Signs.

Continued Public Hearing Docket #3348, 833 Massachusetts Avenue

Board will continue hearing Special Permit Docket #3348 in accordance with the provisions of M.G.L. Chapter 40A § 11, and the Town of Arlington Zoning Bylaw Section 3.4, Environmental Design Review, in order to review compliance with special condition 5 of the Special Permit Decision, dated April 13, 2009, and in order to hear from the property owner regarding such compliance. Special condition 5 of the Special Permit Decision refers to the future redevelopment of the Atwood House at 851 Mass Ave.

Board will review Dockets and requests from applicants and may vote on each Docket.

2. Continued preliminary discussion of zoning amendments

8:30 p.m. Board will discuss potential zoning amendments, including a report out from

the Zoning Bylaw Working Group. This discussion and future discussions will inform the Warrant Article filing process for 2022 Annual Town Meeting

3. Update on Arlington Housing Plan

9:00 p.m. Staff will provide an update on the Housing Plan to provide members with

draft plan review timeline, including meetings for ARB and Select Board

review and vote.

4. Meeting Minutes (10/25)

9:15 p.m. Board will review and approve meeting minutes

5. Open Forum

9:20 p.m. Except in unusual circumstances, any matter presented for consideration of

the Board shall neither be acted upon, nor a decision made the night of the presentation. There is a three-minute time limit to present a concern or

request.

6. Adjourn

9:40 p.m. Estimated time of adjournment

7. Correspondence Received

Correspondence received from:

S. Mraz 9-8-2021

S. Tuttle 9-12-2021

M. Guyton 9-14-2021

J. Spiller 9-23-2021

T. Kahmann 10-25-2021

D. Seltzer 11-15-2021



Town of Arlington, Massachusetts

Public Hearings

Summary:

7:30 p.m. -8:30 p.m. **Continued Public Hearing**

Docket #3665, 645 Massachusetts Avenue

*Please be advised that the Applicant for Docket #3665 has requested that their application be withdrawn without prejudice.

Board will continue hearing Special Permit Docket #3665 in accordance with the provisions of MGL Chapter 40A § 11, and the Town of Arlington Zoning Bylaw Section 3.4, Environmental Design Review. The applicant proposes to establish a Chase Bank location on the premises at 645 Massachusetts Avenue, Arlington, MA in the B5 Business District. The continued hearing provides for additional Board review and public comment on the project under Section 3.4, Environmental Design Review and Section 6.2, Signs.

Continued Public Hearing

Docket #3348, 833 Massachusetts Avenue

Board will continue hearing Special Permit Docket #3348 in accordance with the provisions of M.G.L. Chapter 40A § 11, and the Town of Arlington Zoning Bylaw Section 3.4, Environmental Design Review, in order to review compliance with special condition 5 of the Special Permit Decision, dated April 13, 2009, and in order to hear from the property owner regarding such compliance. Special condition 5 of the Special Permit Decision refers to the future redevelopment of the Atwood House at 851 Mass Ave.

Board will review Dockets and requests from applicants and may vote on each Docket.

ATTACHMENTS:

	Туре	File Name	Description
C	Reference Material	Request_for_Docket_3665_to_be_withdrawn_without_prejudice_received_from_RAnnese_10122021.pdf	Request for Docket 3665 to be withdrawn without Prejudice from R. Annese 10122021
C	Reference Material	Docket#_3665_Request_to_be_continued_to_9-27-21_meeting.pdf	Docket #3665 Request to be continued to 9- 27-21 meeting
C	Reference Material	Agenda_Item_1Memo_from_Town_Counsel_re_EDR_Process.pdf	Memo from Town Counsel re EDR Process 09232021
C	Reference Material	20210922_Memo_Banks_in_Arlington_Center.pdf	9222021 Memo Banks in Arlington Center
	Reference Material	B95122_CHS.NB.961_Arlington_Mass_Ave_r3_r1.pdf	9232021 b95122 CHS.NB961 Arlington Mass
C	Reference Material	Chase_Arlington_MAResponse_to_ARB_Meeting_Review_Comments.pdf	Ave 9182021 Chase Response to ARB Meeting Review Comments
0	Reference Material	Updated_Memo_to_ARB_re_Docket_3665_09-22-21.pdf	9222021 Memo to ARB re Docket 3665
	Reference Material	Special_Permit_ApplicationDocket_#3665time_stamped_by_DPCD_and_Clerk_s_office.pdf	8042021 Special Permit Application

Reference 645_Mass_Ave_Parking_Plan-GIS.pdf Material Reference Dimensional_and_Parking_information_sheet_filled.pdf D Material Reference 20210712_Arlington_Mass_Ave_Brand_Book_DRC_APPROVED.pdf Reference Chase_Arlington_MA_-_Narrative_for_Environmental_Design_Review_(003)_7-28.pdf Material Reference Chase_Bank_-_Arlington_MA_-_Existing_and_proposed_floor_plans.pdf Material Reference Deed.pdf Material Reference Correspondence from R._Annese_received_via_email_10262021.pdf Reference Letter_from_Annese_re_Atwood_House.pdf Reference Notification_of_Bylaw_Violations_821_Mass._Ave._to_G._Noyes_7-21-21.pdf Reference ARB_vote_regarding_821_Mass_Ave_050420.pdf Reference 833_Mass_Ave_Book_and_Page.pdf Material Reference Cover_Letter_Special_Permit_833_Mass_Ave.pdf Reference Decision_Docket_3348_833_Mass_Ave.pdf Material Reference Letter to Noyes re special permit #3348 12-16-19 public hearing 11182019.pdf Material Reference Poyant_Continuance_Letter__CVS_Arlington_MA.pdf Reference Letter_to_Noyes_re_Atwood_House_081519.pdf Reference EDR_Public_Hearing_Memo_833_Mass_Ave.pdf Material Reference CVS_Arlington_MA_Special_Permit_6.27.19_reduced.pdf Reference 2009 Decision_Docket_3348.pdf

Docket #3665 645 Mass Ave Parking Plan Dimensional and Parking information sheet 7122021 Arlington Mass Ave Brand Book 7282021 Narrative for **EDR** Chase Bank Existing and proposed floor plans Deed 10262021 833 Mass Ave Correspondence from R. Annese 9232020 Letter from Annese re Atwood House 7212021 Notification of **Bylaw Violations** 821 Mass Ave to G. Noyes 5042020 ARB Vote regarding 821 Mass Ave 833 Mass Ave Book and Page 833 Mass Ave Cover Letter for Special Permit 833 Mass Ave Docket #3348 Decision 1182019 Letter to Noves re Special Permit #3348 12-16-19 Public Hearing 9052019 Poyant continuance Letter, CVS Arlington MA 8152019 Letter to Noyes re Atwood House 8062019 EDR Public Hearing Memo 833 Mass Ave 6272019 CVS Arlington MA Special Permit

2009 Decision Docket #3348 From: "Robert Annese" < law@robertannese.com>
To: "Jennifer Raitt" < JRaitt@town.arlington.ma.us>

Cc: "'Kelly Lynema'" <KLynema@town.arlington.ma.us>, "'Ian Heanue'" <iheanue@peconsultingcorp.com>, "'Marc Sides'" <msides@core-states.com>, <richard.ramsey@wtphelan.com>, <bre>brendan@noonanrealestate.com>, "'Cabrera, Brooke C'" <bre>brooke.c.cabrera@chase.com>, "'Buscemi, Dave'" <dave.buscemi@jpmchase.com>, "'Steve Tomasello'" <stomasello@atlanticretail.com>, "'Coral Silsbe'" <Csilsbe@peconsultingcorp.com>, "'McCool, Matthew J'"

<matthew.j.mccool@chase.com>

Date: Tue, 12 Oct 2021 16:54:24 -0400

Subject: Application Under Environmental Design Review for 645 Massachusetts Avenue i.e., Chase Bank Application

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Town of Arlington's email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the REAL sender (whose email address in the From: line in "< >" brackets) and you know the content is safe.

Hi Jenny:

I have been retained by Chase Bank to represent the Bank with respect to the continued hearing scheduled for October 25, 2021.

I would be requesting that the current application i.e., Docket #3665 be withdrawn without prejudice as I would want to meet with my clients' representatives for the purpose of filing a new application with the ARB.

Would you please let me know whether this request will be granted.

Thank you.

Bob

Robert J. Annese, Esquire 1171 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

Telephone: 781-646-4911 Facsimile: 781-646-4910 law@robertannese.com

BE AWARE OF WIRE FRAUD – IF YOU RECEIVE AN EMAIL FROM OUR OFFICE REQUESTING THAT YOU WIRE FUNDS, YOU MUST CALL OUR OFFICE AND VERBALLY CONFIRM THE REQUEST PRIOR TO THE TRANSFER OF ANY FUNDS. WIRING INSTRUCTIONS WILL ONLY COME FROM OUR OFFICE. IF YOU RECEIVE INSTRUCTIONS FROM ANY OTHER PARTY (INCLUDING YOUR LENDER) CALL US IMMEDIATELY.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication contains privileged and confidential information that is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above, only. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering to addressee, you are notified that any dissemination or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify the Law Office of Robert J. Annese by phone at (781) 646-4911 and delete this communication from your system.

5 of 165

From: "Jenny Raitt" < JRaitt@town.arlington.ma.us>

To: "Mary Muszynski" <MMuszynski@town.arlington.ma.us>
Cc: "Kelly Lynema" <KLynema@town.arlington.ma.us>

Date: 09/07/2021 11:18 AM

Subject: Fwd: Chase Bank - 645 Massachusetts Avenue, Arlington MA -Special permit

Please post to the ARB page.

9/8/2021

Jennifer Raitt Director, Department of Planning and Community Development Town of Arlington

Arlington values equity, diversity, and inclusion. We are committed to building a community where everyone is heard, respected, and protected.

From: Ian Heanue <iheanue@peconsultingcorp.com>

To: Jenny Raitt <JRaitt@town.arlington.ma.us>, Mary Muszynski <MMuszynski@town.arlington.ma.us>, Kelly Lynema <KLynema@town.arlington.ma.us>

Cc: Marc Sides <msides@core-states.com>, Kaitlyn Flynn <kflynn@core-states.com>

Date: Tue, 7 Sep 2021 14:42:38 +0000

Subject: Chase Bank - 645 Massachusetts Avenue, Arlington MA -Special permit

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Town of Arlington's email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the REAL sender (whose email address in the From: line in "< >" brackets) and you know the content is safe.

Good Morning,

Please accept this email as an official request to have our special permit application (Docket #3665) for JPMorgan Characteristics Avenue, Arlington MA, continued to the next board meeting schedule on 9/27/2021.

We will work to have all updated documents submitted to your office by 9/22/2021 in order to meet the deadline for the 9/27 meeting.

If you have any questions or require any additional documentation please feel free to reach out.

Thank You,

Ian Heanue



Project Expediters Consulting Corp., WBE, WBENC Certified Project Expediters Inc, WBE, WBENC Certified Faneuil Hall Marketplace

Suite S-4035, Third Floor Boston, MA 02109 Office: 617-227-0159 Cell: 908-907-0484 Fax: 617-227-0501

4 South Market Street



Town of Arlington Legal Department

Douglas W. Heim Town Counsel 50 Pleasant Street Arlington, MA 02476 Phone: 781.316.3150 Fax: 781.316.3159

E-mail: dheim@town.arlington.ma.us
Website: www.arlingtonma.gov

To: Arlington Redevelopment Board;

Jennifer Raitt, Director of Planning and Community Development

From: Douglas W. Heim, Town Counsel

Date: September 23, 2021

Re: Special Permit Process for EDR Applications

Members of the Arlington Redevelopment Board ("ARB" or "Board"), you inquired as to the appropriate process and standards for hearing special permit applications subject to Environmental Design Review ("EDR") under your purview; specifically, whether or not the Board should evaluate EDR standards under §3.4.4 if and when the Board (or some of its members) believes that a permit application should be denied for failure to satisfy the more general special permit criteria of §3.3.3. Articulated another way, should the Board essentially bifurcate its "regular" special permit criteria from its EDR standards to implement a rounded or "phased" permitting process where it anticipates a denial? As set forth fully below, this Office does not recommend adopting a phased special permit process for EDR-qualified applications at this time. If the Board were inclined to consider such an approach, it is recommended that your regulations and application materials be updated to more clearly reflect the purpose, parameters, and timing of phased or rounded special permit hearings.

Background and Context

To this Office's understanding, the specific context of the Board's inquiry is a pending special permit application before it subject to EDR which may or may not present an undesirable or excessive use under special permit criteria 3.3.3(B) and (G). Board members inquired whether an initial determination that the proposed use was undesirable and/or excessive might foreclose further review at hearing of EDR standards and form the sufficient basis for a denial. The Director of Planning and Community Development responded that the Board's current practice under the Zoning Bylaw and ARB is not to bifurcate your consideration and decision making, and that a denial should incorporate the Board's full consideration of EDR standards as well as baseline special permit criteria of § 3.3.3. The Board, through its Chair sought further review of the issue and the opinion of this Office.

The Bylaw, Rules & Regulations, & EDR

As noted in prior memos to the Board, you are a body of limited, but special jurisdiction, functioning as a Redevelopment Authority, Planning Board, and Special Permit Granting Authority (SPGA) through the lens of Environmental Design Review ("EDR") as codified in the Zoning Bylaw. Accordingly, approximately 10 percent of the Town's special permit applications are submitted to you, each involving commercial, industrial, larger scale residential, or mixed uses "which have a substantial impact on the character of the town and on traffic, utilities, and property values, thereby affecting the public health, safety and general welfare."

In order to accomplish your goals and realize the ARB's purpose as set forth in the Bylaw, the Board utilizes the more rigorous, but also more flexible and subjective toolkit of the EDR process, which adds to special permitting standards and processes established for predominantly (though not exclusively) residential uses currently governed by the Zoning Board Appeals ("ZBA"). Indeed, §3.4.3 of the Zoning Bylaw outlines a specific procedure for EDR projects which does not apply to "regular" special permits as follows:

Procedures

- A. Application. Applicants shall submit an application for Environmental Design Review in accordance with the Arlington Redevelopment Board's ("Board") rules and regulations.
- B. The Board shall hold a public hearing in *accordance with Section 3.3 of this Bylaw* and G.L. c. 40A, §§ 9 and 11.
- C. The Board shall refer the application to the Department of Planning and Community Development ("Department"), which shall prepare and submit written reports with recommendations to the Board before or at the public hearing. The Board shall not take final action on the special permit application until it has received the Department's report or until 35 days have elapsed after submittal of the proposal to the Department. Failure of the Department to submit

written reports or to give an oral report at the public hearing shall not invalidate action by the Board.

D. A favorable decision by the Board shall require the votes of at least four members.

E. The Board shall not deny a special permit under this Section 3.4 unless it finds that the proposed use does not comply with the Environmental Design Review Standards listed below to such a degree that such use would result in a substantial adverse impact upon the character of the neighborhood or the town, and upon traffic, utilities, and public or private investments, thereby conflicting with the purposes of this Bylaw.

(emphasis added).

Reading §§ 3.4.3 (B) and (E) harmoniously suggests that EDR special permitting reflects a holistic approach whereby the ARB requires information fully responsive to both the Special Permit Criteria set forth in §3.3.3 and the twelve (12) EDR criteria set forth in §3.4.4. These requirements are echoed in Rule 14 of your Rules and Regulations, and further clarified by Rule 15, which states:

RULE 15: BOARD DECISIONS

The ARB shall review the plans and may grant a special permit subject to the conditions and safeguards listed in the Arlington Zoning Bylaw Section 3.3 and 3.3.4. For stated reasons the ARB may deny approval of a special permit or may approve a special permit without a finding of hardship. As required by M.G.L. c. 40A, §9, a positive vote of at least four members of the Redevelopment Board is needed to issue a special permit. Upon the Board's approval, the Secretary Ex-Officio may sign decisions following a vote of the Board and file decisions per requirements of M.G.L. c. 40A. The final decision shall be emailed and may receive administrative corrections following the Board's votes.

(emphasis added).

Based on the Bylaw, ARB Rules and Regulations, your application requirements, as well as a review of a collection of past decisions of the Board (and c. 40A), it is clear that in any application for an EDR permit, the applicant must address all the Special Permit Criteria set forth in §3.3.3 *and* the twelve (12) EDR criteria set forth in §3.4.4; and further, any approval decision by the ARB must at least assess and address same. The only question therefore is whether or not a denial (or anticipated denial) would be excused from the same process and standard if

¹ It may well be, and indeed several of your decisions reflect, that one or even several specific EDR standards are not central to the ARB's thinking on any given application, and/or that conditions on a permit focus on some EDR standards more than others.

rooted in a failure to satisfy §3.3.3. For the reasons discussed below, this Office concurs with the Director of Planning and Community Development's assessment that your best practice is to utilize the same process in any instance where denial is possible, but not objectively certain on the face of the application.

Analysis

As an initial matter, the nuance of denials under c. 40A should be noted. On one hand, c. 40A §9 provides that a Special Permit Granting Authority "shall cause to be made a detailed record of its proceedings, indicating the vote of each member upon each question, or if absent or failing to vote, indicating such fact, and setting forth clearly the reason for its decision and of its official actions..." Further, a decision granting a special permit must include any findings required by the municipal ordinance or bylaw, as well as the findings required by the applicable provisions of the Zoning Act. Sheehan v. Zoning Bd. of Appeals of Plymouth, 65 Mass. App. Ct. 52, 56 (2005).

On the other, favorable actions require more vigorous support articulating the basis for the grant of a special permit than a denial of same. *Gamache v. Town of Acushnet*, 14 Mass. App. Ct. 215 (1982) (denial of variance based on town's policy against trailer parks is sufficient absent a clear record to the contrary); *Board of Aldermen of Newton v. Maniace*, 429 Mass. 726 (1999) (even failure to obtain requisite affirmative votes for a draft decision constitutes a sufficient basis for denial). Nonetheless, as a general rule of practice it should be rare that a process is determined by a potential (or even likely) outcome absent a very clear roadmap for fast-tracking a decision or failure to meet entirely objective threshold criteria. In other words, the difficulty in making a decision solely on the basis of §3.3.3 criteria is standardizing the Board's level of certainty that a vote on a subject criteria – the desirability or concentration of a particular use – preempts all further development of the record.

There are examples where boards and bodies adopt a "phased" or "rounded" process" whereby only some facets of an application are considered in different stages. Under such processes, applications essentially pass or fail (typically on a more narrow set of criteria) before proceeding on to the next stage of analysis with the specific goals identified and served by evaluating only portions of an application. In most of those cases however, there is no prejudicial impact of a denial akin to the two (2) year prohibition on repeat applications found in c. 40A §16. The ARB theoretically could implement such a process. However, at present the ARB's Rules and Regulations and application materials do not provide a clear roadmap for the goals, timing, or tools necessary of bifurcating EDR special permit applications into §3.3.3 analysis and *then* §3.4.4 analysis at some later phase.

For example, it is not clear when and how the Board would assess an application and take a vote to make a threshold determination on the baseline Special Permit criteria under §3.3 of the Zoning Bylaw. Would an unsuccessful motion to deny based solely on §3.3.3 criteria preclude later denial on the same grounds after application of EDR standards? If a member of the Board

has further bases for denial that have not yet been addressed on the record under EDR, may those concerns be articulated in the decision as well? Are they sufficiently supported in the record?

It is similarly unclear when and to what extent an applicant's response to EDR standards under §3.4.4 can help or hinder the Board's assessment of §3.3 criteria under a bifurcated review. Both your Bylaw and your Rules and Regulations imply interplay between these criteria. There may be circumstances where after the more robust application of EDR, a member of the ARB is persuaded or dissuaded that a given project is more or less responsive to being "essential or desirable to the public convenience or welfare" – one of the baseline §3.3.4 criteria. Similarly, the Board might be deterred by or impressed with an applicants' proposal with regard to EDR criteria "J" ("[w]ith respect to Arlington's heritage, removal or disruption of historic, traditional or significant uses, structures, or architectural elements shall be minimized insofar as practicable, whether these exist on the site or on adjacent properties") in such a manner as to inform the desirability of the use under §3.3.3(B).

Moreover, while the standards for denials of special permit applications are more modest than approvals, in order to best defend its decisions and convey the basis for denials to future applicants, the Board may articulate any and all reasons for denial in the most comprehensive manner practicable. Alternately stated, if the Board is denying a permit for *both* causing an excess of use detrimental to the character of a neighborhood (3.3.3(G)) *and* negative findings with respect to relation of buildings to the environment (3.4.4(B)), such denial is all the better supported. Without engaging in EDR, it begs the question of why EDR supporting materials were required in the first instance and could undermine an otherwise valid denial with respect to any basis from the desirability of use (3.3.3(B)) to unduly impairing pedestrian safety (3.3.3(C)).

The foregoing should not be read to imply that the Board may not deny a special permit under EDR for one of the reasons set forth in §3.3.3 such as an excessive use, or that only EDR standards ought to form the basis for approval or denial to the exclusion of §3.3.3. Such a determination however typically involves some subjective, qualitative judgment, which may be informed positively or negatively by application of full EDR standards and process. There may also be rare instances where an application cannot reasonably proceed because the use requested is not permitted in a district and an applicant has submitted despite efforts to persuade them otherwise. This Office is sensitive to the demands upon the ARB's time and attention. It remains however in the Board's interest to fully examine EDR applications under a full EDR process unless objective or procedural denials are merited, and/or Rules and Regulations harmonious to the Zoning Bylaw are developed to support a bifurcated or staged application review.

Conclusion

For the reasons set forth herein, this Office agrees with the Director of Planning and Community Development's recommendation to assess Special Permit Applications before you with both "Special Permit" Criteria under §3.3.3 and EDR Standards under §3.4.4 before voting upon your decisions unless and until the Board commits to a more detailed bifurcated or phased process in your Rules and Regulations.



TOWN OF ARLINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING and COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

TOWN HALL, 730 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE ARLINGTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02476 TELEPHONE 781-316-3090

MEMORANDUM

To: Arlington Redevelopment Board

From: Jennifer Raitt, Director, Department of Planning and Community Development/kl/ac

Date: September 22, 2021

RE: Linear Feet of Commercial Banks in Arlington Center

During the Redevelopment Board's August 30, 2021 hearing on Docket #3665 to establish a Chase Bank location within the storefront at 645 Massachusetts Avenue, staff were asked to calculate the total linear feet of banks within Arlington Center.

For economic development purposes, the Town defines the Arlington Center as 375 to 1056 Massachusetts Avenue; 283 to 327 Broadway; Medford, Mill, Mystic Streets; Schouler Court; Summer Street. Within these limits are a total of 264 commercial units¹.

There are a total of nine banks or ATMs in Arlington Center, or 3.4% of total commercial units. The table below provides the bank name, type, address, parcel frontage, and building width. Parcels on corner lots are indicated with an asterisk; for corner lots, the total parcel and building frontage along both streets are listed first, followed by the frontage specific to Massachusetts Avenue in parentheses.

			Parcel	Street-facing
Bank name	Туре	Address	frontage (ft)	building width (ft)
Chase Bank	ATM	323 Broadway	n/a²	23
Leader Bank	Bank Branch	449 Mass Ave*	n/a³	70 (26)
Cambridge Savings Bank	Bank Branch and Drive-up	626 Mass Ave*	285 (115)	187 (133)
Bank of America	Bank Branch	655 Mass Ave	51	48
Citizens Bank	Bank Branch and Drive-up	699 Mass Ave*	278 (151)	139 (82)
Brookline Bank	Bank Branch and Drive-up	856 Mass Ave*	204 (91)	135 (67)
Leader Bank	Residential Lending	864 Mass Ave*	218 (107)	154 (103)
TD Bank North	Bank Branch and Drive-up	880 Mass Ave*	408 (179)	182 (80)
Watertown Savings Bank	Bank Branch and Drive-up	980 Mass Ave*	268 (110)	155 (69)
		TOTAL	1,712 (804)	1,093 (631)
* Corner lot				

Linear calculations have been estimated using the Town's GIS data. Should precise numbers be required, individual site plans would need to be requested from each property.

³ Tenant in a structure with multiple units on one lot

¹ According to Arlington Town Assessor Data. This figure does not include the 180 individual rental units and commercial condos within commercial properties like 22 Mill, 29 Mill, etc.

² Tenant in a structure with multiple units on one lot

CHS.NB.961


ARLINGTON MASS AVENUE

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476









REVISION NOTES:


Delete Octagons from All Awnings. Add Option 2, E12 and E13

Revised as noted. 07.01.21

Delete E03, add lettersets & elevations. 09.09.21 RJW



Aerial Plan





CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue 645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

17 of 165

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122




BY P.S.C.O. IT IS SUBMITTED FOR YOUR PERSONAL USE IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROJECT BEING PLANNED FOR YOU BY P.S.C.O. IT IS NOT TO BE SHOWN TO ANYONE OUTSIDE YOUR ORGANIZATION NOR IS IT TO BE USED, 707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com


THIS IS AN ORIGINAL UNPUBLISHED DRAWING CREATED

Site Plan

Ex	terior Scope	of Work	
E01	LIF-WBO-18-LED	White w/ Blue Octagon Illum Channel Letters	20.7 sf
E02	NMP-XX	Dark Nickel Metal Panel	
E04	NMP-XX	Dark Nickel Metal Panel	
E05	LIF-WBO-14-LED	White w/ Blue Octagon Illum Channel Letters	12.7 sf
E06	NMP-XX	Dark Nickel Metal Panel	
E07	TC-W-A-RE	WALL MTD REGULATORY SIGN - BANK PATRONS ONLY (RE-	Engineered) 2sf
E08	TC-W-A-RE	WALL MTD REGULATORY SIGN - BANK PATRONS ONLY (RE-	Engineered) 2sf
E09	TC-W-A-RE	WALL MTD REGULATORY SIGN - BANK PATRONS ONLY (RE-	Engineered) 2sf
E12	LIF-WBO-18-LED	White w/ Blue Octagon Illum Channel Letters	20.7 sF

ALL SIGNS TO BE REVIEWED/APPROVED **BY HRC**







CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

18 of 165

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



E08

E07


707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com


THIS IS AN ORIGINAL UNPUBLISHED DRAWING CREATED BY P.S.C.O. IT IS SUBMITTED FOR YOUR PERSONAL USE IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROJECT BEING PLANNED FOR YOU BY P.S.C.O. IT IS NOT TO BE SHOWN TO ANYONE OUTSIDE YOUR ORGANIZATION NOR IS IT TO BE USED,

ATM

Floor Plan

Interior Scope of Work			
101	ADA-EX	ADA HANDICAPPED EXIT PLAQUE	.25sf
101.1	CUST-VIN	MATCHING BRONZE VINYL BACKER	
102	ADA-EX	ADA HANDICAPPED EXIT PLAQUE	.25sf
102.1	CUST-VIN	MATCHING BRONZE VINYL BACKER	
103	ADA-RRAG-A-G	ADA ALL GENDER RESTROOM SIGN - ACCESSIBLE	1.4 sf
104	ADA-RRAG-A-G	ADA ALL GENDER RESTROOM SIGN - ACCESSIBLE	1.4 sF
105	ADA-TW	ADA TELLER WALL SIGN	.1 sF
106	ADA-TW-ALS	ADA Teller Window - Assistive Listening System	.1 sF
107	TPL-BTR-B-24	24" Thin Profile Illuminated Interior Blue Octagon	4s _F
108	EATM-PANEL-SINGLE-	SIDE-CAR Branding Panel for Single Side Car eAT	M 3.0
109	SUR-TTW-U-4-TP	ILLUMINATED THIN PROFILE ATM SURROUND	33 sf
E10	ADA-EP	ADA HANDICAPPED ENTRANCE PLAQUE	.25sF
E10.1	CUST-VIN	MATCHING BLUE VINYL BACKER	
E11	ADA-EP-NA	ADA HANDICAPPED ENTRANCE PLAQUE - NOT ACCESSIBLE	.38sF







CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue 645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

19 of 165


DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21


DRAWING - B95122



P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com



FROM THIS ANGLE





CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476


20 of 165

DESIGNER - JM


CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122





East Elevation - David Lamson Way





CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

21 of 165

DESIGNER - JM


CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



SCALE: NTS

707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com





LIF-WBO-14-LED

WHITE W/ BLUE OCTAGON ILLUM CHANNEL LETTERS - 12.7 SF

SCALE: NTS



CHASE


NMP-XX

DARK NICKEL METAL PAN - DIMENSIONS TBD SCALE: NTS

CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

22 of 165



TC-W-A-RE WALL MOUNTED REGULATORY SIGN (RE-ENGINEERED) - 2SF SCALE: NTS

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com

THIS IS AN ORIGINAL UNPUBLISHED DRAWING CREATED BY P.S.C.O. IT IS SUBMITTED FOR YOUR PERSONAL USE IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROJECT BEING PLANNED FOR YOU BY P.S.C.O. IT IS NOT TO BE SHOWN TO ANYONE OUTSIDE YOUR ORGANIZATION NOR IS IT TO BE USED,


HANDICAPPED ENTRANCE PLAQUE - NOT ACCESSIBLE

ENTRANCE

ADA-EP-NA

SCALE: NTS





ARCHITECTURAL RENDERING - CORNER ELEVATION





CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

23 of 165

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com

ARCHITECTURAL ELEVATION - MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE





CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

24 of 165

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com

ARCHITECTURAL ELEVATION - DAVID LAMSON WAY ELEVATION





CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

25 of 165


DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com



ADA-EX

HANDICAPPED EXIT PLAQUE

SCALE: NTS

CUST-VIN

MATCHING BRONZE VINYL BACKER

SCALE: NTS



SIGN I01 MOUNTS BACK-TO-BACK WITH SIGN E10.






ADA-RRAG-A-G All Gender Neutral Restroom Signage for Restrooms that ARE Accessible

- Tactile sign identifying an accessible restroom entrance.
- Mounted on the wall, next to the door, on the latch side of the door.
- Acrylic tactile signs designed to meet Federal ADA 2010 ADAAG standards.






If latch is on left side of door, sign installed on left side

If latch is on right side of door, sign installed on right side








ADA-TW ADA TELLER WALL SIGN SCALE: NTS



ADA-TW-ALS ADA Teller Wall Sign - Assistive Listening System SCALE: NTS



Placement at Modular Teller Stations with Bullet-Resistant Glass



28 of 165

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21


DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com




For Reference Only



TPL-BTR-B-24 24" Thin Profile Illuminated Interior Blue Octagon - 4se SCALE: NTS

NOTE: Octagon to be Purchased from Bitro.





SUR-TTW-U-4-TP THIN PROFILE ATM SURROUND - 33SF SCALE: NTS



CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

29 of 165


DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



Survey Photos























CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

30 of 165

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com

Approach Photos











CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue 645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

31 of 165

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com

Code Allowances - Completed by Sign Vendor

General Info		
Zoning Designation	B-5, Central Business	
Temporary Signs		
Is the 8' x 4' Coming Soon Ground Sign Allowed? If so, how many?	Downtown location not suitable for F/S signs	
Are temporary banners allowed? If so, for how long?	n/a	
Are fly guys allowed? If so, for how long?	n/a	
Primary Ground Sign		
Will code allow our standard pylon or monument? If yes, which one (ex:	Downtown location not suitable for F/S signs	
P-100, P-75, M-50, M-25, refer to reference guide for all standard options). Is more than 1 primary ground sign allowed?	Downtown recalled for 176 signs	
If not, what are the pylon / monument restrictions? (include illumination restrictions/overall allowable sf / custom design requirements)	n/a	
List the set back requirements.	n/a	
Building Sign		
Prototypical FS branch - Will code allow our standard illuminated bldg. sign package (30" letterset on front & sides, 24" letterset on the rear)? Please list size and locations of signs allowed.	1 sign per street, no SF limitations; no flashing/blinking	
If not, what are the variables/restrictions (include illumination and sf restrictions, as well as custom sign requirements)?	n/a	
In-line / Urban branch - what are the exterior wall sign restrictions (sign type, including max. sf and illumination).	n/a	
Interior Window Signs- List all interior window signs restrictions, including storefront set back requirements.	25% window area	
ATM Topper- does this count against our overall allowable sf.? Is llumination allowed?	ATM signs subject to review and approval	
Are decorative logos allowed (EFIS octagon)? Does it count against overall SF?	n/a	
Directional / Regulatory Signs		
Is our standard directional and regulatory sign package allowed?	Downtown location not suitable for F/S signs	
If not, what are the variables/restrictions?	n/a	
Awnings / ATM Sunscreens		
Are branded awnings allowed?	yes	
What if any restrictions are there (Illumination, color/materials, min & max projection)?	8' clearance, no SF limitation, see add'l comments	
Are ATM sunscreens allowed? Do they count against overall SF?	ATM signs subject to review and approval	
Other Governing Agencies		
Identify other governing agencies that could override code (ARB, HRB, PUD, etc) and list the known restrictions.	ALL SIGNS TO BE REVIEWD AND APROVED BY the ARLINGTON HISTORICAL COMMISSION	
Permitting / Variance Process		
What is the application process and timing for variance approval? What are the variance application fees?	2-3 months	
		25°
municipality?		
Is Architectural lighting allowed? Does it count against overall SF? List	no flashing/blinking. NO SIGNS TO BE ILLUMINATED FROM 12am - 6am	
Architectural Lighting Is Architectural lighting allowed? Does it count against overall SF? List provisions.	no flashing/blinking. NO SIGNS TO BE ILLUMINATED FROM 12am - 6am	
municipality? Architectural Lighting	no flashing/blinking. NO SIGNS TO BE ILLUMINATED FROM 12am - 6am Perreize of 2 65 the following categories of signs:	



September 18, 2021

Town of Arlington Redevelopment Board 730 Mass Ave. Annex Arlington, MA 02476

Re: Application for Special Permit Review, 645 Massachusetts Avenue – Second Review

The whom it may concern;

We would like to thank the board for their time during the August 30, 20201 Arlington Redevelopment Board meeting. The input that was provided during this meeting was taken into consideration in preparation for our second appearance on the topic of the special permits requested for this site.

To respond to some of the comments issued, we offer the following, in conjunction with the attached revised documents:

Automobile Parking Relief: It appeared, from the response from the board, that they were in favor of granting this relief, on the grounds of the large municipal lot behind the proposed site and the presence of on-street parking in front. NO RESPONSE REQUIRED

Bicycle Parking Relief: Per the board, no relief would be granted on the bicycle parking requirement. THE SUBMISSION MATERIALS HAVE BEEN REVISED TO REFLECT SHORT TERM BICYCLE PARKING IN FRONT OF THE PROPOSED SITE. LONG TERM PARKING WILL BE INTEGRATED INTO THE PROJECT AS WELL TO MEET THE REQUIREMENT.

Special Permit for the Installation of a Bank:

Change of Use: Chase bank is seeking a special permit to allow for a bank use greater than 2,000 sf within the B5 District, as required by the zoning by-laws. Additionally, the previous use was a restaurant, requiring a change of use to the proposed bank (business) use. THE BOARD WAS SPLIT ON THE TOPIC. CHASE BANK REQUESTED A CONTINUATION IN ORDER TO ASSEMBLE ADDITIONAL PRESENTATION MATERIALS AND HAVE REPRESENTATIVES FROM CHASE BANK PRESENT TO DISCUSS THE PROJECT. Façade: The board recommended changing the existing gridded windows to match the proposed new windows. THE RENDERINGS HAVE BEEN REVISED TO INCORPORATE THIS CHANGE Sidewalk Amenities: The previously proposed plan reflected the removal of the existing benches and planters. The board recommended retaining this component of the exterior. THE RENDERINGS HAVE BEEN REVISED TO INCORPORATE THIS CHANGE




Accessibility: The board questioned why the vestibule at the rear of the building was not being made accessible and requested studying the addition of a ramp or a wheelchair lift. THERE ARE MULTIPLE EXISTING CONSTRAINTS THAT WILL LIMIT THE ABILITY TO MAKE THE REAR DOOR FULLY ACCESSIBLE, INCLUDING IMPACTS TO THE UPPER-LEVEL TENANT ENTRANCE, EXISTING (MUNICIPAL) PARKING LOT, AND SIDEWALK ALONG DAVID LAMSON WAY. IN SPITE OF THESE CONSTRAINTS, CHASE WILL CONTINUE TO ENDEAVOR TO STUDY THESE CONDITIONS AND EVALUATE THE VIABILITY OF AN ACCESSIBLE REAR ENTRANCE. PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS RESERVED ACCESSIBLE STREET PARKING ON MASS AVE NEAR THE FRONT ENTRANCE, WHICH WOULD PROVIDE ACCESS TO THE BANK AND ATM VESTIBULE.

Signage: The board was not in favor of the proposed blade sign. They believed the sign was not in keeping with other signage in the Central Business District as asked if we could consider moving the sign on the gray band along the alley side of the building. THE RENDERINGS HAVE BEEN REVISED TO INCORPORATE THIS CHANGE


We look forward to the opportunity to meet with the board again to review this project. Please let us know if anything additional is required.

Sincerely,

James Lalli Director of Architecture - Financial 908.462.9949 | jlalli@core-states.com



FROM THIS ANGLE





CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476


35 of 165

DESIGNER - JM


CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122





East Elevation - David Lamson Way





CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

36 of 165


DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com





LIF-WBO-14-LED

WHITE W/ BLUE OCTAGON ILLUM CHANNEL LETTERS - 12.7 SF

SCALE: NTS




NMP-XX DARK NICKEL METAL PAN - DIMENSIONS TBD SCALE: NTS

CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

37 of 165




TC-W-A-RE WALL MOUNTED REGULATORY SIGN (RE-ENGINEERED) - 2SF SCALE: NTS

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



ADA-EP-NA HANDICAPPED ENTRANCE PLAQUE - NOT ACCESSIBLE SCALE: NTS



P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com



ARCHITECTURAL RENDERING - CORNER ELEVATION





CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

38 of 165

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com

ARCHITECTURAL ELEVATION - MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE





CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

39 of 165

DESIGNER - JM


CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com

ARCHITECTURAL ELEVATION - DAVID LAMSON WAY ELEVATION





CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

40 of 165

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

DESIGNER - JM


CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com

Survey Photos























CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

41 of 165

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com

Approach Photos











CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

42 of 165

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com



Town of Arlington, Massachusetts

Department of Planning & Community Development 730 Massachusetts Avenue, Arlington, Massachusetts 02476

Public Hearing Memorandum - Update

The purpose of this memorandum is to provide the Arlington Redevelopment Board and public with technical information and a planning analysis to assist with the regulatory decision-making process.

To: Arlington Redevelopment Board

From: Jennifer Raitt, Secretary Ex Officio

Subject: Environmental Design Review, Docket #3665

645 Massachusetts Avenue

Date: September 22, 2021

This memo is provided as an update to the previous memo provided on August 30, 2021. The materials provided for the continued public hearing are not responsive to the ARB's comments. The Applicant provided the following materials:

- Cover letter to the Redevelopment Board, dated September 18, 2021; and
- Sign Package, including elevations and renderings, prepared by Philadelphia Sign, revised September 9, 2021.

The Board requested that the Applicant install clear-glazed windows along the streetfront façade that align with the commercial district and use; move the proposed blade sign; maintain the existing sidewalk seating and planters from the prior tenant; address the rear access point for accessibility; and incorporate the required bike parking. The Board also asked the Applicant to provide a better description of their services and how they differ from similar business uses in Arlington Center.

Regarding the windows along the Massachusetts Avenue and David Lamson Way facades, the renderings and elevations in the sign package show that the gridded windows will be replaced with commercial windows. It appears from the renderings that the glazing has also been addressed. Specifications on window type and glazing have not been provided.

With regard to signage, the Board can find that the request to move the proposed blade has been addressed.

Regarding sidewalk seating and planters, the applicant has revised the renderings to show seating and planters along the Massachusetts Avenue façade. The applicant will need to provide updated site and/or floor plans indicating the locations of these items.

Regarding bicycle parking, the applicant states that submission materials have been updated to show short term bicycle parking in front of the site. A bicycle rack is shown on the rendering on DRC Page 8 of the updated sign package. The applicant should update site and/or floor plans accordingly, indicate the amount of short-term bicycle parking provided. While the applicant indicates that long-term parking will be integrated into the project, the applicant will need to provide updated floor plans identifying the location, quantity, and type of long-term bicycle parking. Bike rack specifications for short- and long-term parking are needed to determine compliance with Section 6.1.12.E.

Regarding providing an accessible entrance at the rear of the building, the applicant concluded that the existing conditions prohibit their ability to improve accessibility through the rear entrance. The closest HP placard parking space to the main entry and ATM is in front of the Coldwell Banker property at 635 Massachusetts Avenue.

The applicant should provide a more detailed update regarding any of the above items at the continued hearing.

Representatives from Chase Bank will be in attendance at the September 27, 2021 hearing to present information on the services they intend to provide at this location, as well as to answer questions regarding any market studies that were completed as part of the bank's decision to establish a branch at this location.

Finally, staff were asked to calculate the total linear feet of banks within Arlington Center. For economic development purposes, the Town defines the Arlington Center as 375 to 1056 Massachusetts Avenue; 283 to 327 Broadway; Medford, Mill, Mystic Streets; Schouler Court; Summer Street. Within these limits are a total of 264 commercial units¹.

There are a total of nine banks or ATMs in Arlington Center, or 3.4% of total commercial units. The table below provides the bank name, type, address, parcel frontage, and building width. Parcels on corner lots are indicated with an asterisk; for corner lots, the total parcel and building frontage along both streets are listed first, followed by the frontage specific to Massachusetts Avenue in parentheses.

¹ According to Arlington Town Assessor Data. This figure does not include the 180 individual rental units and commercial condos within commercial properties like 22 Mill, 29 Mill, etc.

			Parcel	Street-facing
Bank name	Туре	Address	frontage (ft)	building width (ft)
Chase Bank	ATM	323 Broadway	n/a²	23
Leader Bank	Bank Branch	449 Mass Ave*	n/a³	70 (26)
Cambridge Savings Bank	Bank Branch and Drive-up	626 Mass Ave*	285 (115)	187 (133)
Bank of America	Bank Branch	655 Mass Ave	51	48
Citizens Bank	Bank Branch and Drive-up	699 Mass Ave*	278 (151)	139 (82)
Brookline Bank	Bank Branch and Drive-up	856 Mass Ave*	204 (91)	135 (67)
Leader Bank	Residential Lending	864 Mass Ave*	218 (107)	154 (103)
TD Bank North	Bank Branch and Drive-up	880 Mass Ave*	408 (179)	182 (80)
Watertown Savings Bank	Bank Branch and Drive-up	980 Mass Ave*	268 (110)	155 (69)
	·	TOTAL	1,712 (804)	1,093 (631)
* Corner lot				

Linear calculations have been estimated using the Town's GIS data. Should precise numbers be required, individual site plans would need to be requested from each property.

² Tenant in a structure with multiple units on one lot ³ Tenant in a structure with multiple units on one lot

TOWN OF ARLINGTON REDEVELOPMENT BOARD

FLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Application for Special Permit In Accordance with Environmental Design Review Procedures (Section 3.4 of the Zoning Bylaw)

			Docket No. <u>366</u>	
1.	Property Address <u>645 Massachusetts Ave</u>			
	Name of Record Owner(s) Key West Rea	ılty LLC	Phone	
	Address of Owner <u>63 Trapelo Road</u> ,		, Belmont MA 02478	
	Street		City, State, Zip	
2.	Name of Applicant(s) (if different than abo	ove) <u>JPMorgan C</u>	hase Bank NA	
	Address 1111 Polaris Parkway Columbus OH 43240		Phone <u>c/o 617.874.0131</u>	
	Status Relative to Property (occupant, pure	haser, etc.)O	ccupant	
3.	Location of Property 645 Massachusetts A	venue, Arlington M	A 02476 05-07-7	
	Asses	sor's Block Plan, B		Ē
4.	Deed recorded in the Registry of deeds, Bo	ook 50073 . Pas	ge_393 ;	30
	-or- registered in Land Registration Office,			Om Z
5.	Present Use of Property (include # of dwell	ling units if any	1 8 3	- ×
٥.		inig units, ii any) _	Section 2	36-01
			5	
6.	Proposed Use of Property (include # of dwe	elling units, if any)	Proposed Commercial Bank Branch	7 Cm
7	Dennit and indicate and an analytic	2.4	E-1	
7.	Permit applied for in accordance with the following Zoning Bylaw section(s)	<u>3.4</u> 6.1.4	Environmental design review Table of Off-Street Parking Regulations	
	the following Zonnig Bylaw Section(s)	6.1.12	Bicycle Parking	
		0.1.12	Bioyoto Turking	
		section(s)	title(s)	
8.			ovide any additional information that may aid the alat you feel you should be granted the requested perr	
	understanding the permits you request. The	lude ally leasons un	at you reer you should be gramed the requested peri	mssion.
	(In the statement belo	ow, strike out the words	that do not apply)	
The app	plicant states that		owner -or- occupant -or- purchaser under agreem	ent of the
property	y in Arlington located at 645 Massachuset			
			or- no unfavorable action has been taken by the Zon	
			he last two years. The applicant expressly agrees	
	should the permut be granted.	sed upon this perm	nission, either by the Zoning Bylaw or by the Rede	velopment
7	A Production granted.			
1/0	Matthew McCool - Vice President			
Signature	JPMorganChase Bank, N.A e of Applicant(s)			
			440.040.0450	
Address	al Dr. Floor 21 21202-4673, United States			
1441C33			Phone	



TOWN OF ARLINGTON

Dimensional and Parking Information for Application to

The Arlington Redevelopment Board	Docket No.	
Property Location Corner of Mass Ave/David La	mson Way Zoning District B	-5
Owner: Key West Realty LLC	Address: 645 Massachusetts Ave)
Present Use/Occupancy: No. of Dwelling Units: Restaurant/Bar	Uses and their gross square feet: 6400 sf	
Proposed Use/Occupancy: No. of Dwelling Units:	Uses and their gross square feet:	
Financial Center > 2000sf	3826 sf (first floor only)	

		Present Conditions	Proposed Conditions	Min. or Max. Required by Zoning for Proposed Use	
Lot Size		6400sf	3826sf	min. >2,000 sf (with	th special permit)
Frontage		63'-5"	63'-5"	min.	
Floor Area Ratio				max.	
Lot Coverage (%), where applicable		N/A	N/A	max.	
Lot Area per Dwelling Unit (square f	eet)	N/A	N/A	min.	
Front Yard Depth (feet)		N/A	N/A	min.	
Side Yard Width (feet) right	side	N/A	N/A	min.	
left :	side	N/A	N/A	min.	
Rear Yard Depth (feet)		N/A	N/A	min.	
Height				min.	
Stories		2	2	stories Existing	
Feet		31'-6"	31'-6"	feet Existing	
Open Space (% of G.F.A.)				min.	
Landscaped (square feet)		N/A	N/A	(s.f.)	
Usable (square feet)		N/A	N/A	(s.f.)	
Parking Spaces (No.)		0	3	_{min.} 8 (based on 1	per 500sf)
Parking Area Setbacks (feet), where a	applicable	N/A	N/A	min.	
Loading Spaces (No.)		0	0	min.	
Type of Construction		IIIA			
Distance to Nearest Building		0-Adjacent	0-Adjacent	_{min.} Existing	

Project Details

Project	Arlington Mass Ave P373597		OND#:	0
Name:			Region:	
Branch Name:			Market:	
Document Name: DRC Date: OVP#: Program:	20210701_Arlington Mass Ave_B PENDING.pdf 07/06/2021 38100P373597 New Build Program	rand Book_DRC	Address: City: State: Zip:	
Project Program:	ATM - Offline BBI - Offline Large Cap Retrofit Merch Only - Offline NB - Regular or Expansion Path Path of Travel - Offline Relocations Retrofit - BAU - Offline Retrofit - Community - Offline Retrofit - Companion - Offline RSU Signage - Offline			
Project Type:	New Build Signage/Elevation			
Designer:	Kanishka Moham Salehi			
Status:	Approved			
Comment			By	On
AV Approved	. If AV changes during any phase of	f the project contact Allison Howar	d Allison Miche Howard	7/2/2021
Approver		pproval Status	Responded	
Ashlee Jo Kelly		pproved	07/08/2021 15:38	
Robert John Placek		pproved	07/06/2021 11:14	
Tiffany Anne	McLeod A	pproved	07/08/2021 08:24	

CHS.NB.961


ARLINGTON MASS AVENUE

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476










REVISION NOTES:

Delete Octagons from All Awnings. Add Option 2, E12 and E13

07.01.21 RJW Revised as noted.



Aerial Plan





CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

51 of 165

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122




IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROJECT BEING PLANNED FOR YOU BY P.S.C.O. IT IS NOT TO BE SHOWN TO ANYONE OUTSIDE YOUR ORGANIZATION NOR IS IT TO BE USED, P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com

THIS IS AN ORIGINAL UNPUBLISHED DRAWING CREATED

Site Plan

Ex	Exterior Scope of Work			
E01	LIF-R-BLK-18-LED	BLACK W/ BLUE OCT DAY/NIGHT ILLUM CHNL LTRS - TOP MTD RCWY 20).7 sf	
E02	NMP-XX	Dark Nickel Metal Panel		
E03	F-6	DOUBLE-FACED ILLUMINATED FLAG MOUNTED SIGN	3.2sf	
E04	NMP-XX	Dark Nickel Metal Panel		
E05	LIF-WBO-14-LED	White w/ Blue Octagon Illum Channel Letters 12	2. 7 sf	
E06	NMP-XX	Dark Nickel Metal Panel		
E07	TC-W-A-RE	WALL MTD REGULATORY SIGN - BANK PATRONS ONLY (RE-ENGINEERED)	2 _{SF}	
E08	TC-W-A-RE	WALL MTD REGULATORY SIGN - BANK PATRONS ONLY (RE-ENGINEERED)	2 _{SF}	
E09	TC-W-A-RE	WALL MTD REGULATORY SIGN - BANK PATRONS ONLY (RE-ENGINEERED)	2 _{SF}	

ALL SIGNS TO BE REVIEWED/APPROVED **BY HRC**







CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

52 of 165

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21


DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com

Floor Plan

Int	erior Scope o	f Work	
101	ADA-EX	ADA HANDICAPPED EXIT PLAQUE	.25sf
101.1	CUST-VIN	MATCHING BRONZE VINYL BACKER	
102	ADA-EX	ADA HANDICAPPED EXIT PLAQUE	.25sF
102.1	CUST-VIN	MATCHING BRONZE VINYL BACKER	
103	ADA-RRAG-A-G	ADA ALL GENDER RESTROOM SIGN - ACCESSIBLE	1.4 sF
104	ADA-RRAG-A-G	ADA ALL GENDER RESTROOM SIGN - ACCESSIBLE	1.4 sF
105	ADA-TW	ADA TELLER WALL SIGN	.1 sf
106	ADA-TW-ALS	ADA Teller Window - Assistive Listening System	.1 sf
107	TPL-BTR-B-24	24" Thin Profile Illuminated Interior Blue Octagon	4s _F
108	EATM-PANEL-SINGLE-	SIDE-CAR BRANDING PANEL FOR SINGLE SIDE CAR EAT	M 3.0
109	SUR-TTW-U-4-TP	ILLUMINATED THIN PROFILE ATM SURROUND	33 sF
E10	ADA-EP	ADA HANDICAPPED ENTRANCE PLAQUE	.25sF
E10.1	CUST-VIN	MATCHING BLUE VINYL BACKER	
E11	ADA-EP-NA	ADA HANDICAPPED ENTRANCE PLAQUE - NOT ACCESSIBLE	.38sF







CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

53 of 165

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21


DRAWING - B95122



P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com



SIGN E10 NOT SEEN FROM THIS ANGLE



PHILADELPHIASIGN

707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065

P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com

THIS IS AN ORIGINAL UNPUBLISHED DRAWING CREATED BY P.S.C.O. IT IS SUBMITTED FOR YOUR PERSONAL USE IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROJECT BEING PLANNED FOR YOU BY P.S.C.O. IT IS NOT TO BE SHOWN TO ANYONE OUTSIDE YOUR ORGANIZATION NOR IS IT TO BE USED, COPIED, REPRODUCED, OR EXHIBITED IN ANY FASHION.



CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

54 of 165

DESIGNER - JM


CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122

SIGN E10 MOUNTS BACK-TO-BACK WITH

SIGN 101.

E04 - NMP-XX






NMP-XX DARK NICKEL METAL PAN - DIMENSIONS TBD SCALE: NTS









CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

DARK NICKEL METAL PAN - DIMENSIONS TBD

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

NMP-XX

SCALE: NTS

56 of 165

TC-W-A-RE WALL MOUNTED REGULATORY SIGN (RE-ENGINEERED) - 2SF SCALE: NTS

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



THIS IS AN ORIGINAL UNPUBLISHED DRAWING CREATED BY P.S.C.O. IT IS SUBMITTED FOR YOUR PERSONAL USE IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROJECT BEING PLANNED FOR YOU BY P.S.C.O. IT IS NOT TO BE SHOWN TO ANYONE OUTSIDE YOUR ORGANIZATION NOR IS IT TO BE USED,

HANDICAPPED ENTRANCE PLAQUE - NOT ACCESSIBLE

SCALE: NTS

ARCHITECTURAL RENDERING - CORNER ELEVATION





CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

57 of 165

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com

ARCHITECTURAL ELEVATION - MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE





CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

58 of 165

DESIGNER - JM


CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com

ARCHITECTURAL ELEVATION - DAVID LAMSON WAY ELEVATION





CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue 645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

59 of 165


DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com





ADA-EX HANDICAPPED EXIT PLAQUE

SCALE: NTS

CUST-VIN MATCHING BRONZE VINYL BACKER

SCALE: NTS

SIGN I01 MOUNTS BACK-TO-BACK WITH SIGN E10.






ADA-RRAG-A-G All Gender Neutral Restroom Signage for Restrooms that ARE Accessible

- Tactile sign identifying an accessible restroom entrance.
- Mounted on the wall, next to the door, on the latch side of the door.
- Acrylic tactile signs designed to meet Federal ADA 2010 ADAAG standards.





If latch is on left side of door, sign installed on left side

If latch is on right side of door, sign installed on right side



CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

61 of 165


DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122




707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com



ADA-TW ADA TELLER WALL SIGN SCALE: NTS



ADA-TW-ALS ADA Teller Wall Sign - Assistive Listening System SCALE: NTS



Placement at Modular Teller Stations with Bullet-Resistant Glass



62 of 165

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21


DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com




For Reference Only



TPL-BTR-B-24 24" Thin Profile Illuminated Interior Blue Octagon - 4se SCALE: NTS

NOTE: Octagon to be Purchased from Bitro.





SUR-TTW-U-4-TP THIN PROFILE ATM SURROUND - 33SF SCALE: NTS



CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue

645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

63 of 165

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



Survey Photos























CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue 645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

64 of 165

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com

Approach Photos











CHS.NB.961 - Arlington Mass Avenue 645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476

65 of 165

DESIGNER - JM

CREATED - 06.17.21

DRAWING - B95122



707 WEST SPRING GARDEN ST • PALMYRA, NJ • 08065 P: 856-829-1460 • F: 856-829-8549 • WEB: http://www.philadelphiasign.com

Code Allowances - Completed by Sign Vendor

General Info	
Zoning Designation	B-5, Central Business
Temporary Signs	
Is the 8' x 4' Coming Soon Ground Sign Allowed? If so, how many?	Downtown location not suitable for F/S signs
Are temporary banners allowed? If so, for how long?	n/a
Are fly guys allowed? If so, for how long?	n/a
Primary Ground Sign	
Will code allow our standard pylon or monument? If yes, which one (ex:	Downtown location not cuitable for E/S cians
P-100, P-75, M-50, M-25, refer to reference guide for all standard options). Is more than 1 primary ground sign allowed?	Downtown location for suitable for 173 signs
If not, what are the pylon / monument restrictions? (include illumination restrictions/overall allowable sf / custom design requirements)	n/a
List the set back requirements.	n/a
Building Sign	
Prototypical FS branch - Will code allow our standard illuminated bldg. sign package (30" letterset on front & sides, 24" letterset on the rear)? Please list size and locations of signs allowed.	1 sign per street, no SF limitations; no flashing/blinking
If not, what are the variables/restrictions (include illumination and sf restrictions, as well as custom sign requirements)?	n/a
In-line / Urban branch - what are the exterior wall sign restrictions (sign type, including max. sf and illumination).	n/a
Interior Window Signs- List all interior window signs restrictions, including storefront set back requirements.	25% window area
ATM Topper- does this count against our overall allowable sf.? Is illumination allowed?	ATM signs subject to review and approval
Are decorative logos allowed (EFIS octagon)? Does it count against overall SF?	n/a
Directional / Regulatory Signs	
Is our standard directional and regulatory sign package allowed?	Downtown location not suitable for F/S signs
If not, what are the variables/restrictions?	n/a
Awnings / ATM Sunscreens	
Are branded awnings allowed?	yes
What if any restrictions are there (Illumination, color/materials, min & max projection)?	8' clearance, no SF limitation, see add'l comments
Are ATM sunscreens allowed? Do they count against overall SF?	ATM signs subject to review and approval
Other Governing Agencies	
Identify other governing agencies that could override code (ARB, HRB, PUD, etc) and list the known restrictions.	ALL SIGNS TO BE REVIEWD AND APROVED BY the ARLINGTON HISTORICAL COMMISSION
Permitting / Variance Process	
What is the application process and timing for variance approval? What are the variance application fees?	2-3 months
What is the likelihood of being granted a variance with this municipality?	25%
Architectural Lighting	
•	
Is Architectural lighting allowed? Does it count against overall SF? List provisions.	no flashing/blinking. NO SIGNS TO BE ILLUMINATED FROM 12am - 6am
	no flashing/blinking. NO SIGNS TO BE ILLUMINATED FROM 12am - 6am
provisions.	no flashing/blinking. NO SIGNS TO BE ILLUMINATED FROM 12am - 6am Perreige of 2 65 the following categories of signs:



July 28, 2021

Town of Arlington Redevelopment Board 730 Mass Ave. Annex Arlington, MA 02476

Re: Application for Special Permit Review, 645 Massachusetts Avenue

The whom it may concern;

The following information is regarding a proposed Chase Bank facility at 645 Massachusetts Avenue, Arlington MA. The project will entail the installation of a new financial center in the location formerly occupied by Not Your Average Joe's restaurant and bar. The project will involve work on the first floor of the building and is an interior renovation, not exceeding the building limits.

Please see below, provided to meet the informational requests of the Petition for Special Permit under Environmental Design Review, per section 3.4 of the Arlington Zoning Bylaw for Applicability.

- 1. Preservation of Landscape: The proposed Chase Bank will be within the existing building limits. As such, the proposed project will not impact any of the existing landscape.
- 2. Relation of buildings to environment: The proposed project is largely contained in the first floor of the existing two-storey building, so the relationship of the existing building to the adjacent structures will be maintained. Additionally, exterior materials (largely brick) will be maintained, retaining the current building's character.
- 3. Open Space: The proposed Chase Bank will be within the existing building limits, largely on the first floor of the existing two-storey building. As such, the proposed project will not alter any existing open space.
- 4. Circulation: The proposed Chase Bank will be within the existing building limits, largely on the first floor of the existing two-storey building. As such, the proposed project will not alter any existing circulation. The bank will have two entrances, one in the front, one in the rear facing the parking lot.
- 5. Surface Water Drainage: The proposed Chase Bank will be within the existing building limits, largely on the first floor of the existing two-storey building. As such, the proposed project will not impact any existing surface water drainage, not will it contribute any new load to the drainage systems.
- 6. Utility Service: Chase Bank intends to reuse the existing utilizes that were feed the prior tenant (Not Your Average Joe's). This includes electrical, gas, water, sewer, and fire sprinkler services.







- The bank, in all likelihood, will use significantly less utilities and resources than the prior restaurant use.
- 7. Advertising Features: The bank is proposing a set of 18" internally illuminated channels over the entrance on Massachusetts Avenue. The bank is also proposing a set of 14" internally illuminated letters at the rear entrance, facing the parking lot. The (2) building letter sets comply with section 6.2.8 of the zoning by-laws. Refer to attached for additional information on the proposed signage package.
- 8. Special Features: The proposed Chase Bank will be within the existing building limits, largely on the first floor of the existing two-storey building. The bank proposes to remove the existing awning from the front and side of the building as part of the project. While the existing equipment area in the rear of the building is to remain, the bank use will require significantly less equipment, and will remove any of those features made obsolete by the conversion such as the exhaust system, exterior storage, and food waste containment.
- 9. Safety: The proposed Chase Bank will be within the existing building limits, largely on the first floor of the existing two-storey building. The existing life safety systems, including fire alarm and fire sprinkler, will be modified as needed based on the proposed work to maintain all current and required life safety requirements. The project will not impact the exterior sidewalks, posing no impact to public safety beyond the confines of the building.
- 10. Heritage: The proposed Chase Bank will be within the existing building limits, largely on the first floor of the existing two-storey building. The building will mostly maintain the existing building materials and character, as not to impact the character of the building or the streetscape.
- 11. Microclimate: The proposed Chase Bank will be within the existing building limits, largely on the first floor of the existing two-storey building. As such, the proposed project will not pose any new impact on the microclimate of the area.
- 12. Sustainable building and Site Design: Chase Bank incorporates sustainable measures into their projects. While the building is not a LEED building Chase still takes measures in the form of energy efficient HVAC systems, efficient plumbing fixtures, LED light fixtures, and products incorporating low/no VOCs and recycled content.

In addition to the above, Chase bank is seeking relief on the parking requirements in the Zoning By-Laws. Section 6.1.4 of the By-Laws indicate that a business use would be required to provide 1 space per 500sf of gross floor area. Since the proposed Chase Bank space is 3,826sf on the first floor (customer floor), that would require 8 parking spaces. The landlord is providing the bank with 3 dedicated spaces for their use, which falls short of the 8 space requirement. Since the Chase Bank space has on-street parking directly in front along Massachusetts Avenue and a large municipal parking lot directly behind, Chase Bank is seeking relief from the requirement in the By-Laws.

Chase bank is seeking relief on the bicycle parking requirements in the Zoning By-Laws. Section 6.1.12 of the By-Laws indicate that a business use would be required to provide .3 spaces per 1000sf of gross floor area. . Since the proposed Chase Bank space is 3,826sf on the first floor (customer floor), that would require 1.2 spaces (rounds up to 2). Since the Chase Bank space has a bicycle rack in the sidewalk directly

in front along Massachusetts Avenue and 6 racks in the large municipal parking lot directly behind, as well as pay per ride bicyles, Chase Bank is seeking relief from the requirement in the By-Laws.

Please let us know should any further information be required.

Sincerely,

James Lalli Director of Architecture - Financial 908.462.9949 | jlalli@core-states.com



Z 0 ഗ ≥ < ≻ AVID





CHASE 🗘

(SHARED STREET PARKING)




ARLINGTON MASS AVE



DATE	DESIGNER	AREA	SCALE
09 JUN 21	KMS	AREA sq. ft.	NONE
		DRC Pa	age 6

645 Mass Ave - Arlington, MA 02476

70 of 165






ARLINGTON MASS AVE

645 Mass Ave - Arlington, MA 02476

71 of 165	

DATE	DESIGNER	AREA	SCALE
09 JUN 21	KMS	SEE ABOVE	NONE

DRC Page 7



CHASE •


ARLINGTON MASS AVE

645 Mass Ave - Arlington, MA 02476

	7
_ `	
5	

DATE	DESIGNER	AREA	SCALE
09 JUN 21	KMS	SEE ABOVE	NONE
			_

72 of 165 UKC Page 8





EXISTING LOWER LEVEL FLOOR PLAN

ARLINGTON MASS AVE

645 Mass Ave - Arlington, MA 02476



DATE	DESIGNER	AREA	SCALE
09 JUN 21	KMS	AREA sq. ft.	NONE
		NRC P	R anc

73 of 165









View from Massachusette Ave





Views from David Lamson Way



View from municipal parking



Caldwell Bankers



Bank of America



Rear entrance






























Bk: 50073 Pg: 393





645 Mass. Ave. LLC, a Massachusetts limited liability company with a principal place of business at 11 Pepper Hill Drive, Winchester, MA 01890 for ONE MILLION NINE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND and 00/100 (\$1,950,000.00) DOLLARS consideration paid grants to Key West Realty LLC, a Massachusetts limited liability company with a principal place of business at 63 Tropelo Road, Waltham, MA with quitclaim covenants the land and buildings thereon situated in Arlington, Middlesex County, Massachusetts and now numbered 645 to 651 Massachusetts Avenue and shown on a plan of "Land in Arlington, Mass., Boston & Maine Railroad to Myer Dana, E. D. Chapman, R. E. Engr. June 1922" recorded with Middlesex South District Deeds Book 4534, End, and bounded and described as follows:

by said Massachusetts Avenue, as now laid out and existing, 64 feet; **SOUTHWESTERLY**

by land now or late of Menotomy Trust Company, 100 feet; NORTHWESTERLY

by land now or formerly of Boston & Maine Railroad, 64 feet; and NORTHEASTERLY

SOUTHEASTERLY by other land now or formerly of Boston & Maine Railroad, 100 feet.

Containing about 6,400 square feet according to said plan.

Be any or all of said measurements or contents more or less or however otherwise said premises may be measured, bounded or described.

Together with the right to sue for the ordinary purposes of a passageway to and from the granted premises, the existing passageway on the Southeasterly side of the granted premises, said passageway being shown on said plan and extending 100 feet Northeasterly from Massachusetts Avenue.

Subject to and with the benefit of easements, rights, restrictions and agreements of record so far as now in force and applicable.

For title, see deed recorded with Middlesex South District Registry of Deeds at Book 49471, Page 543.

MASSACHUSETAS EXCISE TAX

Southern Migalesex Detrict ROD # 001 Date: 09/07/2007 04:0 PM

Cri# 099332 27101 Doc# 00168668

\$8/892.00 Cons: \$1,930.000.00

645 MASS. AVE. LLC

CLARK, HUNT AND EMBRY 55 CAMERIDGE PARKWAY CAMBRIDGE, MA 02142

Bk: 50073 Pg: 394

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

14 1 201054 , SS		M	ildless	,	SS
------------------	--	---	---------	---	----

On this 71 day of Septem, 2007, before me, the undersigned notary public, personally appeared 645 Mass. Ave. LLC by Donald A. Calareso, its Manager, proved to me to be the person whose name is signed on the preceding or attached document, through satisfactory evidence of identification, namely, a driver's license issued by the State of Massachusetts bearing the photographic image of the face and signature of Donald A. Calareso, and acknowledged to me that he signed it voluntarily for its stated purpose.

Notary Public Michael 6 Gn H My Commission Expires: March 14, 2068

S:\WDOX\Bank_RE\RE\06423\00009\00010931.DOC

Arrest Middlesex S. Register

From: "Robert Annese" < law@robertannese.com>

To: "Jennifer Raitt" <JRaitt@town.arlington.ma.us>, "'Kelly Lynema'" <KLynema@town.arlington.ma.us>

Cc: "'Geoffrey Noyes'" <gpnoyes@comcast.net>, <monte@mfds-bos.com>

Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2021 09:15:35 -0400

Subject: Atwood House

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Town of Arlington's email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the REAL sender (whose email address in the From: line in "< >" brackets) and you know the content is safe.

Hi Jenny:

I spoke with Geoff Noyes this morning and I recommended to him that we should not wait until December 6th to report back to the ARB regarding the outcome with the Historical Commission.

He agrees.

Can we recapture the November 15th date with the ARB.

Please let me know.

Thank you.

Bob

Robert J. Annese, Esquire 1171 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, MA 02476 Telephone: 781-646-4911

Facsimile: 781-646-4910 law@robertannese.com

BE AWARE OF WIRE FRAUD – IF YOU RECEIVE AN EMAIL FROM OUR OFFICE REQUESTING THAT YOU WIRE FUNDS, YOU MUST CALL OUR OFFICE AND VERBALLY CONFIRM THE REQUEST PRIOR TO THE TRANSFER OF ANY FUNDS. WIRING INSTRUCTIONS WILL ONLY COME FROM OUR OFFICE. IF YOU RECEIVE INSTRUCTIONS FROM ANY OTHER PARTY (INCLUDING YOUR LENDER) CALL US IMMEDIATELY.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication contains privileged and confidential information that is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above, only. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering to addressee, you are notified that any dissemination or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify the Law Office of Robert J. Annese by phone at (781) 646-4911 and delete this communication from your system.

83 of 165

ROBERT J. ANNESE

ATTORNEY AT LAW

September 23, 2020

Via e-mail: robinsarcn.com

JoAnn Robinson, Chair Historical Commission Whittemore Robbins House 670R Massachusetts Ave Arlington, Ma 02476

RE: Noves Realty LLLP

(Atwood House) 821 Massachusetts Avenue, Arlington, Massachusetts

Dear Ms. Robinson:

My client is going to develop the real estate located at 821 Massachusetts Avenue, Arlington, i.e. the "Atwood House" and is filing a demolition application in connection with its building plans.

The building plans have not yet been finalized and will be the subject of further hearings before the Arlington Redevelopment Board.

I am sending a preliminary draft of the development plans in connection with the development of the property which will likely change as any hearings progress before the Arlington Redevelopment Board.

I am sending this material along to the Historical Commission at this time and would request that the Commission schedule if necessary a hearing regarding this matter with respect to my client's demolition application and plans.

RJA:lpf

Enclosures

cc:

Mr. Geoffrey P. Noyes Noyes Realty, LLLP

ARLINGTON REDEVELOPMENT BOARD



TOWN HALL ARLINGTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02476 TELEPHONE 781-316-3090

By Certified First Mail

Notification of Bylaw Violations at 821 Massachusetts Avenue

July 21, 2021

Geoffrey Noyes 208 Beacon Street Marblehead, MA 01945

Dear Mr. Noyes:

Please receive this letter as notice of numerous violations of Town of Arlington Bylaws and Zoning Bylaws as a result of specific actions taken by your contractors and agents on or about June 28, 2021 through July 2, 2021 at your property known as the "Atwood House;" as well as ongoing, long-term conditions at such property, which are governed not only by Town ordinances, but a special permit issued by the Arlington Redevelopment Board.

In brief, despite a scheduled hearing on August 3m 2021 regarding a demolition permit on an historic building before the Arlington Historical Commission (AHC), your contractors and agents conducted significant demolition of the Atwood House over an approximately weeklong period in advance of the July 4th holiday weekend without any permits or notices to the Town. As a result, Director of Inspectional Services Michael Ciampa issued a stop-work order on or about July 3, 2021 to your contractor, Groom Construction. Performing such work, particularly given your pending application for a demolition permit and scheduled hearing before the AHC, as well as this Department's efforts to work collaboratively with you on options for redevelopment is particularly egregious. As the property owner of record, you are hereby noticed of the following fines *at a minimum* for violations of the following sections of the Town Bylaws due to unpermitted, premature, and improper demolition and site maintenance activities on or about the week of June 28 through July 2, 2021, including the following:

Title V, Article 7 Section C	\$1,350
Title V, Article 9.1	\$350
Title V, Article 17	\$700
Title IX, Article 1	\$80
	\$2,480

Further, as the property owner of record, despite last registering your property on January 29, 2020, you have remained non-compliant with the Town's Vacant Storefront Bylaw (Title V Article 17) and are hereby noticed that absent immediate compliance, the Town will pursue up to two years of this Bylaw provision, totaling \$73,000. Please also be advised that you will be fined an additional \$100 per day until the building conditions are corrected to the Town's satisfaction. If you wish to avoid these fines, conditions at the Atwood House property including securing the building, properly registering it on the Vacant Storefront Registry, must improve swiftly and dramatically. Secure building conditions shall encompass the following: removal of all boarded windows and installing new windows and siding which shall be reviewed and approved by the Arlington Historical Commission and installation of a 24-hour security and monitoring system.

85 of 165 July 22, 2021 Moreover, the Town intends to reopen your Environmental Design Review Special Permit Docket #3348 for 833 Mass Ave due to continued non-compliance with Special Condition #5. This Special Permit is recorded at the Middlesex South Registry of Deeds Book 131350 and Page 69 on August 18, 2009. Pursuant to this Decision, as the property owner you are required to abide by all permit conditions. The Arlington Redevelopment Board requested follow-up on actions with regard to future redevelopment of the "Atwood House" as referenced in Special Condition #5 in the original Special Permit. You remain in non-compliance of the letter sent to you via certified mail on May 4, 2020. You were required to do the following and failed to follow through: you were to apply for a demolition permit within 30 days following the Board's vote or by May 27, 2020; you were to apply for an Environmental Design Review Special Permit following expiration of the demolition delay period or earlier contingent upon Arlington Historical Commission rulings per Town Bylaw Article 6 Historically or Architecturally Significant Buildings. If you had not filed for a demolition permit, you were to apply for an Environmental Design Review Special Permit with a renovation plan for the existing building within 60 days or by June 27, 2020. Please be advised that the Arlington Redevelopment Board reserves the right to revoke this Special Permit and staff may advise the Board of this option accordingly. As the owner, you have demonstrated willful and reckless negligence since this Special Permit was granted in 2009.

Finally, Inspectional Services advises this Department that commencing work prior to obtaining a permit constitutes a violation of the State Building Code,780 CMR Sections 105.1 and 109.4, each of which are punishable by \$1,000 (or one year imprisonment) per violation, with each day constituting a separate violation. Inspectional Services will separately contact you and/or your contractor regarding penalties for commencing work without a permit.

Unless and until all of the above fines are paid and the violations are corrected to the satisfaction of the Arlington Historical Commission, the Town of Arlington intends to the full extent permitted by law to place a municipal lien on your property.

Please contact this office with questions regarding this notice at 781-316-3090.

Sincerely

Jennifer Raitt

Director of Planning and Community Development

Michael Ciampa

Interim Director of Inspectional Services

cc: Robert J. Annese, Esquire

Rachel Zsembery, AIA, Chair, Arlington Redevelopment Board

JoAnn Robinson, Chair, Arlington Historical Commission

Douglas Heim, Town Counsel

Christine Bongiorno, Director of Health and Human Services

Chief Juliann Flaherty, Police Department

Chief Kevin Kelley, Fire Department

ARLINGTON REDEVELOPMENT BOARD



TOWN HALL ARLINGTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02476 TELEPHONE 781-316-3090

May 4, 2020

Geoffrey Noyes 208 Beacon Street Marblehead, MA 01945

Re: Environmental Design Review Special Permit 3348

Dear Mr. Noyes,

I am writing to follow-up from the April 27, 2020 Redevelopment Board meeting regarding the property you own located at 833 Massachusetts Avenue in Arlington, MA. This property has a Special Permit 3348 recorded at the Middlesex South Registry of Deeds Book 131350 and Page 69 on August 18, 2009. Pursuant to this Decision, as the property owner you are required to abide by all permit conditions. The Arlington Redevelopment Board requested follow-up on actions with regard to future redevelopment of the "Atwood House" as referenced in Special Condition #5 in the original Special Permit.

I am writing to inform you that on Monday, April 27, 2020, the Arlington Redevelopment Board voted unanimously (5-0) to close the hearing. In their vote, closing this hearing was conditioned on the following actions:

- The property owner shall apply for a demolition permit within 30 days following this vote or by May 27, 2020.
- The property owner shall apply for an Environmental Design Review Special Permit following expiration of the demolition delay period or earlier contingent upon Arlington Historical Commission rulings per Town Bylaw Article 6 Historically or Architecturally Significant Buildings.
- If the property owner does not file a demolition permit, the owner shall apply for an Environmental Design Review Special Permit with a renovation plan for the existing building within 60 days or by June 27, 2020.

Should you have any questions about this vote, please contact me at 781-316-3092.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Raitt V Secretary Ex-Officio

cc: Andrew Bunnell, Chair Robert J. Annese, Esquire COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS.
MIDDLESEX S.S.
SOUTH DIST. REGISTRY OF DEEDS
CAMBRIDGE, MA
I HEREBY CERTIFY THE FOREGOING
IS A TRUE COPY OF A PAPER 73849
PAGE

PAGE

REGISTER

19--

ARLINGTON REDEVELOPMENT BOARD



TOWN HALL ARLINGTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02476
TELEPHONE 781-316-3090

December 10, 2019

CVS c/o Gary McCoy, Poyant Signs 125 Samuel Barnet Boulevard New Bedford, MA 02745

Re: 833 Massachusetts Avenue, Docket No. 3348

Dear Mr. McCoy,

Enclosed please find a copy of special permit, Docket No. 3348, for the property located at 833 Massachusetts Avenue, Arlington, MA 02476. The Decision was filed with the Town Clerk on November 18, 2019 and then certified on December 8, 2019 after the expiration of the appeal period.

Please pay close attention to the general and special conditions. Some of them, such as recording the Decision at the Registry of Deeds, must be done before you can apply for a building permit. It is our practice to forward the special permit to the Building Inspector after such conditions have been fulfilled and we have been provided evidence of same.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at your earliest convenience.

Yours truly,

Jennifer Raitt

Secretary ex-officio

Encl: Special Permit

Cc: Michael Byrne, Building Inspector

I hereby certify this is a True Copy of the Decision of the Arlington Redevelopment Board as filed with the Office of the Town Clerk of the Town of Arlington, Massachusetts on and that 20 days have elapsed after the Decision and no

Appeal has been filed ATTEST:

Date of Issue 12-9-2019

ASINT Town Clerk



2019 HOV 18 AM 9: 34

RECEIVED

ARLINGTON REDEVELOPMENT BOARD

Arlington, Massachusetts Middlesex, ss

DOCKET NO. 3348

DECISION Special Permit Under ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN REVIEW

Applicant: CVS

One CVS Drive, Woonsocket, RI 02895

Property Address: 833 Massachusetts Avenue, Arlington, Massachusetts 02476

Date of Hearings: August 12, 2019, November 4, 2019 Date of Decision: November 4, 2019

20 Day Appeal Period Ends: Deck More 8, 2019 **Members Opposed** Approved

I hereby certify this is a True Copy of the Decision of the Arlington Redevelopment Board as filed with the Office of the Town Clerk of the Town of Arlington, Massachusetts on and that 20 days have elapsed after the Decision and no

Date of Issue 12-9-2019

ATTEST: Appeal has been filed

TOWN CLERK'S OFFICE RUNGTON, MA 02

2019 NOV 18 AM 9: 33

RECEIVED

www.of Arlington, Massachusetts

Redevelopment Board

730 Massachusetts Avenue, Arlington, Massachusetts 02476

DECISION OF THE BOARD

Environmental Design Review Docket #3348 833 Massachusetts Avenue, Arlington, MA 02476 **CVS**

November 4, 2019

This Decision applies to the re-opening of Special Permit Docket 3348 by CVS to install new signage consistent with CVS rebranding. The CVS store is located at 833 Massachusetts Avenue within a B4 Vehicular Oriented Business District. The re-opening of the Special Permit is to allow the Board to review and approve the signage, under Section 3.4, Environmental Design Review, and section 6.2, Signs. A public hearing was held on August 12, 2019, and continued to November 4, 2019, when this decision was rendered.

Materials submitted for consideration of this application: Application for Environmental Design Review Special Permit application dated June 27, 2019.

The following criteria have been met, per Section 3.3.3, Arlington Zoning Bylaw:

- 1. The retail pharmacy is allowed in the B-4 Vehicular Oriented Business District.
- The retail pharmacy has operated in this location for many years.
- There are no exterior alterations other than signage.
- 4. The retail pharmacy will not overload any public utilities: public water, drainage or sewer system or any other municipal system.
- No special regulations are applicable to the use.
- 6. The use does not impair the integrity or character of the neighborhood. Although additional directional signs will be installed to assist in circulation on the site, the large wall signs will be smaller than the existing signage on the building.
- 7. The use will not be in excess or detrimental to the character of the neighborhood.

The following criteria have been met, per Section 3.4.4, Arlington Zoning Bylaw:

A. EDR-1 Preservation of Landscape

There are no changes to the site that would impact existing natural features.

B. EDR-2 Relation of the Building to the Environment

There are no changes to the exterior of the building other than the installation of new signage to replace the existing signage.

C. EDR-3 Open Space

The 2009 Decision indicated that landscaping would be installed between the front of the building and the Massachusetts Avenue sidewalk. This area is entirely sidewalk and three benches are present. The tenant and the property owner will work with the Department of Planning and Community Development to come to a reasonable solution that reflects the previous Decision. There are no other changes to open space as a result of the signage rebranding.

D. EDR-4 Circulation

The existing circulation does not change; however, the addition of a Do Not Enter sign will help ensure that internal circulation occurs as it is intended.

E. EDR-5 Surface Water Drainage

The signage rebranding will not affect surface water run-off.

F. EDR-6 Utilities Service

There are no changes to the utility service as a result of the signage rebranding.

G. EDR-7 Advertising Features

The existing CVS signage includes a slash, and reads as CVS/pharmacy. The rebranding eliminates the slash, but includes a heart shape in front of the words CVS pharmacy. The rebranding retains the typical red color associated with CVS.

The new signage includes removing the large signage above the main entrance of the building and other plaques, and replacing it with updated signage. A Do Not Enter sign will be installed. All other directional signage will be retained.

The signage on the Massachusetts Avenue frontage is currently 75.18 square feet and will be replaced with signage that measures approximately 33.08 square feet. The reason for the reduction is the size of the letters. The existing letters are approximately 36 inches and the proposed letters are 22.5 inches. Additionally, the new signage will include channel LED illumination.

The main signage facing the parking lot is currently 33.41 square feet and will be replaced with signage that measures approximately 33.08 square feet. The existing letters are

approximately 24 inches and the proposed letters are 22.5 inches. Additionally, the new signage will include channel LED illumination.

Three plaques on the property will be updated. A plaque at the main entrance will be replaced. This plaque conveys information regarding the opening hours, the store manager, and the pharmacy manager. The plaque will remain but the CVS/pharmacy will be replaced with the heart branding. The receiving entrance plaque will be replaced with a 3 square foot plaque. A directional sign will be replaced at the drive-thru pharmacy that indicates both lanes offer full service. It is approximately 4.17 square feet.

A Do Not Enter sign will be installed at the end of the main drive aisle in the parking lot. At the rear of the site, the circulation is one way in order to access the drive-thru pharmacy. The Do Not Enter sign will reinforce the circulation pattern. The sign will be installed about 3 feet above grade and is approximately 2.25 square feet.

An additional directional sign that was not accounted for previously was also acknowledged during the public hearing. The directional signage provides a visual cue on the best way to access the drive through pharmacy.

All other directional signage remains as is on the property.

The reduction in the size of the main signage, the lighting upgrade, and the addition of the Do Not Enter sign are improvements to the property.

H. EDR-8 Special Features

There are no changes to the building or the site that would cause any adverse impacts on light, air and water resources, or on noise and temperature levels.

I. <u>EDR-9 Safety</u>

There are no changes to the building or the site that would cause any safety or accessibility concerns.

J. EDR-10 Heritage

The CVS building is not located on any local or State historic property listing. The adjacent Atwood House is identified as a significant building per Title VI, Article 6 of the Town Bylaw. The signage rebranding does not impact the Atwood House and the 2009 Decision retains jurisdiction over future plans for the structure as does the Historical Commission. The Redevelopment Board requests that the property owner attend the December 16, 2019 meeting to discuss the future of the Atwood House.

K. EDR-11 Microclimate

The signage rebranding will not impact the microclimate.

L. EDR-12 Sustainable Building and Site Design

The signage rebranding will support sustainable building and site design through the usage of LED fixtures to illuminate the signage.

The project must adhere to the following general conditions:

- 1. The final plans and specifications for signage shall be subject to final approval by the Department of Planning and Community Development (DPCD).
- 2. Any substantial or material deviation during construction from the approved plans and specifications is subject to the written approval of the Arlington Redevelopment Board.
- 3. The conditions of the 2009 Special Permit decision are still in force. The Board maintains continuing jurisdiction over this permit and may, after a duly advertised public hearing, attach other conditions or modify these conditions as it deems appropriate in order to protect the public interest and welfare.

The project must adhere to the following special conditions:

- 1. The Applicant and the property owner will work with the Department of Planning and Community Development to come to a reasonable solution that reflects the requirement of the 2009 Decision to install landscaping between the front of the building and the Massachusetts Avenue sidewalk.
- 2. The Applicant and property owner appear at the December 16, 2019, Redevelopment Board hearing to discuss the ongoing compliance with the 2009 Decision, with special attention to the Atwood House.

ARLINGTON REDEVELOPMENT BOARD



TOWN HALL ARLINGTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02476 TELEPHONE 781-316-3090

November 18, 2019

Geoffrey Noyes 208 Beacon Street Marblehead, MA 01945

Re: Environmental Design Review Special Permit 3348

Dear Mr. Noyes,

I am writing to follow-up from the October 7, 2019 Redevelopment Board meeting regarding the property you own located at 833 Massachusetts Avenue in Arlington, MA. This property has a Special Permit 3348 recorded at the Middlesex South Registry of Deeds Book 131350 and Page 69 on August 18, 2009. Pursuant to this Decision, as the property owner you are required to abide by all permit conditions. The Arlington Redevelopment Board requests follow-up on actions with regard to future redevelopment of the "Atwood House" which was discussed with the Board on October 7th and is referenced in about Special Condition #5 in the original Special Permit.

The Board requests your attendance at their public hearing on December 16th at 8:30 p.m. in the Town Hall Auditorium at 730 Massachusetts Avenue. The Board requests your attendance at this meeting in order to provide a property update regarding this special condition and your ability to meet the permit requirement.

Please contact me at your earliest convenience should you wish to discuss these matters. Otherwise, your presence is expected at the hearing date, time, and location noted above.

Sincerely,

Jehnifer Raitt

Secretary Ex-Officio

cc: Andrew Bunnell, Chair Robert J. Annese, Esquire Corporate Office 125 Samuel Barnet Boulevard New Bedford, MA 02745

Northern New England 3 Bud Way #19 Nashua, NH 03063



September 5, 2019

Attn: Erin Zwirko Town of Arlington

Re: CVS Pharmacy 833 Mass Ave: Continuance Request

Dean Erin Zwirko / Town of Arlington,

Please use this letter as formal request for a continuance to October 7th, 2019 for the Special Permit for CVS Pharmacy, 833 Mass Ave. Arlington MA.

Sincerely,

Gary McCoy Account Executive Poyant Signs

508-328-1457

ARLINGTON REDEVELOPMENT BOARD

TOWN HALL ARLINGTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02476 TELEPHONE 781-316-3090

August 15, 2019

Geoffrey Noyes 208 Beacon Street Marblehead, MA 01945

Re: Environmental Design Review Special Permit 3348 - CVS

Dear Mr. Noyes,

I am writing regarding the condition of property you own located at 833 Massachusetts Avenue in Arlington, MA. This property has a Special Permit 3348 recorded at the Middlesex South Registry of Deeds Book 131350 and Page 69 on August 18, 2009. Pursuant to this Decision, as the property owner you are required to abide by all permit conditions. The Arlington Redevelopment Board is specifically concerned about Special Condition #5 with regard to your responsibility to maintain the "Atwood House". This condition requires you to make reasonable and diligent efforts to prevent any damage from the elements or otherwise, until the property is redeveloped. Currently, the property is partially boarded and partially exposed to the elements. The partial exposure is not compliant with the Decision.

Your tenant CVS Health recently requested an amendment to Special Permit 3348 in order to increase the number of signs on the property. At the opening of the public hearing on August 12^{th} , the Board quickly indicated that they were concerned about broader property issues referenced above and subsequently continued the hearing to September 9^{th} at 7:30 p.m. in the Town Hall, 730 Massachusetts Avenue, second floor conference room. The Board requests your attendance at this meeting in order to provide a property update regarding the conditions and your ability to meet permit requirements.

Please contact me at your earliest convenience should you wish to discuss these matters. Otherwise, your presence is expected at the hearing date and time noted above.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Raitt Secretary Ex-Officio

cc: Andrew Bunnell, Chair Robert J. Annese, Esquire



Town of Arlington, Massachusetts

Department of Planning & Community Development 730 Massachusetts Avenue, Arlington, Massachusetts 02476

Public Hearing Memorandum

The purpose of this memorandum is to provide the Arlington Redevelopment Board and public with technical information and a planning analysis to assist with the regulatory decision-making process.

To: Arlington Redevelopment Board

From: Jennifer Raitt, Secretary Ex Officio

Subject: Environmental Design Review, 833 Massachusetts Ave, Arlington, MA

Docket #3348

Date: August 6, 2019

I. Docket Summary

This is an application by Gary McCoy, Poyant Signs, for CVS, at 833 Massachusetts Avenue, to re-open Special Permit Docket #3348. This is in accordance with the provisions of M.G.L. Chapter 40A Section 11, and the Town of Arlington Zoning Bylaw Section 3.4 Environmental Design Review. The applicant proposes to install new signage consistent with CVS rebranding. The re-opening of the Special Permit is to allow the Board to review and approve the signage, under Section 6.2, Signs.

Materials submitted for consideration of this application:

1. Environmental Design Review Special Permit Application dated June 27, 2019.

II. Application of Special Permit Criteria (Arlington Zoning Bylaw, Section 3.3)

1. Section 3.3.3.A.

The use requested is listed as a Special Permit in the use regulations for the applicable district or is so designated elsewhere in this Bylaw.

The retail pharmacy is allowed in the B-4 Vehicular Oriented Business District. The Board can find that this condition is met.

2. Section 3.3.3.B.

The requested use is essential or desirable to the public convenience or welfare.

The retail pharmacy has operated in this location for many years. The Board can find that this condition is met.

3. Section 3.3.3.C.

The requested use will not create undue traffic congestion or unduly impair pedestrian safety.

There are no exterior alterations other than signage. The Board can find that this condition is met.

4. Section 3.3.3.D.

The requested use will not overload any public water, drainage or sewer system or any other municipal system to such an extent that the requested use or any developed use in the immediate area or in any other area of the Town will be unduly subjected to hazards affecting health, safety, or the general welfare.

This retail pharmacy has operated in this location for many years without overloading any public utilities. The Board can find that this condition is met.

5. Section 3.3.3.E.

Any special regulations for the use as may be provided in the Bylaw are fulfilled.

All such regulations are fulfilled.

6. <u>Section 3.3.3.F.</u>

The requested use will not impair the integrity or character of the district or adjoining districts, nor be detrimental to the health or welfare.

The use does not impair the integrity or character of the neighborhood. The Board can find that this condition is met.

7. <u>Section 3.3.3.G.</u>

The requested use will not, by its addition to a neighborhood, cause an excess of the use that could be detrimental to the character of said neighborhood.

The use will not be in excess or detrimental to the character of the neighborhood. The Board can find that this condition is met.

III. <u>Environmental Design Review Standards (Arlington Zoning Bylaw,</u> Section 3.4)

A. EDR-1 Preservation of Landscape

The landscape shall be preserved in its natural state, insofar as practicable, by minimizing tree and soil removal, and any grade changes shall be in keeping with the general appearance of neighboring developed areas.

There are no changes to the landscape as there are no proposed exterior alterations. The Board can find that this condition is met.

B. <u>EDR-2 Relation of the Building to the Environment</u>

Proposed development shall be related harmoniously to the terrain and to the use, scale, and architecture of the existing buildings in the vicinity that have functional or visible relationship to the proposed buildings. The Arlington Redevelopment Board may require a modification in massing so as to reduce the effect of shadows on the abutting property in an R0, R1 or R2 district or on public open space.

There are no changes to the exterior of the building other than the installation of new signage replacing the existing signage. The Board can find that this condition is met.

C. EDR-3 Open Space

All open space (landscaped and usable) shall be so designed as to add to the visual amenities of the vicinity by maximizing its visibility for persons passing by the site or overlooking it from nearby properties. The location and configuration of usable open space shall be so designed as to encourage social interaction, maximize its utility and facilitate maintenance.

There are no changes to open space. The Board can find that this condition is met.

D. EDR-4 Circulation

With respect to vehicular and pedestrian and bicycle circulation, including entrances, ramps, walkways, drives, and parking, special attention shall be given to location and number of access points to the public streets (especially in relation to existing traffic controls and mass transit facilities), width of interior drives and access points, general interior circulation, separation of pedestrian and vehicular traffic, access to community facilities, and arrangement of vehicle parking and bicycle parking areas, including bicycle parking spaces required by Section 6.1.12 that are safe and convenient and, insofar as practicable, do not detract from the use and enjoyment of proposed buildings and structures and the neighboring properties.

The existing circulation does not change; however, the addition of a Do Not Enter sign will help ensure that circulation occurs as it is intended. The Board can find that this condition is met.

E. EDR-5 Surface Water Drainage

Special attention shall be given to proper site surface drainage so that removal of surface waters will not adversely affect neighboring properties or the public storm drainage system. Available Best Management Practices for the site should be employed, and include site planning to minimize impervious surface and reduce clearing and re-grading. Best Management Practices may include erosion control and stormwater treatment by means of swales, filters, plantings, roof gardens, native vegetation, and leaching catch basins. Stormwater should be treated at least minimally on the development site; that which cannot be handled on site shall be removed from all roofs, canopies, paved and pooling areas and carried away in an underground drainage system. Surface water in all paved areas shall be collected in intervals so that it will not obstruct the flow of vehicular or pedestrian traffic and will not create puddles in the paved areas.

In accordance with Section 3.3.4., the Board may require from any applicant, after consultation with the Director of Public Works, security satisfactory to the Board to insure the maintenance of all stormwater facilities such as catch basins, leaching catch basins, detention basins, swales, etc. within the site. The Board may use funds provided by such security to conduct maintenance that the applicant fails to do.

The Board may adjust in its sole discretion the amount and type of financial security such that it is satisfied that the amount is sufficient to provide for any future maintenance needs.

There will be no changes to the exterior of the building or surface water run-off as a result of this proposal. The Board can find that this condition is met.

F. EDR-6 Utilities Service

Electric, telephone, cable TV, and other such lines of equipment shall be underground. The proposed method of sanitary sewage disposal and solid waste disposal from all buildings shall be indicated.

There will be no changes to the utility service as a result of this proposal. The Board can find that this condition is met.

G. EDR-7 Advertising Features

The size, location, design, color, texture, lighting and materials of all permanent signs and outdoor advertising structures or features shall not detract from the use and enjoyment of proposed buildings and structures and the surrounding properties.

The existing CVS signage includes a slash, and appears as CVS/pharmacy. The proposed rebranding eliminates the slash and includes a heart in front of the words CVS pharmacy. The heart rebranding retains the typical red color associated with CVS.

The scope of work for the new signage includes removing the large signage above the main entrance of the building and other plaques, and replacing it with updated signage. A new Do Not Enter sign will be installed. All other directional signage will be retained.

The signage on the Massachusetts Avenue frontage is currently 75.18 square feet and will be replaced with signage that measures approximately 33.08 square feet. The reason for the reduction is the size of the letters. The existing letters are approximately 36 inches and the proposed letters are 22.5 inches. Additionally, the new signage will include channel LED illumination.

The main signage facing the parking lot is currently 33.41 square feet and will be replaced with signage that measures approximately 33.08 square feet. The existing letters are approximately 24 inches and the proposed letters are 22.5 inches. Additionally, the new signage will include channel LED illumination.

Three plaques on the property will be updated. A plaque at the main entrance will be replaced. This plaque conveys information regarding the opening hours, the store manager, and the pharmacy manager. The plaque will remain but the CVS/pharmacy will be replaced with the heart branding. The receiving entrance plaque will be replaced with a 3 square foot plaque. A directional sign will be replaced at the drivethru pharmacy that indicates both lanes offer full service. It is approximately 4.17 square feet.

A new Do Not Enter sign will be installed at the end of the main drive aisle in the parking lot. At the rear of the site, the circulation is one way in order to access the drive-thru pharmacy. The Do Not Enter sign will reinforce the circulation pattern. The sign will be installed about 3 feet above grade and is approximately 2.25 square feet. All other directional signage remains as is on the property.

The reduction in the size of the main signage, the lighting upgrade, and the addition of the Do Not Enter sign are improvements to the property. The Board can find that this condition is met.

H. EDR-8 Special Features

Exposed storage areas, exposed machinery installations, service areas, truck loading areas, utility buildings and structures, and similar accessory areas and structures shall be subject to such setbacks, screen plantings or other screening methods as shall reasonably be required to prevent their being incongruous with the existing or contemplated environment and the surrounding properties.

No changes are proposed. The Board can find that this condition is met.

I. EDR-9 Safety

With respect to personal safety, all open and enclosed spaces shall be designed to facilitate building evacuation and maximize accessibility by fire, police and other emergency personnel and equipment. Insofar as practicable, all exterior spaces and interior public and semi-public spaces shall be so designed to minimize the fear and probability of personal harm or injury by increasing the potential surveillance by neighboring residents and passersby of any accident or attempted criminal act.

No changes are proposed. The Board can find that this condition is met.

J. EDR-10 Heritage

With respect to Arlington's heritage, removal or disruption of historic, traditional or significant uses, structures or architectural elements shall be minimized insofar as practical whether these exist on the site or on adjacent properties.

The CVS building is not located on any local or State historic property listing. However, the adjacent Atwood House is identified as a significant building per Title VI, Article 6 of the Town Bylaw. The signage rebranding does not impact the Atwood House and the Special Permit Decision retains jurisdiction over future plans for the structure as does the Historical Commission. The Board can find that this condition is met.

K. EDR-11 Microclimate

With respect to the localized climatic characteristics of a given area, any development which proposes new structures, new hard surface, ground coverage or the installation of machinery which emits heat, vapor or fumes shall endeavor to minimize insofar as practicable, any adverse impacts on light, air and water resources or on noise and temperature levels of the immediate environment.

No changes are proposed. The Board can find that this condition is met.

L. <u>EDR-12 Sustainable Building and Site Design</u>

Projects are encouraged to incorporate best practices related to sustainable sites, water efficiency, energy and atmosphere, materials and resources, and indoor environmental quality. Applicants must submit a current Green Building Council Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) checklist, appropriate to the type of development, annotated with narrative description that indicates how the LEED performance objectives will be incorporated into the project.

No changes are proposed. The Board can find that this condition is met.

IV. Conditions

1. The final plans and specifications for signage shall be subject to final approval by the Department of Planning and Community Development (DPCD).

- 2. Any substantial or material deviation during construction from the approved plans and specifications is subject to the written approval of the Arlington Redevelopment Board.
- 3. The conditions of the original Special Permit decision are still in force. The Board maintains continuing jurisdiction over this permit and may, after a duly advertised public hearing, attach other conditions or modify these conditions as it deems appropriate in order to protect the public interest and welfare.



June 27, 2019

Town of Arlington Planning & Community Development 730 Mass Ave. Annex Arlington, MA 02476

Re: <u>833 Mass Ave. CVS Pharmacy Signage Rebrand</u>

Dear Town of Arlington,

Please find enclosed special sign permit application for Environmental Design Review and supporting documents as needed.

2 copies of:

- Application & Plans
- Owner Approval Letter from CVS
- COI & Worker's Comp.
- Construction Supervisor License
- Original Sign Permit Application
- \$500 Permit Fee (Check)
- Dimensional & Parking Info Form
- Site Plan
- Drawing of Existing Conditions and Drawing of Proposed
- Photographs
- Impact Statement

An electronic copy of these materials have been sent to Erin Zwirko.

If you have any questions, please call me at 508-328-1457.

Sincerely,

Gary McCoy Account Executive 125 Samuel Barnet Boulevard New Bedford, MA 02745

508.328.1457



TOWN OF ARLINGTON REDEVELOPMENT BOARD

Application for Special Permit In Accordance with Environmental Design Review Procedures (Section 3.4 of the Zoning Bylaw)

1.	Property Address <u>833 Massachusetts</u>	Ave Arlington N	Docket No MA 02476	
1.	Name of Record Owner(s) <u>CVS Pharm</u>		Phone 401-770-3047	
	Address of Owner One CVS Drive, MC		, Woonsocket, RI 02895	
	Street		City, State, Zip	
2.	Name of Applicant(s) (if different than about Address 125 Samuel Barnet Blvd. N	ove) Poyant Signey Bedford MA	gns Phone 508-328-1457	
	Status Relative to Property (occupant, pure			
3.		2-1-1		
J.		ssor's Block Plan,	Block, Lot No.	
4		1 D		
4.	Deed recorded in the Registry of deeds, Be-or- registered in Land Registration Office	ook, Pa e, Cert. No.	rage; , in Book , Page .	
_				
5.	Present Use of Property (include # of dwe	lling units, if any)		
6.	Proposed Use of Property (include # of dw	elling units, if any	y) Pharmacy/Commercial (Like for Like)	
7	D : 12.16 : 1 : 21	3.3	Special Permits	
7.	Permit applied for in accordance with the following Zoning Bylaw section(s)	3.4	Environmental Design Review	
	the following Zoning Bytaw section(s)	6.2	Signs	
8.	·		title(s) provide any additional information that may aid the ARI that you feel you should be granted the requested permiss	
	see attached statement	•		
	see attached statement			
	pplicant states that CVS Pharmacy	low, strike out the word	ne owner -or- occupant or purchaser under agreemen	t of th
	rty in Arlington located at	nfavorable action	-or- no unfavorable action has been taken by the Zonin	G Boar
	* **		the last two years. The applicant expressly agrees to	_
with a			ermission, either by the Zoning Bylaw or by the Redeve	
Dourd	, should the perimit be granted.			
	In Inches	my MEG_		
Signatu	re of Applicant(s)	Jiv y		
125 9	Samuel Barnet Blvd. New Bedford MA 0	2745	508-328-1457	
Address		21 1 0	Phone	

TOWN OF ARLINGTON

Dimensional and Parking Information for Application to The Arlington Redevelopment Board

The Arlington Redevelopment Board		Docket No	
Property Location 833 Massachusetts Ave		Zoning DistrictB4	
Owner: CVS Pharmacy	_	Address: One CVS Drive, MC 1190 Woonsocket, RI 02895	
Present Use/Occupancy: No. of Dwelling Units:		Uses and their gross square feet:	
Pharmacy			
Proposed Use/Occupancy: No. of Dwelling Units:		Uses and their gross square feet:	
Pharmacy			
		Min. or Max.	

Pharmacy			
	Present Conditions	Proposed Conditions	Min. or Max. Required by Zoning for Proposed Use
Lot Size	79715 SF	79715 SF	min.
Frontage			min.
Floor Area Ratio			max.
Lot Coverage (%), where applicable			max.
Lot Area per Dwelling Unit (square feet)	NA	NA	_{min.} NA
Front Yard Depth (feet)			min.
Side Yard Width (feet) right side			min.
left side			min.
Rear Yard Depth (feet)			min.
Height			min.
Stories	1	1	stories
Feet			feet
Open Space (% of G.F.A.)			min.
Landscaped (square feet)			(s.f.)
Usable (square feet)			(s.f.)
Parking Spaces (No.)			min.
Parking Area Setbacks (feet), where applicable			min.
Loading Spaces (No.)			min.
Type of Construction	Signage	1	
Distance to Nearest Building			min.



June 27, 2019

Town of Arlington Planning & Community Development 730 Mass Ave. Annex Arlington, MA 02476

Re: <u>Impact Statement</u>

Dear Town of Arlington,

Poyant has been contracted with CVS pharmacy to rebrand their existing signage at their location at 833 Massachusetts Avenue, Arlington MA. Due to the scope of work, we feel that there will be no negative impact as it relates to the Arlington Environmental Design Review Standards. The existing signage that is currently at this location include lighting systems that can be more hazardous to the environment due to the amount of energy used. We will be replacing this existing lighting system with new, energy-efficient LEDs. The following is the scope of work for this proposed signage project:

- Remove existing (2) 24" Main ID Channel Lettersets and plaques.
- Replace with (2) 22" Channel Letters (LED Illuminated) and new regulatory plaques.
- Install Do Not Enter sign.
- All directional signage will remain as is.

Please review the attached signage plans for more details (install locations, etc.).

If you have any questions, please call me at 508-328-1457.

Sincerely,

Gary McCoy Account Executive

125 Samuel Barnet Boulevard New Bedford, MA 02745

508.328.1457



June 19, 2019

To: Building Inspector

Re: CVS pharmacy contracted sign vendor

To Whom It May Concern:

CVS pharmacy has awarded Poyant Signs Inc. as the exterior sign vendor throughout New England and New York. Poyant Signs Inc. has a term contract in place with CVS pharmacy.

Please accept this letter to allow Poyant Signs Inc. & their respective agents to act as our agent, pull permits and install signs as per code.

Sincerely,

Karen Rezuke

Karen Rezuke

Properties Programs and Strategy Administration, Sr. Consultant Exterior Branding





Existing Location Overview

EXISTING	PROPOSED
1) 24" Main ID Channel Letterset 2) 24" Main ID Channel Letterset 3) Drive-Thru Information Panel 4) Pharmacy Pick-Up/Drop-off Plaque 5) Missing Do Not Enter Sign 5) Receiving Entrance Plaque 7) Hours Plaque 8) Directional Signs (Qty:4)	(1) IL-22-CL-L LED Heart (2) IL-22-CL-L LED Heart (3) Full Service Regulatory Plaque (4) Remove and Dispose (5) Do Not Enter Sign (6) Receiving Entrance Plaque (7) Hours Plaque (8) Leave As Is

CVS pharmacy

2019 THH BIR Upgrade



Proposed Signage Overview

Loc#: 00278

833 Massachusetts Ave. Arlington, MA 02476





SITE PLAN Poyant Building Your Brand Arlington, MA 02476 Proj #: 16189 Loc#: 278 File Path: 278

ACCOUNTS\C\CVS pharmacy\Locations 2016\3018_MC\3018_0000_City_ST



Rev#:	Req#:	Date:	Req. By:	Drawn By:	Revision Description:					
Original	000000	4/16/19	GM	CC						
Rev1	000000	6/13/19	GM	CC	Notes	Rev#:	Req#:			Drawn By:
Rev2	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		Rev7		00/00/00		XXX
Rev3	000000	00/00/00	XXX	¥1X4X1	of 165	Rev8		00/00/00		XXX
Rev4	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX	3	Rev9	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev5	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		Rev 10	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 6	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX						Pg. 1












NOTES:	

	_
Drawing prepared by:	ı
	L
Dayant	8
rygill	F
Building Your Brand	

N
Proj #:
16189
Loc#:
278



Rev#:	Req#:	Date:	Req. By:	Drawn By:	Revision Description:						
Original	000000	4/16/19	GM	CC							
Rev1	000000	6/13/19	GM	CC	Notes		Rev#:	Req#:		Req.By:	
Rev 2	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX			Rev7	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev3	000000	00/00/00	XXX	¥1X4Y2	of 165		Rev8	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev4	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX	000		Rev9	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev5	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX			Rev 10	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 6	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		•					Pg. 2










NOTES:		

Drawing prepared by:	
Poyant	

SITE PLA	N .
Location:	Proj #:
833 Massachusetts Ave.	16189
Arlington, MA 02476	Loc#:
File Path:	278



Rev#:	Req#:	Date:	Req.By:	Drawn By:	Revision Description:					
Original	000000	4/16/19	GM	CC						
Rev1	000000	6/13/19	GM	CC	Notes	Rev#:	Req#:			Drawn By:
Rev2	000000	00/00/00	XXX			Rev7		00/00/00		XXX
Rev3	000000	00/00/00	XXX	¥1X4X3	of 165	Rev8	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev4	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX	000	Rev9	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev5	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		Rev 10	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 6	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		·				Pg. 3










NOTES:	
l	

Drawing prepared by:

SITE PLA	.N
Location:	Proj #:
833 Massachusetts Ave.	16189
Arlington, MA 02476	Loc#:
File Path:	278



Rev#:	Req#:	Date:	Req. By:	Drawn By:	Revision Description:					
Original	000000	4/16/19	GM	CC						
Rev1	000000	6/13/19	GM	CC	Notes	Rev#:	Req#:			Drawn By:
Rev2	000000	00/00/00	XXX			Rev 7	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev3		00/00/00		* 1 *1*4	of 165	Rev8		00/00/00		XXX
Rev4		00/00/00		XXX	5	Rev 9		00/00/00		XXX
Rev5		00/00/00		XXX		Rev 10	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 6	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX						Pg. 4













NOTE	S:			
1				

			,	
				L
L)	••	/~	nt	8
Γ',	Ŋ	/c	11 1 4	A
	/	Buildin	g Your Brand	

Drawing prepared by:

SHEPLA	AN	
Location:	Proj #:	
833 Massachusetts Ave.	16189	
Arlington, MA 02476	Loc#:	
File Path:	278	
ACCOUNTS\C\CVS pharmacy\Locati	ions 2016\3018_MC\3018_0000_City_	_ST



Rev#:	Req#:	Date:	Req. By:	Drawn By:	Revision Description:						
Original	000000	4/16/19	GM	CC							
Rev1	000000	6/13/19	GM	CC	Notes		Rev#:	Req#:			Drawn By:
Rev2	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX			Rev7	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev3	000000	00/00/00	XXX	¥1X1X5	of 165		Rev8	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev4	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX	3		Rev9	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev5	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX			Rev 10	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 6	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		•					Pg. 5













NOTES	TES:	

Drawing prepared by:
Poyant

OVERVIEW PI	HOTOS	
Location:	Proj #:	
833 Massachusetts Ave.	16189	
Arlington, MA 02476	Loc#:	
File Path:	278	
ACCOUNTS\C\CVS pharmacy\Location	ons 2016\3018_MC\3018_0000_C	ity_ST



Rev#:	Req#:	Date:	Req.By:	Drawn By:	Revision Description:					
Original	000000	4/16/19	GM	CC						
Rev1	000000	6/13/19	GM	CC	Notes	Rev#:	Req#:	Date:	Req.By:	Drawn By:
Rev2	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		Rev7	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev3	000000	00/00/00	XXX	X4X46	of 165	Rev8	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev4		00/00/00		XXX		Rev 9	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 5		00/00/00		XXX		Rev 10	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 6	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX						Pg. 6















NOTES	:			

Drawing prepared by:
Poyant

OVERVIEW PI	HOTOS	
Location:	Proj #:	
833 Massachusetts Ave.	16189	
Arlington, MA 02476	Loc#:	
File Path:	278	
ACCOUNTS\C\CVS pharmacy\Location	ons 2016\3018_MC\3018_0000_C	ity_ST



Rev#:	Req#:	Date:	Req.By:	Drawn By:	Revision Description:					
Original	000000	4/16/19	GM	CC						
Rev1	000000	6/13/19	GM	CC	Notes	Rev#:	Req#:	Date:	Req.By:	Drawn By:
Rev2	000000	00/00/00		XXX		Rev 7	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev3	000000	00/00/00	XXX	X4X47	of 165	Rev8	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev4	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX	555	Rev 9	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev5	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		Rev 10	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 6	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		•				Pg. 7





NOTES: Approach photos

_	Ī
Poyant Poyant	l

Drawing prepared by:	OVERVIEW P	Drawing prepared for:	
Poyant	Location: 833 Massachusetts Ave. Arlington, MA 02476 File Path:	Proj #: 16189 Loc #: 278	♥CVS pharmacy
	ACCOUNTS\C\CVS pharmacy\Location	ns 2016\3018_MC\3018_0000_City_ST	•

Rev#:	Req#:	Date:	Req.By:	Drawn By:	Revision Description:						
Original	000000	4/16/19	GM	CC							
Rev1	000000	6/13/19	GM	CC	Notes		Rev#:	Req#:	Date:	Req.By:	Drawn By:
Rev2	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX			Rev7	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev3	000000	00/00/00	XXX	X4X4X8	of 165		Rev8	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 4	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX			Rev 9	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 5	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX			Rev 10	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 6	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		•					Pg. 9



Existing Signage - West Elevation

Existing Sign #1 (33.41 SQ.FT.) 24" Main ID Channel Letterset

Illuminated

S/F 🗓 D/F 🗌 Yes 🗓 No 🗌



Proposed Signage - West Elevation

Proposed Signage

Not To Scale Unless Noted



IL-33-CL-L (33.08 SqFt)

	T(EGGIIIIIETID)						
_	Location:	Proj #:					
Dayont	833 Massachusetts Ave.	16189					
L Oyal II	833 Massachusetts Ave.Arlington, MA 02476	Loc#:					
Building Your Bran	File Path:	278					
ACCOUNTS\C\CVS pharmacy\Locations 2016\3018_MC\3018_000							

RECOMMENDATION chusetts Ave. 16189 1A 02476 278



Rev#:	Req#:	Date:	Req.By:	Drawn By:	Revision Description:					
Original	000000	4/16/19	GM	CC						
Rev1		6/13/19	GM	CC	Notes	Rev#:	Req#:			Drawn By:
Rev2	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		Rev 7	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev3	000000	00/00/00	XXX	1×4×9	of 165	Rev8	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 4	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		Rev 9	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev5		00/00/00	XXX	XXX		Rev 10	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 6	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX				-		Pg. 10




Existing Signage - South Elevation

Existing Sign #2 (75.18 SQ.FT.) 36" Main ID Channel Letters Illuminated	S/F X D/F Yes X No
Existing Sign #7 (2 SQ.FT.) Hours Plaque Illuminated	S/F X D/F Yes No X



Proposed Signage - South Elevation





IL-22-CL-L LED Heart (33.08 SqFt)

2



RECOMMENDATION Poyant 833 Massachusetts Ave. Arlington, MA 02476 16189

Loc#: 278



Rev#:	Req#:	Date:	Req. By:	Drawn By:	Revision Description:					
Original	000000	4/16/19	GM	CC						
Rev1		6/13/19	GM	CC	Notes	Rev#:	Req#:			Drawn By:
Rev 2	000000	00/00/00		XXX		Rev 7	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 3	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXXX	of 165	Rev8	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 4	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX	0. 100	Rev9	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 5	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		Rev 10	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 6	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX						Pa. 11

Not To Scale Unless Noted

ACCOUNTS\C\CVS pharmacy\Locations 2016\3018_MC\3018_0000_City_ST



Existing Signage - North Elevation

Existing Sign #3 (12 SQ.FT.) Drive-Thru Information Panel Illuminated	S/F X D/F Yes No X
Existing Sign #4 (1 SQ.FT.) Pharmacy Pick-Up/Drop-Off Plaque Illuminated	S/F X D/F ☐ Yes ☐ No X



Proposed Signage - North Elevation

Proposed Signage

full service both lanes

(4.17 SqFt)

3

Poyant 8 A

Drawing prepared by:

RECOMMENDATION								
Location:	Proj #:							
833 Massachusetts Ave.	16189							
Arlington, MA 02476	Loc#:							
File Path:	278							

ACCOUNTS\C\CVS pharmacy\Locations 2016\3018_MC\3018_0000_City_ST



Rev#:	Req#:	Date:	Req.By:	Drawn By:	Revision Description:					
Original	000000	4/16/19	GM	CC						
	000000		GM	CC	Notes	Rev#:	Req#:			Drawn By:
Rev2	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		Rev7	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev3	000000	00/00/00	XXX	X ₁ X ₂ X ₂ 1	of 165	Rev8	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev4	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		Rev9	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev5	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		Rev 10	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 6	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		·				Pg. 12

Not To Scale Unless Noted



Existing Signage - North Elevation

Existing Sign #6 (3 SQ.FT.) Receiving Entrance Plaque Illuminated

S/F X D/F Yes No X



Proposed Signage - North Elevation

Proposed Signage

receiving entrance

(3 SqFt)

Not To Scale Unless Noted

Poyant	
Building Your Brand	

Drawing prepared by:

 RECOMMENDATION
 Drawing prepared for:

 Location:
 Proj #:
 CVS

 833 Massachusetts Ave.
 16189
 Loc #:
 pharmacy

 Arlington, MA 02476
 Loc #:
 pharmacy


 File Path:
 278
 pharmacy

 ACCOUNTS\C\CVS pharmacy\Locations 2016\3018_MC\3018_0000_City_ST

Rev#:	Req#:	Date:	Req.By:	Drawn By:	Revision Description:						
Original	000000	4/16/19	GM	CC							
Rev1	000000		GM	CC	Notes		Rev#:	Req#:	Date:	Req.By:	Drawn By:
Rev2	000000	00/00/00		XXX			Rev 7	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev3	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XY3/2	of 165		Rev 8	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 4	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX			Rev9	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 5	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX			Rev 10	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 6	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		•					Pg. 13







Not To Scale Unless Noted

Drawing prepared by:


RECOMMENDATION				
ocation:	Proj #:			
833 Massachusetts Ave.	16189			
Arlington, MA 02476	Loc#:	_		
File Path:	278			

ACCOUNTS\C\CVS pharmacy\Locations 2016\3018_MC\3018_0000_City_ST

♥CVS pharmacy

Rev#:	Req#:	Date:	Req. By:	Drawn By:	Revision Description:					
Original	000000									
Rev1	000000	6/13/19	GM	CC	Notes	Rev#:	Req#:	Date:		Drawn By:
Rev 2	000000	00/00/00		XXX		Rev 7	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 3	000000	00/00/00	XXX	X XXX	of 165	Rev 8	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 4	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX	0. 100	Rev 9	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 5	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX		Rev 10	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX
Rev 6	000000	00/00/00	XXX	XXX						Pg. 14

QTY: 2 Sets



Specifications

Qty:TBD


33.08 SqFt (Each)

Internally Illuminated Channel Letters (Horizontal)

- Chemcast 3/16" Red acrylic faces #2793
- 5" Deep pre-finished Hunter Red returns
- 3/4" Jewelite True Red trim cap
- Red LED illumination, GEMXRD-W1, 132 mod
- 120 Volt Power supplies, (Qty:1) GEPS12-60U-NA, (Qty:1) GEPS12-25U-NA
- 0.9 Amps, 77.88 Watts
- Mounted to existing fascia as required

Colors & Materials Chemcast Red Acrylic #2793 Jewelite True Red Trim Cap Pre-Finished Hunter Red Returns

124 of 165



CVS pharmacy

Drawn By: L. Rogers

File Name: Main ID_ Channel Letters_Horizontal

Revisions:

Woonsocket,

(UL)

This sign is intended to be installed in accordance with the requirements of Article 600 of the National Electrical Code and / or other applicable local codes. This includes proper grounding and bonding of the sign.

Approved By:

Approved for Construction

Martin B Higgins III

Main ID Channel Letters Horizontal - IL-22-CL-L

CHANNEL LETTERS

Existing Channel Letters

QTY: 2 Sets


16'-8 ½"

5'-8 3/8"

9'-8"

24"

Channel Letters
Scale: 1/2"=1'-0"



Specifications

Qty = 1 33.41 Sq Ft

24" CVS/pharmacy Channel Letters

- -3/16" acrylic faces #2793 red (typical)
- -5" deep .040 aluminum returns alliance pre-painted (dark bronze)
- -3/4" bronze trim cap
- -U.L. Listed
- -(1) 20 amp circuit
- -LED Specifications: Tetra MAX Red LED Strip
- -LED Module: GERDMXS6
- -# Of Modules#: 166
- -# Of Feet: 56
- -Power Supply: GEPS12-60
- -Quantity: 2 -Total amps: 1.7


Colors & Materials



Red acrylic #2793



Dark Bronze trim cap & returns



Poyant Programmer Poyant

125 Samuel Barnet Boulevard New Bedford, MA 02745 800.544.0961 | poyantsigns.com

CVS/pharmacy

Main Street Town, State


Project: 12264 CVS/pharmacy

Sales: Gary McCoy Date: 06.23.09 Designer: LB


Note:

This is an original unpublished drawing created by Poyant Signs, Inc. It is submitted for your personal use in connection with a project being planned for you by Poyant Signs, Inc. It is not to be shown to anyone outside your organization, nor is it to be reproduced, copied or exhibited in any fashion until transferred.

Revisions:
Approved By:
Date:
24" Channel Letters
2 . 3



Sign Elevation - Front View
Scale: 1"=1'-0"



Specifications

Qty = 1

2.25 Sq Ft

Single Faced Non Illuminated Regulatory Sign

- Single sided stock regulatory sign face w/ engineer grade reflective white background & red graphics
- Sign face mechanically fastened thru face to post
- (Qty:1) 2" x 2" x 5'-0" steel post to be painted colonial white
- Black plastic push fit cap for top of post
- (Qty:1) 6" x 6" x 1/4" steel plate welded 3'-0" down from top of pole painted white
- * If installation is on concrete: cut pole from bottom of plate down & mount plate to concrete
- * If installation is to be direct burial: bury 2'-0" below grade To be in direct burial foundation
- Foundation to be 2'-0"D x 8" Dia. with (Qty:1) Bags of pea stone per hole
- *Foundation varies per location

Colors & Materials

Paint

Colonial White; Satin Finish

5" 21/4" Slot (Qty:2) Ø 1/2" Holes Opposite Corners To <u>_</u> Receive 3/8" Hardware 21/4"x21/4" Slot To Receive 2"x2" Post

Plate Detail - Front View
Scale: 3"=1'-0"




Plate Detail - Side View

Plate Detail - Side View

Plate Detail - Side View

Revisions:

Woonsocket,

3.23.17 LR Rev changed to pea

Drawn By: L. Rogers



This sign is intended to be installed in accordance with the requirements of Article 600 of the National Electrical Code and / or other applicable local codes. This includes proper grounding and bonding of the sign.


Approved By:

Date:

Do Not Enter_ Regulatory Sign

Page

XX



Specifications

Qty = 60

Sq Ft Varies

Replacement Single Face Header Insert For Rebrand Hours Plaques

*Remove & Dispose Of Existing Header Inserts w/ Old Logo

- .080 Styrene direct print inserts
- Red to match PMS 186c
- Some locations may need to be trimmed in length to fit
- Install new insert in top section of existing hours plaques

Colors & Materials

475

X20000000000

B St. B ST. 18 5000

130mm/100/gm

Direct Print

CVS pharmacy

HONEHOUSE

CONT.24 PLAN

Open 21 Hites.

DOWN THE PROPERTY

774 - 24 House

Open 24 hours.

Cont 24 hours

STORE MANAGER

Kristen Nolan

RX MANAGER

Chi Lan Trinh

Open 24 House

♥CVS pharmacy

(Qty:60) 3"H x 16"W .080 Styrene Direct Printed w/ CVS Red; PMS 186C Trimmed To Finished Size

Poyant Poyant

125 Samuel Barnet Boulevard New Bedford, MA 02745 800.544.0961 poyantsigns.com



Corporate

Project: 14840 CVS Pharmacy

Sales: Gary McCoy Date: 05.07.18 Designer: LR

Note:

This is an original unpublished drawing created by Poyant Signs, Inc. It is submitted for your personal use in connection with a project being planned for you by Poyant Signs, Inc. It is not to be shown to anyone outside your organization, nor is it to be reproduced, copied or exhibited in any fashion until transferred.

Rev	isions:	

5.7.18 LR T2

(II.

This sign is intended to be installed in accordance with the requirements of Article 600 of the National Electrical Code and / or other applicable local codes.

This includes proper grounding and bonding of the sign.

Approved By:

Approved for Construction

Martin B Higgins III


Pylon Sign

Option A


Photo Comp - Proposed Example
Not To Scale

Sign Elevation - Front View
Scale: 6"=1'-0"






Receiving Entrance Plaque



Sign Elevation - Front View Scale: 2"=1'-0"



Section

Specifications

S/F Non-Illuminated Door Plaque (3.0 SQ.FT.)

Background

- 0.090" Aluminum Plaque Painted CVS Red

Graphics

- White Vinyl Graphics

Hardwa

- 3/16"ø Round Head Screw
- Expansion Anchor

Colors & Materials

Paint to Match PMS #186 (Satin Finish)

White Opaque Vinyl


One CVS Drive Woonsocket, RI


Drawn By:	N. Pazdan
File Name: Plaque	Receiving Entrance
Revisions:	
UL)	
with the requirement Electrical Code and	ed to be installed in accordance ints of Article 600 of the National d / or other applicable local codes. er grounding and bonding of the sign
Approved E	Зу:
Date:	
Dogoising	Entrance Plaque


RECEIVING ENTRANCE PLAQUE Page

56

Drive-Thru Regulatory Plaques







Specifications

Colors & Materials

CVS Cardinal Red (Satin Finish)

White Reflective; 3M 680-10

S/F Non-Illuminated Full Service Plaque (4.17 SQ.FT.)

Background

- 1/8" Aluminum Plaque Painted CVS Cardinal Red

Graphics

- White Reflective Vinyl Graphics

Instal

- Mount to drive-thru canopy columns as required in field



125 Samuel Barnet Boulevard New Bedford, MA 02745 800.544.0961 | poyantsigns.com



Corporate

Project: 14866 Fairhaven Commons

Sales: Gary McCoy Date: 05.16.18 Designer: LR

Note:

This is an original unpublished drawing created by Poyant Signs, Inc. It is submitted for your personal use in connection with a project being planned for you by Poyant Signs, Inc. It is not to be shown to anyone outside your organization, nor is it to be reproduced, copied or exhibited in any fashion until transferred.

Revisions:	
5.16.18 LR T2	



This sign is intended to be installed in accordance with the requirements of Article 600 of the National Electrical Code and / or other applicable local codes. This includes proper grounding and bonding of the sign.

Approved By:
Approved for Construction

Martin B Higgins III

Drive-Thru Regulatory Plaque Standard

Option A
















CIVIL ENGINEER:

Rf o'CONNELL &ASSOCIATES, INC Civil Engineers & Land Planners

80 MONTVALE AVE., SUITE 201 STONEHAM, MA 02180 781-279-0180 FAX: 781-279-0173

CONSULTANT:

SEAL:

pharmacy TYPE A PROTOTYPE

75672

STORE NUMBER=

837-821 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE ARLINGTON, MA

DEALTYPE:EW

DEVELOPER:

GBC GERSHMAN BROWN CROWLEY INC.

14 BREAKNECKffiLLRD LINCOLN, RI 02865

REVISIONS:

ISSUED TO ARLINGTON REVIEW BOARD

REVISED PER ARB COMMENTS

AKC/KPM DRAWING BY:

03/02/2009 JOB NUMBER: 07150

PARKING & TRAFFIC CONTROL PLAN

SHEET NUMBER:

COMMENTS:

GRAPHIC SCALE IN FEET

136 of 165

•1 SPACE PER 300 SF OF GROSS FLOOR AREA **ADA REQUIREMENT - MINIMUM NUMBER OF ACCESSIBLE SPACES FOR A PARKING LOT TOTĂLING 50 TO 75 SPACES - 3 TOTAL HANDICAP SPACES ZONING DISTRICT: VEHICULAR ORIENTED BUSINESS DISTRICT (B4)

ONE WAY

WHITE ON BLACK

CHANNEL

REFLECTORIZED SIGN



ARLINGTON REDEVELOPMENT BOARD

Arlington, Massachusetts Middlesex, ss

DOCKET NO. 3348

CVS

Applicant

REQUEST FOR SPECIAL PERMIT
Subject to
ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN REVIEW

October 20, 2008, November 17, 2008, December 22, 2008, February 23, 2009, March 9, 2009, March 30, 2009, April 6, 2009, April 13, 2009
April 13, 2009
april 28, 2009
Opposed



TOWN OF ARLINGTON

MASSACHUSETTS 02476 781 - 316 - 3090

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING and COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

OPINION OF THE BOARD

This application by G. B. New England 2, LLC seeks a special permit to construct a CVS drugstore at the subject address. The property has been the site for an automobile dealer and a small office building (formerly a residence) for many years. The applicant originally proposed to construct a 12,900-square-foot retail store on a part of the site that did not include the former residence at 821 Mass. Ave., known as the Atwood House. Prior to the public hearing, the applicant notified the Town that it wished to modify its proposal. It had arranged to include the property on which the Atwood house is located. It now proposed to demolish both buildings, construct the same CVS drug store, and construct an automated bank teller machine in a freestanding, 70-square-foot building. The applicant requested more time to modify its application. Accordingly, the hearing scheduled for October 20, 2008 was opened and immediately continued to November 17, 2008 with no discussion of the project. The hearing was advertised in the Arlington Advocate on October 2 and October 9, 2008.

When it was questioned if the drive-thru pharmacy could be permitted, the Inspector of Buildings determined that the proposed drive-thru for the pharmacy could be permitted as use number 8.17, which requires a special permit. The public hearing for that special permit use was advertised in the Arlington Advocate on December 4 and December 11, 2008, and scheduled for December 22, 2008 which coincided with the continuation date (from November17, 2008) for the original permit application. Subsequently, hearings have been held for all proposed uses on January 26, 2009, February 23, 2009, March 9, 2009. Mar 30, 2009, April 6, 2009, and April 13, 2009.

The proposal has changed in response to the discussion at these hearings. The Atwood House will not be demolished, but will remain. There has been a great deal of discussion about using the house as a multi-family residence. However, at this time, there is no specific proposal for the use of the Atwood House. The applicant has indicated that it wishes to complete the permitting of the CVS drugstore, and will return to modify the special permit when the use of the Atwood House is determined. The proposed site plan includes the Atwood House, parking spaces that are dedicated to it, and space for an addition to the rear of the structure.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Section 10.11a-1 The uses requested are listed in the Table of Use Regulations as a Special Permit in the district for which application is made or is so designated elsewhere in this Bylaw.

The applicant seeks a special permit to operate a retail store having more than 3,000 square feet of gross floor area. The use, number 6.16 in the Table of Use Regulations (Section 5.04 of the Zoning Bylaw), is a special permit use in the B4 zoning district. The fact that the proposed development also requires a building permit and is located on Massachusetts Avenue means that the special permit is subject to environmental design review (Section 11.06 of the Zoning Bylaw). The applicant also seeks special permits for signs under Section 7.09, and for parking and loading space standards under Section 8.12 of the Zoning Bylaw. The proposal includes two drive-thru pharmacy

windows, which the Inspector of Buildings has said can be permitted special permit under accessory use number 8.17. The Board finds that the proposal meets this standard.

Section 10.11a-2 The requested use is essential or desirable to the public convenience or welfare.

The town is now served by four large drugstores (two of which are CVS, one of which does not have a pharmacy) and two additional pharmacies. The proposed use of the site will establish the fifth large drugstore in Arlington (and the third CVS store) and the sixth pharmacy. The proposed store will be the only pharmacy with a drive-thru. Public input at the public hearing has been mixed, but some clearly want a convenient, large drugstore with a drive-thru pharmacy. The Board finds that the proposed use is desirable to the public convenience or welfare.

Section 10.11a-3 The requested use will not create undue traffic congestion, or unduly impair pedestrian safety.

The applicant submitted a traffic impact report, and then modified it several times to include the impact of the project on pedestrians, the impacts when the abutting high school is in session, the repositioning of the retail store, and the preservation of the Atwood House. At each step of the way, the Board's traffic consultant has reviewed the reports. The Town's Transportation Advisory Committee has also reviewed the traffic impact studies and made recommendations to the Board.

The following is the sequence of documents regarding traffic impacts:

- 1. Traffic Impact Study by GEOD (for CVS), August 18, 2008
- 2. Traffic Impact Study by GEOD (for CVS), November 17, 2008 This study reflected an altered site plan because CVS had arranged to control more of the property and proposed to demolish the Atwood House and add more parking and an ATM on the site.
- 3. Memo from BSC Group (ARB's consultant), December 4, 2008 This memo asked for clarification of parts of the proposal and asked for some technical corrections.
- 4. Revised Traffic Impact Study by GEOD (for CVS), January 19, 2009 This study responded to comments from BSC and those made at the December 22 hearing. It also reflected a second change to the site plan: the Atwood house is to remain and put to a new use (as yet undetermined). It also recommended a new crosswalk near Carey Drive and improvements that could be made to the Jason and Mill Streets intersection.
- 5. Comments by Jeff Maxtutis (TAC), January 19, 2009 The comments asked for minor changes in the impact analysis.
- 6. Memo from BSC Group (ARB's consultant), January 22, 2009 The memo expressed general agreement with the responses in the January 19 report and suggested some refinements.
- 7. Memo from GEOD regarding pedestrian movements, February 4, 2009 This report provided more detail about pedestrian movements and studied alternative crosswalk locations.
- 8. Comments by Jeff Maxtutis (TAC), February 6, 2009 These comments evaluated the proposed improvements to the Jason and Mill Streets intersection and the proposed crosswalk. It also expressed concern about the site entrance and exit being close to Carey Drive.
- 9. Memo from GEOD summarizing comments, February 20, 2009 This memo summarized the recent months' studies, comments, and responses.
- 10. Memo from TAC, February 26, 2009 This memo indicated general satisfaction with the impact studies and the changes made to the project. It listed items it still thought were

- unresolved: 1) the proximity of the access to Carey Drive, 2) the need for bump-outs at Bartlett Street, 3) the need for bump-outs at the new crosswalk near Carey Drive, and 4) a commitment to make improvements to the Jason and Mill Streets intersection.
- 11. Email from Chris Emelius (GEOD), March 4, 2009 Clarified distance from Carey Drive to proposed site entrance.
- 12. Local CVS traffic counts by Ed Starr (TAC), March 5, 2009 Counts of pedestrians and vehicles were made at Walgreens in East Arlington for comparison purposes.
- 13. Memo from BSC Group. March 5, 2009 This memo concurred with the new crosswalk location and recommended bump-outs. It also made a number of recommendations regarding circulation on the site (parking, drive-thru, delivery).
- 14. Local traffic counts (various) from Ed Starr (TAC), March 9, 2009 Additional local counts for comparison purposes.
- 15. Hours of operation, local drug stores, by E. Carr-Jones (TAC), March 10, 2009 Hours of operation of local drug stores for comparison purposes.
- 16. Report on meeting with TAC by Bruce Fitzsimmons (ARB), March 12, 2009 TAC was pleased with bump-outs, thought \$5,000 offer to mitigate Jason and Mill Streets intersection was too low, offered compromise on site entrance location, and expressed concern over the trip generation numbers.
- 17. Memo from BSC Group. March 20, 2009 This essentially endorsed the TAC comments of March 12.
- 18. Memo from TAC, March 23, 2009 Reiteration of concerns and proposal of \$50,000 mitigation fund for unforeseen traffic impacts.
- 19. Memo from GEOD, March 26, 2009 This is a discussion of TAC and BSC concerns, and acceptance of the crosswalk bump-outs, and of the site entrance drive 113 feet from Carey Drive.
- 20. Memo from TAC, March 30, 2009 This is a defense of TAC's March 23rd memo.
- 21. Email from Sam Offei-Addo (BSC Group), April 2, 2009 This listed recommended improvements to signage and traffic lines on the site and at one of the bump-outs.
- 22. Questions on the Permitting Process for the Proposed CVS Pharmacy at 837 Massachusetts Avenue, Arlington Citizens for Responsible Development, April 6, 2009 by David Wright This paper expressed concern about the intersection at Jason and Mill Streets, traffic congestion at the high school, the validity of pedestrian counts, traffic generation figures, and traffic impacts on neighboring streets.
- 23. Traffic and Safety issues Relating to the CVS Special Permit Application, Arlington Citizens for Responsible Development, April 6, 2009 by Dorothy Nash Webber This paper made comparison of the proposal to the Osco proposal, which was denied some ten years earlier, and reiterated the concerns made in David Wright's paper, above.

The Board considered the traffic safety issues very carefully and asked its traffic consultant and TAC to do likewise. The trip generation numbers were discussed in great detail, with general agreement on the PM numbers and the feeling that the AM numbers may be low. The effects of the traffic on intersection performance were assessed using the PM numbers which corresponded to the TAC's AM counts. The Board therefore felt it had adequate indication of the impacts. Because of the potential impacts at the site entrance, the Board felt compelled to create the ability through an escrow fund to mitigate unexpected vehicle activity near the site. Should mitigation near the site not be deemed necessary, the escrow may be used at the Jason and Mill Streets intersection, which is expected to require mitigation regardless of whether or not the CVS is built.

140 of 165

As a result of the discussions about pedestrian safety and traffic congestion, the applicant has moved the driveway away from Carey Drive to lessen the impact on pedestrians and vehicles entering the high school, and will install a new crosswalk between Carey Drive and the CVS driveway, and will install crosswalk bump-outs on Mass. Ave. at that crosswalk and at Bartlett Avenue. The bump-outs will shorten the crossing distance, and help prevent illegal parking in the crosswalk. The applicant has agreed to contribute funds to help mitigate the impact of increased traffic along Mass. Ave., including at the Jason Street and Mill Street intersection. Based on the data and reports submitted by the applicant's consultant, as revised, and the materials and comments submitted by the Board's consultant and TAC, the mitigation measures agreed to by the applicant as part of this special permit, and the funding of future mitigation measures as required, the Board finds that this standard is met.

Section 10.11a-4 The requested use will not overload any public water, drainage or sewer system or any other municipal system to such an extent that the requested use or any developed use in the immediate area or in any other area of the Town will be unduly subjected to hazards affecting health, safety, or the general welfare.

The impact of the proposed development on public water and sewer will be minimal, but the Town Engineer has given the applicant instructions for making such connections. The applicant has submitted a very detailed stormwater management plan. The stormwater management plan has been revised to conform to the significant changes that have been made in the site plan, but the system remains essentially the same, with most of the stormwater filtered through a large rain garden at the rear of the site. The Board finds that the proposal meets this standard.

Section 10.11a-5 Any special regulations for the use, set forth in Article 11 are fulfilled. The environmental design review standards of Section 11.06 are evaluated below.

<u>EDR-1 Preservation of Landscape</u>: The landscape shall be preserved in its natural state insofar as practicable, by minimizing tree and soil removal and any grade changes shall be in keeping with the general appearance of neighboring developed areas.

The current site is covered almost entirely by building or paving. There is some lawn area in front and to the right of the Atwood House, and minimal other landscaping. With the Atwood House remaining on the site, it is possible to preserve a 22-inch-diameter pine tree in its front yard. The northern side of the lot slopes steeply down, and is covered with scrub growth, including trees. The proposed development will retain most of the treed area to the north, and introduce significantly more landscaping on the remaining three sides, as well as some landscaped areas within the parking lot. Besides that mentioned above, there is no existing landscaping to be preserved; the site is either paved or covered by building. The proposed plan will replace some of the impermeable surface with landscape, and the total landscaped area exceeds the amount required by the Zoning Bylaw. The Board finds that the proposal meets this standard.

EDR-2 Relation of the Building to the Environment: Proposed development shall be related harmoniously to the terrain and to the use, scale and architecture of the existing buildings in the vicinity that have functional or visible relationship to the proposed buildings. The Arlington Redevelopment Board may require a modification in massing so as to reduce the effect of shadows on the abutting property in an R-1 or R-2 district or on public open space.

The current proposal is much improved from the original application. The proposed store building has been moved up to the front of the lot, consistent with business uses along Mass. Ave. The Atwood House is to remain. It is important that the current design retains the Atwood House in its 141 of 165

current location on the site, and accommodates the possible future expansion at the rear of the structure. The Atwood House, and the current design of the CVS building itself, present an appropriate streetscape for Mass. Ave. in this area. The Board finds that the proposal meets this standard.

<u>EDR-3</u> Open Space: All open space (landscaped and usable) shall be so designed as to add to the visual amenities of the vicinity by maximizing its visibility for persons passing by the site or overlooking it from nearby properties. The location and configuration of usable open space shall be so designed as to encourage social interaction, maximize its utility and facilitate maintenance.

The open space provided on the site is appropriately and attractively landscaped, and exceeds the amount of landscaped space required by the Zoning Bylaw. The changes to the parking lot configuration result in the proposal meeting the required open space within the parking lot. In addition, the applicant has agreed to provide landscaping between the setback at the front of the new building and the sidewalk. The spaces will be attractively planted and placed to provide a pleasant view or screening as needed. The Board finds that the proposal meets this standard.

EDR-4 Circulation: With respect to vehicular and pedestrian and bicycle circulation, including entrances, ramps, walkways, drives, and parking, special attention shall be given to location and number of access points to the public streets (especially in relation to existing traffic controls and mass transit facilities), width of interior drives and access points, general interior circulation, separation of pedestrian and vehicular traffic, access to community facilities, and arrangement of vehicle parking and bicycle parking areas, including bicycle parking spaces required by Section 8.13 that are safe and convenient and, insofar as practicable, do not detract from the use and enjoyment of proposed buildings and structures, and the neighboring properties.

The traffic circulation on the site is designed to accommodate large delivery trucks and the pharmacy drive-thru, and to provide parking for customers. The evolution of the site plan is such that the current proposal meets the standard. Some minor changes to the directional signage have been suggested. There is bike parking provided near the store entrance, and extensive changes involving a crosswalk; and curb bump-outs are proposed near the vehicle entrance to the site, helping to protect pedestrian traffic.

EDR-5 Surface Water Drainage: Special attention shall be given to proper site surface drainage so that removal of surface waters will not adversely affect neighboring properties or the public storm drainage system. Available Best Management Practices for the site should be employed, and include site planning to minimize impervious surface and reduce clearing and re-grading. Best Management Practices may include erosion control and stormwater treatment by means of swales, filters, plantings, roof gardens, native vegetation, and leaching catchbasins. Stormwater should be treated at least minimally on the development site; that which cannot be handled on site shall be removed from all roofs, canopies, paved and pooling areas and carried away in an underground drainage system. Surface water in all paved areas shall be collected in intervals so that it will not obstruct the flow of vehicular or pedestrian traffic and will not create puddles in the paved areas.

In accordance with Section 10.11.b, the Board may require from any applicant, after consultation with the Director of Public Works, security satisfactory to the Board to insure the maintenance of all stormwater facilities, such as catch basins, leaching catch basins,

detention basins, swales, etc., within the site. The Board may use funds provided by such security to conduct maintenance that the applicant fails to do.

The Board may adjust in its sole discretion the amount and type of financial security such that it is satisfied that the amount is sufficient to provide for any future maintenance needs. The applicant has submitted a very detailed stormwater management plan, which was revised to match the current plan. It has been reviewed by the Town Engineer, and the applicant has responded to the comments. The storm drain system discharges storm flow in the same location as the flow is directed today. The permeable surface on the site has been reduced, and the system includes an underground detention and infiltration chamber and a rain garden to reduce, clean, and slow the flow of storm water. The Board finds that the proposal meets this standard.

EDR-6 Utilities Service: Electric, telephone, cable, TV, and other such lines and equipment shall be underground. The proposed method of sanitary sewage disposal and solid waste disposal from all buildings shall be indicated.

The plans indicate adequate underground utility connections; they also show the location of an electric transformer in a landscaped island in the parking lot. The Town Engineer made some modifications to the plans relative to the hook-ups in Mass. Ave. The applicant has moved the transformer location to a less visible location. The Board finds that the proposal meets this standard.

EDR-7 Advertising Features: The size, location, design, color, texture, lighting, and materials of all permanent signs and outdoor advertising structures or features shall not detract from the use and enjoyment of proposed buildings and structures and the surrounding properties.

The main signage on the building consists of two wall signs, one facing Mass. Ave., and one facing the parking lot on the west side of the building. The two signs meet the bylaw standards. Several signs are located within the parking lot area to direct traffic. These signs exceed the one-square-foot area that is allowed. The directional signs are helpful and important in helping vehicles navigate a fairly complicated parking lot. The signs are slightly larger than three square feet each, and the Board has determined that the larger size is in the public interest, and is allowed by special permit. Other directional signs are posted on, and identify, the pharmacy drive-thru. These also are larger than one square foot, and the Board has determined that they are allowed by special permit.

EDR-8 Special Features: Exposed storage areas, exposed machinery installations, service areas, truck loading areas, utility buildings and structures, and similar accessory areas and structures shall be subject to such setbacks, screen plantings, or other screening methods as shall reasonably be required to prevent their being incongruous with the existing or contemplated environment and the surrounding properties.

The proposal includes two dumpsters at the rear of the parking lot, which are visible from the street. The sides of the dumpster are screened by plantings, and the front is stockade fence. Planting has been sited to the rear of the Atwood House to effectively screen the dumpster area from the street and from the Atwood House. There is a large electrical transformer in a landscaped island in the parking lot. It was moved to a less visible location, and is appropriately screened with vegetation. The proposal locates rooftop HVAC and refrigeration units behind the screen of the slanted roof surfaces; this equipment will not be visible from the ground. The Board finds that the proposal meets this standard.

EDR-9 Safety: With respect to personal safety, all open and enclosed spaces shall be designed to facilitate building evacuation and maximize accessibility by fire, police, and other emergency personnel and equipment. Insofar as practicable, all exterior spaces and interior public and semi-public spaces shall be so designed to minimize the fear and probability of personal harm or injury by increasing the potential surveillance by neighboring residents and passersby of any accident or attempted criminal act.

The plan appears to be generally safe, with all accessible spaces open to the public view. The parking lot is well lighted to serve the parking lot users well. The Board requested reduced lighting on the Atwood House side of the proposed building; the plan calls for some light in this area for safety. The source of lighting on the site will not be visible from off the site. The Board finds that the proposal meets this standard.

<u>EDR-10 Heritage:</u> With respect to Arlington's heritage, removal or disruption of historic, traditional, or significant uses, structures or architectural elements shall be minimized insofar as practical, whether these exist on the site or on adjacent properties.

The site has no historical structure, and the site has no historical significance. Before it became an auto dealership, there were three or four houses on the site, including the Atwood House, which remains today. The Atwood House is listed as a significant building under Arlington Town Bylaws, as is the Baptist Church next door. The applicant has stated that the Atwood House will be retained on the site, and the proposed plan reflects that. Any addition or modification of the Atwood House would have to respect Town bylaws regarding significant structures. Any modification of the Atwood House will require an amendment of this special permit. The Board finds that the proposal meets this standard.

<u>EDR-11 Microclimate:</u> With respect to the localized climatic characteristics of a given area, any development which proposes new structures, new hard surface, ground coverage, or the installation of machinery which emits heat, vapor, or fumes, shall endeavor to minimize, insofar as practicable, any adverse impacts on light, air, and water resources, or on noise and temperature levels of the immediate environment.

The proposal will reduce the amount of impermeable area on the site. The HVAC and refrigeration equipment are located on the roof of the CVS building in a well, behind slanted roofs on all four sides. The site is large relative to the amount of equipment, and the heat, light, vapor, or fumes will not be detectable. The Board finds that the proposal meets this standard.

EDR-12 Sustainable Building and Site Design: Projects are encouraged to incorporate best practices related to sustainable sites, water efficiency, energy and atmosphere, materials and resources, and indoor environmental quality. Applicants must submit a current Green Building Council Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) checklist, appropriate to the type of development, annotated with narrative description that indicates how the LEED performance objectives will be incorporated into the project.

The applicant has submitted the LEED checklist, and the narrative required by this standard. The plan shows the methods to control soil erosion and sedimentation of storm sewers. The plan increases the amount of permeable surface, and exceeds the Town's open space requirement. The planned lighting is designed to prevent up lighting, and to minimize light trespassing onto abutting properties. Low-flow toilet fixtures will be used, and the performance of the proposed energy systems in the building has been optimized.

The project site has certain characteristics that help make it sustainable. The project uses an already-built site with existing infrastructure, and is accessible to public transportation. The developer has provided a landscaped rain garden and bio-retention area at the rear of the site to help reduce water runoff. The applicant considered permeable paving for portions of the parking lot, but it was determined that the potential pollutant load created by a commercial parking lot made such paving environmentally unfriendly. The Board finds that the proposal meets this standard.

Section 10.11a-6 The requested use will not impair the integrity or character of the district or adjoining districts, nor be detrimental to the health, morals, or welfare.

The retail drugstore use located right next to the high school is convenient for students; school officials have endorsed the use. The site is zoned for commercial use, and has been used in that manner for many decades. The retention of the Atwood House and the siting of the CVS building near the sidewalk have improved the presence the development makes on the avenue. The store obviously provides a convenience to consumers, and is more of a community use than the auto dealership that existed there for decades. The Board finds that the proposal meets this standard.

Section 10.11a-7 The requested use will not, by its addition to a neighborhood, cause an excess of that particular use that could be detrimental to the character of said neighborhood.

As pointed out above, there are several pharmacies and drug stores in Arlington, but few near the site of the proposed CVS. The nearest is a small pharmacy located in a Stop & Shop supermarket 2/10 of a mile away. The nearest comparable store (a Walgreens east of Arlington Center) is almost 9/10 of a mile away; a Walgreens in Arlington Heights is 1.5 miles away; and the CVS in East Arlington is 1.6 miles away. In addition, the proposed development improves upon the character of the neighborhood by replacing a closed auto dealership. The building design has been changed to be much more in keeping with the appearance of the neighborhood. The site is appropriate for retail use. The Board finds that the proposal meets this standard.

DECISION

The Board finds that the proposal is an appropriate re-use of the property, and grants the following special permits, subject to the following general and special conditions:

Uses 6.16 and 8.17 from the Table of Use Regulations (Sect. 5.04 of the Zoning Bylaw); special permit for signs (Sect. 7.09 of the Zoning Bylaw); and, special permit for parking (Sect. 8.12 of the Zoning Bylaw).

General Conditions

1. The final plans and specifications for the site, including all buildings, signs, exterior lighting, and landscaping, shall be subject to the approval of the Arlington Redevelopment Board. The Board shall maintain its jurisdiction over plans and specifications by approving them at 50% and 100% of completion.

At the time of submission of the 50% drawings, the applicant shall submit for approval samples of exterior materials proposed for the building, and the specifics of the location, type, and noise levels of all HVAC and refrigeration machinery.

Final plans and specifications shall include complete information concerning colors, materials, lighting, and other features that comprise the details of the final design. The applicant shall provide a statement from the Town Engineer that all proposed utility services have adequate capacity to serve the development.

- 2. The final plans and specifications approved by the Board for this permit shall be the final plans and specifications submitted to the Building Inspector of the Town of Arlington in connection with the application for building permits. There shall be no substantial or material deviation during construction from the approved plans and specifications without the express written approval of the Arlington Redevelopment Board.
- 3. No building permit shall be issued until the Board has received evidence that the special permit has been recorded at the registry of deeds.
- 4. The Board maintains continuing jurisdiction over this permit, and may, after a duly advertised public hearing, attach other conditions, including, but not limited to, restricting the store opening hours, or it may modify these conditions as it deems reasonably appropriate to protect the public interest and welfare. Such modifications shall not require the applicant to modify the size or dimensions of the retail building shown on the approved plan, nor restrict the opening hour to any time later than 8:00 AM.
- 5. Snow removal from all parts of the site, as well as from any abutting public sidewalks, shall be the responsibility of the owner or occupant, and shall be accomplished in accordance with the Town bylaws.
- 6. All exterior trash and storage areas on the property, if any, shall be properly screened and maintained in accordance with Title V, Article 9, of the Bylaws of the Town of Arlington.
- 7. Trash shall be picked up only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.
- 8. No final or permanent Certificate of Occupancy shall issue on this project until the project is completed in its final form, and approved by the Redevelopment Board as being in compliance with the final plans and specifications, including the landscape plan.
- 9. The Building Inspector is hereby notified that he is to monitor the site, and should proceed with appropriate enforcement procedures at any time he determines that violations are present. The Inspector of Buildings shall proceed under Section 10.09 of the Zoning Bylaw, pursuant to the provisions of MGL c. 40, s. 21D, and institute non-criminal complaints. If necessary, the Inspector of Buildings may institute appropriate criminal action also, in accordance with Section 10.09.

Special Conditions

- 1. All utilities serving or traversing the site (including electric, telephone, cable, and other such lines and equipment) shall be underground.
- 2. Upon installation of landscaping materials and other site improvements, the applicant shall remain responsible for such materials and improvement, and shall replace and repair such as necessary, to remain in compliance with the approved site plan.

- 3. All utility work off site in public rights-of-way of the Town of Arlington shall be undertaken in accordance with the provisions of the Town bylaws.
- 4. Upon the issuance of the building permit, the applicant shall file with the Building Inspector and the Department of Community Safety the names and telephone numbers of contact personnel who may be reached 24 hours each day during the construction period.
- 5. The Atwood House shall remain at its present location on the site, and reasonable and diligent efforts shall be used to maintain its present condition to prevent any damage from the elements or otherwise, until it is redeveloped. It is acknowledged that ten parking spaces behind the Atwood House are reserved for its use. It is further acknowledged that the plan of the site leaves space behind the Atwood House to accommodate a possible future expansion of the structure, and that no use of that portion of the site will preclude such an expansion. Redevelopment of the house will require the amendment of this special permit, regardless of whether the proposed use of the structure is allowed by right or by special permit (as such are listed in the Arlington Zoning Bylaw). No requests to move or demolish the house by amending this special permit will be made within 24 months of the date of issuance of this permit.
- 6. The applicant shall install bump-outs and thermo-plastic crosswalks on Mass. Ave. at Carey Drive and at Bartlett Avenue. Bump-outs shall be installed on both sides of Mass. Ave. The design and construction of the bump-outs and crosswalks shall be approved by the Town Engineer, and shall take into account drainage at those locations.
- 7. Post construction monitoring: The Town will measure traffic volume at the CVS driveway six months, and again twelve months, after the opening of the CVS, and when school is in session, to compare with the analyzed volume data. Driveway traffic volumes will be recorded during the weekday AM (7-9 AM) and PM (4-6 PM) peak periods. Based upon this data, and the safety and performance of the area at least 6 months after opening, the Town will decide what, if any, mitigation is needed on roadways near the site. Possible mitigation may include addition of a left turn lane, or other measures, to improve safety and operations along Mass. Ave. between Carey Drive and the intersection of Jason and Mill Streets, at the Town's discretion. The funding for the mitigation shall be paid from the traffic mitigation escrow account referred to in Condition No. 8 below.
- 8. CVS will contribute the total sum of \$50,000 to a traffic mitigation escrow account, prior to receipt of an occupancy permit. These funds would first be used for mitigations around the site if it were determined during post-construction monitoring that further mitigation is needed. If it is not needed at the site, it may also be used as a contribution toward improvements at Jason/Mass/Mill Streets. Said escrow account will be closed, and unspent monies returned to CVS, five years after the date of the occupancy permit. All disbursements from the CVS escrow account will be subject to the approval of the ARB.
- 9. Prior to receiving a building permit, the applicant shall submit to the ARB for its review a plan for reduction of energy use, including use of energy-efficient lighting and appliances, to be incorporated into the plans and specifications.

- 10. Changes to signage, such as wording, color, or material of construction, but not changes in the number, location, or size of signs, may be deemed by the Planning Director to be consistent with the existing special permit, and such changes may be made by sign permit.
- 11. In accordance with Standard EDR-5, the applicant is required to post a bond in the amount of \$1,500 as security that the storm drain system will be maintained in good working order. The ARB may use the funds to conduct cleaning and maintenance of the system if the applicant fails to do so. Town personnel, or the Town's agents, may enter upon the property to perform such cleaning and maintenance.
- 12. This permit is contingent upon the applicant receiving an Order of Conditions from the Arlington Conservation Commission for the project essentially as approved by the Arlington Redevelopment Board.
- 13. The drive-thru pharmacy shall be open only between the hours of 8:00 AM and 10:00 PM, and only when the main store is open, and only pharmacy and pharmacy-related items (but not general merchandise) may be sold through the drive-thru window. Bicyclists will be allowed to use the drive-thru pharmacy, and "No Idling" signs will be posted for vehicles using the drive-thru. Pedestrian walkup business will not be allowed.
- 14. Aside from the shutters described in the approved plans, first floor windows shall not be covered or obscured in any way that prevents a clear view into the store, without the prior written permission of the ARB. No film, paper, or other material, including advertisements, may be used to cover any windows.
- 15. The applicant shall maintain a clean site at all times, and the landscaped area on the north side of the site, extending down the hill to the property below, shall be cleaned at least once in the spring and once in the fall. Litter and fallen branches and such shall be removed, and trees and shrubs shall be pruned as necessary.



Town of Arlington, Massachusetts

Meeting Minutes (10/25)

Summary:

9:15 p.m. Board will review and approve meeting minutes

ATTACHMENTS:

Type File Name Description

Reference Material 10252021_Draft_ARB_Minutes.pdf 10252021 Draft ARB Meeting Minutes

Arlington Redevelopment Board Monday, October 25, 2021, 7:30 PM Meeting Conducted Remotely via Zoom Meeting Minutes

This meeting was recorded by ACMi.

PRESENT: Rachel Zsembery (Chair), Eugene Benson, Kin Lau, Steve Revilak

ABSENT: Melisa Tintocalis

STAFF: Jennifer Raitt, Director of Planning and Community Development and Kelly Lynema, Assistant Director

The Chair called the meeting to order and notified all attending that the meeting is being recorded by ACMi.

The Chair explained that this meeting is being held remotely in accordance with the Governor's March 12, 2020 order suspending certain provisions of the Open Meeting Law G.L. c. 30A, Section 20. This order from Governor Baker allows for meetings to be held remotely during this time to avoid public gatherings.

The Chair introduced the first agenda item, Public Hearings. The first is Continued Public Hearing for Docket #3665, 645 Massachusetts Avenue which the applicant has requested be withdrawn without prejudice. Mr. Benson asked why Mr. Annese is withdrawing this application instead of submitting an alternative proposal. Mr. Lau asked if the applicant plans to submit a new application after withdrawing. Mr. Annese said that the applicant will refile, since Mr. Annese was just retained by the applicant Mr. Annese would like to start the application from the first stages. Mr. Benson said he is not happy approving this request so Mr. Annese can submit another application for the same project and site. Mr. Annese said that he will be submitting a new application for the same applicant at the same site. Mr. Lau asked if Mr. Revilak would be able to vote when the applicant resubmits, Ms. Raitt confirmed that Mr. Revilak would be able to vote on this project at that time. Ms. Raitt said that the Board must vote affirmatively on the applicant's request to withdraw without prejudice in order for the applicant to be able to refile. Mr. Benson said that he would like the withdrawal with prejudice if the Board is voting. Mr. Annese said in that case he would like to continue the hearing until the next meeting on Monday, November 1, 2021. Mr. Benson moved to continue this public hearing for Docket #3665 for 645 Mass Ave until November 1, 2021, the Chair seconded, approved 3-0. (Ms. Tintocalis was absent and Mr. Revilak abstained as he was not yet appointed to the Board at the time this application was reviewed.)

The Chair introduced the next Continued Public Hearing, Docket #3673, 455-457 Massachusetts Avenue. The Chair explained that the applicant submitted updated materials for this hearing. Mr. Annese reviewed the list of updates the Board gave the applicant at the last hearing. Mr. Murphy said that the applicant worked with the Planning Department to address the Board's questions and concerns to then submit new renderings.

Mr. Benson asked about the tree plan in the back alleyway. Aaron Mackie explained that arborvitae is considered a better screening wall than trees that would have to be widely spaced. Mr. Benson said he is concerned about the placement of utilities on the solar ready roof. Mr. Benson said he would like to ensure that the heat pump placement would allow at least 50% solar. Mr. Benson asked for an update regarding the loading dock for the property. Ms. Raitt said that she and the applicant met onsite and have some suggestions for on street loading alternatives to review and discuss with the Police Department and have reviewed and approved by the Select Board. There will not be a loading space on the property, continuing an existing condition. Mr. Benson asked how the parking spaces will be allocated between residential and commercial tenants. Mr. Murphy said that the parking is reserved just for residents. Mr. Benson said that the applicant should work with the Planning Department to create a Transportation Demand Management plan that the Planning Department would approve.

Mr. Lau asked for a description of the materials to be used for the decretive bands on the building. Mr. Lau suggested not using PVC or plastic trim due to issues with the PVC expanding and contracting with the weather. Mr. Lau suggested using cement panels with reveals for more depth and character. Mr. Lau said he would like to make sure that storage or utilities will not extend over the walkway and that this situation will remain the same and not installed at a later date.

Mr. Revilak asked if the windows on the second floor are centered over the retail units below. Mr. Revilak said he appreciates

the attention to detail with the window symmetry. Mr. Revilak asked about the number of bedrooms in the units. Mr. Murphy said that the units include a studio and one bedroom at the corner of the building.

The Chair said that the choice of the building cladding does not blend with the historic building below or stand out as something more modern. The Chair asked about the window material and Mr. Murphy said that at this time they were planning to use vinyl but that may change after meeting with the Historical Committee. The Chair said that she would also like a signage package for new tenants or those with signage changes so they are aware of the standards that are consistent with the current Town sign bylaw. Mr. Benson said that when the tenant vacates the property owners should have to take down the old sign. Mr. Benson said that if a sign is consistent with the sign bylaw there is just an administrative sign review. Mr. Lau asked if there are plans for the awnings as well as the signage. Mr. Murphy said that the plan at the moment is to have awnings of uniform size used. Mr. Revilak said he would like to allow some variety in the types of signs that the business owners are permitted to use. The Chair opened the floor to public comment.

Gary Goldsmith Beverly Rd. asked about the electric heating under the sidewalk in the rear alley. Mr. Goldsmith asked if there is a plan for where the meltwater will drain. Mr. Mackie said that pervious pavers will be used to allow for drainage from that area as well as the roof runoff.

Don Seltzer of Irving Street said he has concerns about the rear alley design including the lack of sunlight that the plantings will receive, snow buildup, and deliveries.

The Chair closed the floor to public comment and turned the floor back over to the Board. The Chair identified the following list of items to address and asked if they could be completed administratively.

- the possibility of a tree to replace the shrub across from bicycle parking area and the final planting plan
- Medford St. loading zone and parklet
- Parking and TDM plan
- PVC cannot be used for the cornice or trim work or railings
- The Board will require new tenants conform with the sign bylaw

Ms. Raitt said that these items are typically approved administratively but the Historical Commission will have to approve any final new design elements and final new building materials. Mr. Revilak said he would like to understand the scope of the Historical Commission review. Ms. Raitt said that since this is a significant property in an historic district, the Commission will review significant changes to the façade, including doors and windows, signage, and may weigh in on building materials. Mr. Revilak asked if the Historical Commission suggested changes to the façade he hopes that the both sides of the building will be uniform. The Chair said that JoAnne Robinson, the Chair of the Historical Commission will receive the Board's notes before her review.

Mr. Lau moved to approve Docket #3665 455-457 Mass Ave, subject to findings in section 4 and section 5 of the Director's memo, with the addition of the Special Conditions listed by the Board including those for administrative approval by the Department, and approval from the Historical Commission, Mr. Benson seconded, approved 4-0 (Ms. Tintocalis was absent).

The Chair introduced the next agenda item, Continued Public Hearing for Docket #3348, 833 Massachusetts Avenue. Mr. Annese said that he and Monty French have two new proposed plans to review for this property. Mr. Annese said that they will try to maintain the façade of the building as much as possible. Mr. French said the first concept retains the existing building and would be all residential due to the set-back. The house will be renovated to create 5 units, with potential to expand at the rear of the building. The second concept would move the building up towards the front of the property and expand while keeping the character of the house. The second concept would allow for a mixed-use building with commercial and residential space. Mr. Annese said he would like direction from the Board. Mr. Benson said that is this project is subject to the demolition delay then the town is left with a boarded up building during that time. Mr. Benson said if the Historical Commission approves either plan without a demolition delay then these plans are fine. Mr. Lau asked what type of business the plans would allow and if it would include office. Mr. Lau confirmed there would be an affordable unit then suggested going with option 1 if there is a demolition delay and to go with option 2 if there is no demolition delay. Mr. Revilak said he has a

preference for option 2, which would trigger inclusionary zoning, has a greater variety of unit types, and Mr. Revilak would rather see the building pulled forward to hug the sidewalk. The Chair said that she agrees with Mr. Lau's suggestion to the applicant. The Chair opened the floor to public comment.

John Worden from Jason Street said he prefers the first concept since it retains the historic building. The first option will provide 5 units and makes use of the investments made to preserve the building and how it should have been kept. Mr. Worden said that Arlington can keep a little of an avenue as it was with older buildings like in Lexington.

Don Seltzer from Irving Street said that the first concept is close to what the Board had in mind back at the time of the original Special Permit. Mr. Seltzer said that the requirements for public space will have to be waived.

The Chair asked the applicant come back to the Board with a new proposal once the applicant has direction from the Historical Commission. The Chair asked the Board if they were comfortable closing the Special Permit to allow the applicant to submit a new application that is not linked with the neighboring CVS. Mr. Annese said he will need time to meet with the Historical Commission and submit a new application and would prefer to have this Special Permit closed. The Chair suggested asking Mr. Annese for a timeline for next steps after he meets with the Historical Commission. Mr. Benson moved to continue this hearing to December 6, 2021 at which time the ownership team will identify the plan for going forward after meeting with the Historical Commission on November 2, 2021, Mr. Revilak seconded, approved 4-0 (Ms. Tintocalis was absent).

The Chair introduced the second agenda item, Meeting Minutes for 9/27/21. Mr. Benson moved to approve the meeting minutes from September 27, 2021 as amended, Mr. Lau seconded, approved 4-0 (Ms. Tintocalis was absent).

The Chair introduced the next agenda item, Open Forum, and opened the floor to public comment. With no speakers waiting in queue to speak the Chair closed the open forum portion of the meeting.

Mr. Revilak moved to adjourn, Mr. Lau seconded, approved 4-0. Meeting adjourned.



Town of Arlington, Massachusetts

Correspondence Received

Summary: Correspondence received from:

- S. Mraz 9-8-2021
- S. Tuttle 9-12-2021
- M. Guyton 9-14-2021
- J. Spiller 9-23-2021
- T. Kahmann 10-25-2021
- D. Seltzer 11-15-2021

ATTACHMENTS:

AI IACHWEN 13.			
	Type	File Name	Description
D	Reference Material	Correspondence_received_from_SMraz09-08-2021.pdf	Correspondence from S. Mraz received 09082021
D	Reference Material	Correspondence_received_fromSTuttle_09-12-2021.pdf	Correspondence received from S. Tuttle 09122021
D	Reference Material	Correspondence_received_from_MGuyton_09-14-2021.pdf	Correspondence received from M. Guyton 09142021
D	Reference Material	Correspondence_received_from_JSpiller_9-23-2021.pdf	Correspondence received from J. Spiller 09232021
D	Reference Material	Corresondence_received_from_TKahmann_10-25-2021.pdf	Correspondence received from T. Kahmann 10252021
ם	Reference Material	Correspondence_from_DSeltzer_received_11152021.pdf	Correspondence from D. Seltzer received 11152021

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Sarah Mraz** < sarah Mraz < sarah Mraz < sarahmraz@gmail.com >

Date: Wed, Sep 8, 2021 at 5:30 PM Subject: Chase Bank proposal objection To: <acarter@town.arlington.ma.us>

Dear Ali,

I am one of many residents deeply disappointed to learn that the beautiful spot formerly occupied by Not Your Average Joe's in Arlington Center is soon to become yet another bank. A big corporate bank- Chase. Bank of America is already in the same building. Furthermore a quick google search tells me there are already 29 physical banking locations (branches and/or ATM) in Arlington for a population of less than 50k in 2021, an online banking age.

Can't anything be done by town officials and the property owner to work together to select/attract a tenant that will contribute to the vibrancy of our community, especially in that key area?

The RDB hearing for this proposal is on 9/27 and I understand they will have very little power to oppose it.

I look forward to hearing from you on what to do next to advocate this tenant not move forward and other solutions be explored that will benefit the community and still provide the owner with rental income.

I understand Lexington changed its zoning laws in 2016 to limit banks and the like in their downtown areas. Is that being considered in Arlington?

Thank you, Sarah Mraz Chandler Street From: Sarah Tuttle <s_g_tuttle@yahoo.com>

To: "jraitt@town.arlington.ma.us" < jraitt@town.arlington.ma.us>

Date: Sun, 12 Sep 2021 00:17:51 +0000 (UTC)

Subject: Comment on Proposed Chase Branch in Arlington Center

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Town of Arlington's email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the REAL sender (whose email address in the From: line in "< >" brackets) and you know the content is safe.

To Whom It May Concern on the Redevelopment Board,

I am writing as a resident of Arlington regarding the proposed branch of Chase Bank where Not Your Average Joe's used to be on MA Ave in Arlington Center. I sincerely hope that the location does not become a bank of any sort. To be frank-- in Arlington we have so many banks. I am very, very tired of seeing so many store fronts taken up with financial institutions. The location of the property is one of the best in Arlington-- right across from the library, in the heart of the center, with a huge space. It should be used for something that the community can engage with-- not yet another bank. There is already a large Citizen's Bank on one side of the block, and a large Bank of America property on the other side. Yet another bank in such a prime real estate location would be a waste of an opportunity to invite a business with real value to residents. We need restaurants, shops, and other businesses that invite folks to linger in the center. Businesses that the community wants to patronize. Locations that are fun to browse, or comfortable to gather. We don't need yet another reason to drive by our most accessible, valuable commercial real estate.

Thank you for taking these comments into consideration.

Sincerely, Sarah Tuttle

Resident-- Grove St. Arlington

From: "Matthew C. Guyton" <mcquyton@mit.edu> Date: September 14, 2021 at 1:51:43 PM EDT

To: JRaitt@town.arlington.ma.us, ebenson@town.arlington.ma.us, KLau@town.arlington.ma.us,

mtintocalis@town.arlington.ma.us, rzsembery@town.arlington.ma.us

Cc: srevilak@town.arlington.ma.us Subject: Chase Bank - please deny

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Town of Arlington's email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the REAL sender (whose email address in the From: line in " < >" brackets) and you know the content is safe.

Dear Redevelopment Board,

I am writing to ask you to deny the special permit for Chase Bank to replace Not Your Average Joe's.

As a frequent pedestrian in Arlington Center (especially around mealtimes), Not Your Average Joe's was what I consider an "anchor restaurant". You could walk out to the food destination of Arlington Center with the intention of patronizing one of the smaller restaurants, and if those restaurants were too busy, your backup plan could be to eat at Not Your Average Joe's, and vice versa. In this manner, the large restaurant helped drive business for the smaller restaurants. For this reason, I expect that if a restaurant does not soon replace NYAJ's, then Pasha and Thai Moon will soon go out of business. I realize that denying Chase's special permit won't bring NYAJ's back, but it will give up the opportunity for a new restaurant to open in this location before giving it a chance (and before the pandemic ends).

Frequently on our walks around the Center, my wife and I would complain about the prevalence of banks and how they ruin the walkable character of the town. The corner of Mass Ave and Pleasant has a plethora of financial institutions (listed going counterclockwise): Cambridge Bank, Coldwell Banker (not a bank, but sounds like one), Chase Bank requesting to go where NYAJ used to be, and Bank of America leaving no good reason for pedestrians to walk to that part of the Center. Walking West up Mass Ave, the Citizen's Bank drive-thru exit creates a good place to get hit by a car, which makes me cautious when out with my young son. Walking further west up Mass Ave, the cluster of Brookline Bank, Leader Bank's lending center, and TD Bank creates another dead zone for pedestrians (made even worse by Lender Bank's large empty parking lot and crumbling brick planters that have sat unfixed for months). Keeping the Center a walkable area for pedestrians is important for businesses, because all customers are pedestrians. Banks violate this goal and are a poor use of space in the center of town.

Seeing a physical bank is like seeing a piece of history (and not in the good historical way that we strive for in Arlington). Does anyone still use physical bank locations? I have bought a house, refinanced it many times, and bought a car, all without ever visiting a physical bank location. I can't remember the last time I've physically been to a bank, and the last time I went, I was just there for a lollipop. I don't see how these locations are profitable for the banks, except that they serve as expensive billboards which would otherwise not be allowed in Arlington.

156 of 165

Banks are ghost towns in the evening and make the area less walkable. I believe you should be trying to drive most of the banks out of the Center, not letting more banks in. If you let Chase bank replace NYAJ's, then you have essentially given up on having a vibrant welcoming town center in Arlington. (For the record, I'm not opposed to having Chase bank or any other bank in town - I just don't want to see them located at the main corner of Arlington Center).

-Matt Guyton

22 Irving St

From: Jonathans064 <jonathans064@gmail.com>
To: Jenny Raitt <JRaitt@town.arlington.ma.us>
Date: Thu, 23 Sep 2021 14:40:36 -0400

Subject: Re: 645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington , please don't let another bank move to Arlington

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Town of Arlington's email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the REAL sender (whose email address in the From: line in "< >" brackets) and you know the content is safe.

Hi ok if I email you more comments? it actually is hard for me to do public speaking on Zoom,

1. 645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington, wish could be another restaurant or store, not a bank, too many banks in Arlington and read application that Chase Bank wants to expand farther then the zoning requires.

2. 2-14 Medford Street Arlington, don't want a tall building to be built there, what about the small businesses that are located on that block? Gail Ann's Coffee Shop been there a long time, There are too many tall high rises in Arlington, Arlington is a town, don't want it to be a city. All ready is two hotels in Arlington, one in the Heights, and one next to Menotomy Grille. Already will be a tall building across from Stop and Shop, retail and Apartments. Jonathan Spiller

Kindness makes the world a better place!

From: Jonathans064 < jonathans064@gmail.com>

To: jraitt@town.arlington.ma.us

Date: Wed, 8 Sep 2021 13:23:58 -0400

Subject: 645 Massachusetts Avenue Arlington , please don't let another bank move to Arlington

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Town of Arlington's email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the REAL sender (whose email address in the From: line in "< >" brackets) and you know the content is safe.

Hi is too late to give feedback? please don't let another bank move in to Arlington, too ma h banks, bank on every corner, Leader Bank, Bank Of America, Citizens Bank, Cambridge Savings Bank.

Another restaurant should move in there os bar and kitchen in space, where Not Your Average Joe's used to be,

https://www.arlingtonma.gov/Home/Components/News/News/11402/16? cftype=News&fbclid=IwAR1H9CF7S2 gHZQujAI8OiCtbSfaG9CfPw7JjH2nQ gPXxONXZ2ZMJC8sGw

Jonathan Spiller

158 of 165

Kindness makes the world a better place!

From: Tom Kahmann <tomkahmann@rcn.com> **Date:** October 25, 2021 at 9:26:48 AM EDT

To: JRaitt@town.arlington.ma.us, ebenson@town.arlington.ma.us, KLau@town.arlington.ma.us, srevilak@town.arlington.ma.us, mtintocalis@town.arlington.ma.us, zsembery@town.arlington.ma.us

Cc: ACarter@town.arlington.ma.us

Subject: Chase Bank Proposal for Average Joe's Location

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Town of Arlington's email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the REAL sender (whose email address in the From: line in " < >" brackets) and you know the content is safe.

Another Bank Storefront in Arlington Center?

Bank Storefronts crowd out the uses that people actually want, and "deaden" the very places we are trying to enliven. 645 Mass Ave is larger and more prominent than many storefronts in Arlington Center. We can't lose it to yet another bank especially when many of us bank online now--the banks all want these locations simply as advertising their brand, and to compete with each other, not because they are "essential or desirable to the public convenience or welfare."

There are already nine banks within a short distance, even walking distance, to this location, so it's hardly desirable or necessary for the public convenience :

449 Mass Ave, Leader Bank

880 Mass Ave, TD Bank

626 Mass Ave, Cambridge Savings Bank

856 Mass Ave, Brookline Savings Bank

699 Mass Ave, Citizens Bank

655 Mass Ave, Bank of America

980 Watertown Savings Bank

864 Mass Ave, Leader Mortgage

905 Mass Ave, Citizens Bank ATM

833 Santander

325 Broadway, Chase ATM

Chase also has a full service branch a 6 minute drive from Not Your Average Joes

"essential or desirable to the public convenience or welfare". I don't think so....

Please do NOT let another large Bank Storefront come to the center and displace a potential restaurant site or other essential use.

From: Don Seltzer <timoneer@gmail.com>

To: Rachel Zsembery <rzsembery@town.arlington.ma.us>, KLau@town.arlington.ma.us, Eugene Benson

<EBenson@town.arlington.ma.us>, MTintocalis@town.arlington.ma.us, Steve Revilak <steve@srevilak.net>, Jenny

Raitt < jraitt@town.arlington.ma.us> Date: Mon, 15 Nov 2021 10:56:18 -0500

Subject: Atwood House lot lines

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Town of Arlington's email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the REAL sender (whose email address in the From: line in "< >" brackets) and you know the content is safe.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

To: Redevelopment Board

I wish to call to the Board's attention some possible errors in the depiction of the lot lines for the Atwood House. The presentations provided by the owner's team are not consistent with either the official Assessor's map nor the deed description of the actual Mass Ave frontage.

The attached document provides some details regarding this conflict.

Don Seltzer Irving St.

To: Arlington Redevelopment Board

Subj: Atwood House Lot Lines

I wish to call to the Board's attention some possible errors in the depiction of the lot lines for the Atwood House. The owner's team has been presenting plans that suggest that the current house is located approximately 8-9 feet from the property line shared with the First Baptist Church.



The official Assessor's map indicates a much different situation, showing the property studio line at a somewhat different angle and within less than a foot of the eastern facade of the Atwood House.



Assessor's Map

1 '

A close examination of the deed confirms that the House has 61 feet of frontage on Mass Ave, not the 71 feet shown in the owner's presentation materials.

QUITCLAIM DEED

PAYH WAYS

I, Bradley P. Noyes, Trustee of the Noyes Realty Trust, under declaration of trust, dated December 29, 1982 and recorded with the Middlesex Southern District Registry of Deeds at Book 15096, Page 336,

for consideration paid, and in full consideration of one dollar (\$1.00) and other good and valuable consideration

grant to Noyes Realty, LLLP, a Florida Limited Liability Limited Partnership, registered in Massachusetts as a Foreign Limited Partnership, of 114 Andros Road, Key Largo, FL 33037

with QUITCLAIM COVENANTS,

The land in Arlington, Massachusetts more particularly described on Exhibit A attached hereto

Witness my hand and seal this 1/2 day of February 2008.

Bradley P. Noyes Trustee

STATE OF FLORIDA

MONROE, ss.

February [2], 2008

On this / 2 day of February 2008, before me, the undersigned notary public, personally appeared Bradley P. Noyes, Trustee, proved to me through satisfactory evidence of identification, which was Present Lyknow to be the person whose nar is signed on the preceding document, and acknowledged to me that he signed it voluntarily for stated purpose.







The parcels of land in Arlington, Massachusetts, bounded and described as follows:

PARCEL ONE / RECORDED LAND:

The land in said Arlington, with the buildings thereon now numbered 835 Massachusetts Avenue, bounded:

SOUTHWESTERLY by said Massachusetts Avenue, fifty-five and 83/100 (55.83) feet;

NORTHWESTERLY by land now or formerly of Kimball, two hundred fifty-three and 62/100 (253.62) feet;

NORTHERLY

by land now or formerly of Cutter, one hundred thirty-nine and 28/100

(139.28) feet;

SOUTHEASTERLY by land of the grantor, being the third parcel hereinafter described, by three lines totaling three hundred fifty and 42/100 (350.42) feet;

Containing approximately nineteen thousand eight hundred twenty-four (19,824) square feet of land.

Being the same premises conveyed by deed January 3, 1983 and recorded with said Middlesex Southern District Registry of Deeds in Book 15096, Page 344.

PARCEL TWO / RECORDED LAND:

The land in said Arlington, with the buildings thereon numbered 833 Massachusetts Avenue, bounded:

SOUTHWESTERLY by said Massachusetts Avenue, fifty (50) feet;

NORTHWESTERLY by land of the grantor, being the second parcel hereinbefore described, by three lines totaling three hundred fifty and 42/100 (350.42) feet;

NORTHEASTERLY by land now or formerly of Frost Insecticide Company, one hundred twenty-nine and 8/10 (129.8) feet;

SOUTHEASTERLY by land now or formerly of Teel, two hundred seventy-eight and 9/10 (278.9) feet;

Containing approximately twenty-eight thousand seven hundred eighty-nine (28,789) square feet of land

When the ZBL requirement of a 15 foot side yard setback adjacent to a R1 lot is considered, there is not sufficient room for Concept 2 as shown. A 46 foot wide building at the front edge of the property can only be achieved by eliminating the western side yard and butting the building up against the existing CVS store.

What is lacking in the application is the inclusion of a proper plot plan that accurately shows the lot and the locations of all buildings. The survey marks on the sidewalk in front of the Atwood House suggest that such a survey was recently done.

Don Seltzer